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## FOREWORD

The General Population Census of Cambodia of 2019 (GPCC) provides a crucial opportunity to examine past achievements and to guide future development plans and strategies. Aware of the vital importance of the project, the Royal Government of Cambodia allocated major national resources towards the implementation of the GPCC 2019.

I am gratified that the census has been a success and that reliable and timely data will be made available to specialized users and the general public. In addition to the present document, a range of thematic reports will be generated by the National Institute of Statistics, with the assistance of specialists from various sectors, including academia.

On behalf of the Ministry of Planning, I would like to express our deep gratitude to Samdech Akka Moha Sena Padei Techo HUN SEN, Prime Minister of the Kingdom of Cambodia. His unwavering support has been integral to the successful completion of the census. I would also like to extend our sincerest thanks to Samdech Kralahorm Sar Kheng, Deputy Prime Minister, Minister of the Interior and Chairman of the National Census Committee (NCC) and the others members of the committee, for their guidance.

As Chair of the Technical Committee and the Publicity Committee for the General Population Census of Cambodia of 2019 - and on behalf of the Ministry of Planning - I would like to thank all members of the census committee working in the capital, provinces, municipalities, districts, khans and communes/sangkats. They did an excellent job and, by working together, we have been able to successfully implement our planned activities and obtain valuable results.

I would also like to thank the United Nations Population Program (UNFPA), the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA) and the Federal Republic of Germany and their implementer, the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ). Their financial and technical assistance supported the census planning and also the data entry, cleaning and analysis phases. They also provided training in report writing and helped draft the final census report.

I would like to thank Dr Nott Rama Rao for providing technical assistance in the census planning process and for reviewing all technical aspects of the census. And Dr Ricardo Neupert, Census Chief Technical Advisor, for providing overall technical assistance, particularly in writing the final census report. Dr Arij Dekker also provided much-appreciated help with the data cleaning and the preparation of the census priority tables. And Mr Kjell Tambour, Senior Advisor with Statistics Sweden/SIDA, provided welcome assistance with the data processing.

I would like to express my special thanks to the Government of the People's Republic of China for providing material assistance worth a total of $\$ 2.5$ million to support the census. This valuable contribution included automobiles, motorcycles, desktops, laptops, printers, photocopiers, tablets, servers and other electronic devices.

Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to all staff of the National Institute of Statistics. H.E. Ms. Hang Lina, Delegate of the Royal Government of Cambodia in-charge of Director-General of the National Institute of Statistics, carefully coordinated all census operations, with the assistance of Deputy Directors-General H.E. Sok Kosal, H.E. Saint Lundy and H.E. They Kheam. I would like to express particular thanks to all compatriots who supported and participated in the successful completion of census operations in the Kingdom of Cambodia in 2019.

We are pleased to present to line-ministries, international agencies, non-government organization, policy makers, programme implementers, development planners, and researchers a publication with a plethora of useful information on ethnic minorities. We hope to receive feedback and contributions from our readers to learn from mistakes and to improve subsequent census publications.


Kitti Setha Panditta Chhay Than

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 (GPCC) obtained much-needed demographic data about Cambodia and also helped to strengthen staff capacity in demographic data collection at the National Institute of Statistics and provincial planning offices. This report provides a detailed discussion of census findings related to ethnic minorities in Cambodia. It is part of a series of 18 thematic reports analyzing key aspects of the 2019 census data.

Special thanks are due to Kitti Settha Pandita Chhay Than, Honorable Senior Minister, Minister of Planning whose keen interest in the census and in the post-enumeration survey has been a continuing source of inspiration and encouragement to the national and international staff working on this project.

I would also like to extend our special thanks to the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), GIZ and other development partners for undertaking the coordinating role for the census and for their technical support. The Royal Government of Cambodia has provided full financial support through the Ministry of Economy and Finance. I am also grateful to the People's Republic of China for supplying equipment such as vehicles, motorbikes, computers, printers and photocopiers. This equipment was, and remains, essential to our census operations.

Finally, I wish to thank all the staff of the National Institute of Statistics, as well as all provincial census officers, district census officers, commune census officers, village chiefs, field supervisors and enumerators for their dedication and hard work. This work has enabled us to produce timely data of good quality. My acknowledgements would be incomplete if I did not also mention the general public, who provided the much-needed information without hesitation
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Censuses are an important source of information about the ethnic minority population in Cambodia. This thematic report uses data from the General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 to develop a detailed analysis of the current demographic and socioeconomic situation of the ethnic minority population in Cambodia.

The 2019 census data shows that the ethnic minority population has experienced steady growth since the previous census in 2008. The total number of ethnic minority people living in Cambodia in 2008 was 389,424 and, by 2019, this had increased to 455,610 (section 2.1). Overall, this change corresponds to an annual growth rate of $1.4 \%$ (section 2.2).

In 2019, the highest proportions of ethnic minority people were found in the mountains and plateaus region ( $46 \%$ percent), followed by in the central plains (35.8\%). The region around the Tonle Sap, and the coastal and sea region, accounted for just 15.8\% and $2.4 \%$ of the population respectively (section 2.3).

Looking at the provincial distribution of the 2019 ethnic minority population reveals that most of this population was living in Ratanakkiri $(101,691)$, Tbaung Khmum $(90,041)$, Kratie $(41,622)$, Mondulkiri $(35,337)$, and Kampong Chhnang $(30,137)$. These provinces have a long history of ethnic minority population settlement and are also characterised by high fertility rates.

Between 2008 and 2019, the percentage of ethnic minority people living in urban areas increased from $7.2 \%$ to $17.8 \%$. This pattern is characteristic of the increased urbanization that has occured more generally in Cambodia during this period.

Disaggregating the population by ethnic group reveals that the majority of all ethnic minority people living in Cambodia in 2019 were Cham, 275,217 (61.4\%), followed by Punong, 36,585 (8.2\%), Tumpuon, 36,373 (8.1\%), Charai, 26,922 (6\%), Kroeng, 21,453 (4.8\%), Kuoy, 16,762 (3.8\%), and Prov, 10,086 (2.3\%). The smallest ethnic minority groups in Cambodia were the Ka-Chrouk, Morn, and Kanhchok (section 2.3).

With respect to religion, $31.7 \%$ of ethnic minority people reported practicing Buddhism in 2019 (section 2.4). However, the most common religion was Muslim (50.1\%). Christianity and other religions accounted for approximately $18.1 \%$. In the plateau and mountains region in particular, a sizable proportion of the ethnic minority population also reported practicing other religions (33.9\%).

In 2019, 29.4\% of the general population was aged under the age of 15 and $8.9 \%$ of the population was aged 60 and above. The median age for the general population was 27 years. In contrast to this, those under the age of 15 accounted for $34.1 \%$ of the ethnic minority population; and those aged 60 and over accounted for just $7.7 \%$. The median age for the ethnic minority population was just 23 years (section 3.2).

It is clear from these age-structural measures that the ethnic minority population is comparatively younger than the Cambodian population overall. Broadly speaking, this reflects the higher-than-average number of births per woman of reproductive age in this particular population sub-group.

Importantly, the comparatively large ethnic minority population under the age of 15 is reflected in a higher age dependency ratio. For every 100 ethnic minority people of working age, there were 71.9 ethnic minority people of dependent age. At 62 dependents per 100 working age people, the 2019 age dependency ratio for the general population was much lower (section 3.2.1).

With respect to nuptiality, the marital status that accounted for the highest proportion in both the general and ethnic minority populations in 2019, was the proportion of the population over 15 years that was currently married ( $66.2 \%$ and $68.3 \%$ respectively). The proportion of the general population aged 15 and over that had never married was $27.5 \%$, compared to $26.3 \%$ of the ethnic minority population. And the proportion of the population that was widowed was $4 \%$ for the general population and $3.4 \%$ for the ethnic minority population (section 3.4.1).

For the ethnic minority population some important urban and rural differences in nuptiality were also observed. For both men and women, the Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM) in urban areas was higher than in rural areas. In 2019, the SMAM for urban men was almost three years higher than the SMAM for rural men. The difference between urban and rural ethnic minority women was also substantial, with a SMAM of 24.8 in urban areas and 21.5 in rural areas (section 3.4.2).

Overall, youth marriage patterns were similar for ethnic minority males and females in 2019 (section 3.5). For men and women, the proportion of married individuals was much higher than the general population for the age groups 15-19 and 20-24 years. This pattern held for both rural and urban areas and indicates that proportionately more ethnic minority men and women marry at young ages, than in the Cambodian population as a whole.

In 2019, the literacy rate for any language for those aged seven and over was $77.1 \%$ for the ethnic minority population and $88.5 \%$ for the general population (section 4.2). The literacy rate for ethnic minority women was $74 \%$, which is much lower than the rate for women in the general population (86.2\%). There was also a large gap between the literacy rates of ethnic minority men and women in 2019 ( $80.5 \%$ for men and 74\% for women).

The literacy rate for any language was higher for the ethnic minority population living in urban areas than those living in rural areas ( $90.7 \%$ and $74.1 \%$ respectively). The gap in literacy rates between urban and rural areas has been narrowing over time for the general
and the ethnic minority populations. In 2019, the literacy gap between ethnic minority populations living in urban and rural areas was $16.6 \%$.

Looking in more detail at the languages used by the literate ethnic minority population, the census found that $55.1 \%$ of the literate ethnic minority population were proficient in Khmer only; 1.7\% were proficient in Khmer and English; and 31.7\% reported speaking Khmer and languages other than English. 11.5\% of the literate ethnic minority population aged 7 and over reported proficiency solely in a language other than Khmer.

Adult literacy rates for the general and ethnic minority populations also differed (section 4.3). Overall, the 2019 census found that $84.7 \%$ of the general population aged 15 and over were literate, compared to $74.6 \%$ of the corresponding ethnic minority population. As with the literacy rates for those aged seven and over, adult ethnic minority women had significantly lower literacy rates than adult ethnic minority men. There was also a significant urban-rural difference in adult literacy, with the ethnic minority adult literacy rate estimated to be $90.3 \%$ in urban areas and just $70.8 \%$ in rural areas.

Educational attainment is an important indicator of the level of education within a population (section 4.4). In contrast to the general population, a much larger proportion of the ethnic minority population aged 7 years and older reported not completing primary school ( $57.4 \%$ in the ethnic minority population versus $42.3 \%$ in the general population). The percentage of ethnic minority primary school graduates was also $4.1 \%$ lower than the Cambodian population overall ( $24.9 \%$ and $29.1 \%$, respectively).

In a similar vein, lower secondary school completion was lower for the ethnic minority population than the general population ( $13 \%$ versus $21.8 \%$ ). And the population that reported graduating from secondary school with a diploma was $1.5 \%$ for the ethnic minority population, versus $2.9 \%$ in the general population. Just $1.1 \%$ of the ethnic minority population reported completing teriary education, whereas the corresponding figure for the general population was $2.8 \%$.

Questions about enrollment at a school or an educational institution were asked of everyone, including those who could read and write and those who were illiterate. Enrollment rates within the general population were $90.6 \%$ and $91.6 \%$ for children in the 611 and 12-14 age groups, respectively. In contrast to this, enrollment for ethnic minority children accounted for only $81.1 \%$ and $85.3 \%$, respectively.

Overall, the inequalities in basic education are a major issue for the Royal Government to consider. There is an urgent need for policies that strengthen universal education and promote the eradication of illiteracy and gaps in reading and writing, particularly in relation to the ethnic minority population.

The comparatively poorer levels of school enrollment in the ethnic minority population are key. Reducing the differences in enrollment between ethnic minorities and the general population must be a priority and necessitates additional outreach to the parents of ethnic minority children (section 4.5).

The analysis of ethnic minority patterns of labor and employment in chapter 5 also revealed some important differences between the general and ethnic minority populations. In 2019, $62 \%$ of the general population aged 5 years and over was economically active, compared to $60.2 \%$ of the ethnic minority population (section 5.2). The rate of economically active people or "labor force participation rate" (LFPR), in the ethnic minority population aged 15 years and over was $60.2 \%$ and this was much lower than the LFPR in the Cambodian population overall (79.1\%).

According to the results of the 2019 Cambodian census, $67.7 \%$ of employed workers in the general population were classified as unpaid family workers and self-employed workers in the general population (section 5.4). This was much lower than the corresponding proportion for the ethnic minority population ( $85 \%$ ). In the formal economy the situation was reversed: $31.5 \%$ of the employed general population were paid employees, whereas paid employees accounted for just $14.5 \%$ of employed ethnic minority people.

Differences between the ethnic minority and general populations were also evident when looking at employment by sector and occupational classification. Most of the general population were employed in the local private enterprise sector ( $78.7 \%$ ), followed by foreign private enterprise (12.8\%) and government employment (4.9\%). Employment in the other five sectors accounted for just 3.6\%.

However, a much higher proportion of the employed ethnic minority population reported working in the local private enterprise sector ( $90.5 \%$ ), with foreign private enterprise and the government accounting for just 5\% and $2.2 \%$ respectively. Employment in the other five sectors accounted for $2.3 \%$ of the employed ethnic minority population (section 5.5).

In 2019, the majority of employed people in the ethnic minority population were engaged in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries (75\%) followed by service and sales work ( $8.7 \%$ ), and crafts and related work ( $7.1 \%$ ). This contrasts with the general population, where $53.4 \%$ were employed in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries, and; $16.6 \%$ worked as craft and related workers, and; $12.6 \%$ worked as service and sales workers (section 5.6 ).

Analyzing patterns of domestic migration in the 2019 census data, revealed that approximately $83.8 \%$ of the ethnic minority population had never had a residence other than the interview venue. This percentage was lower in urban areas than in rural areas (71.4\% and $86.5 \%$ respectively). Overall, the percentage of ethnic minority migrants in 2019 was $16.2 \%$. In urban areas, $28.6 \%$ of the ethnic minority population reported being a migrant; in rural areas the corresponding figure was 13.5\% (section 6.2).

In 2019 most migration flows for the ethnic minority population were rural to rural flows, followed by rural to urban flows. In contrast to this, most of the migration flows for the general population were rural to urban and urban to urban flows (section 6.4). The majority of all domestic migrants had lived in the interview area for less than 10 years prior to the census ( $51.5 \%$ for the ethnic minority population and $57.4 \%$ for the general population, section 6.5).

As expected, the majority of both the ethnic minority and general populations migrated between the ages of 15 and 39 (section 6.6). According to the 2019 census, $55.5 \%$ ethnic minority migrants were in this age group and $85.7 \%$ were in the 15-64 age group. The concentration of migrants within these age groups is similar to the general population, and reflects the propensity for people in these age groups to move to find work and to pursue education and marriage.

Broadly speaking, fertility was higher in the ethnic minority population than the Cambodian population overall. In 2019, the ethnic minority Total Fertility Rate (TFR) was 3.3 per woman, compared to 2.5 per woman for the general population (section 7.2 ). As in the general population, the ethnic minority TFR was lower for urban areas than rural areas (2.5 and 3.5 per woman respectively).

Infant and under-five mortality rates for the ethnic minority population also tended to be higher than those for the general population. The 2019 infant mortality rate for ethnic minorities was estimated at 20 per 1,000 live births, compared to 17.6 per 1,000 for the general population. Urban-rural differences in mortality mirrored those observed in the general population. The rural infant mortality rate for ethnic minorities was 20.7 per 1,000 live births, whereas the urban rate was estimated at 14.8 per 1,000 live births (section 8.2 ).

Data collected for deaths that occurred in the 12 months prior to the census, suggested that about $90.9 \%$ of mortality recorded in the ethnic minority population and $90 \%$ in the general population was attributable to disease (section 8.5). Accidents accounted for $9.1 \%$ of deaths in the general population and $10 \%$ in the ethnic minority population. The leading causes of death in the ethnic minority population were fever, diarrhea, dengue fever, malaria, and other diseases. Within the general population, dengue fever, tuberculosis, diarrhea, and other diseases were predominant.

The disability rate for ethnic minority people aged 5 years and above for any level of disability was $4.7 \%$ (section 9.2). The percentage of the ethnic minority population that reported a severe disability was $0.8 \%$. The percentage of individuals reporting that they could do nothing was the lowest ( $0.3 \%$ ). Of the 19,000 ethnic minority people with disabilities, $76.6 \%$ had some level of disability, $17.3 \%$ were severely disabled and $6.2 \%$ reported that they were unable to do anything. The percentage of the ethnic minority population that reported disabilities changed with age, ranging from $6 \%$ for the $5-14$ age group to $48.8 \%$ for the age group 60 years and above.

In addition to gathering data on respondents, the census also collected information about the characteristics of respondents' housing. The number of ethnic minority households increased from 78,051 in 2008 to 101,120 in 2019 (section 10.1). A higher proportion of the ethnic minority households in urban areas lived in types of buildings that were only partly residential, than in rural areas. In 2019, $92.8 \%$ of ethnic minority households lived in residential buildings that were permanent. $5.7 \%$ lived in buildings that were semipermanent and $1.6 \%$ lived in temporary structures.

The average household size for the ethnic minority population decreased from 5.0 in 2008 to 4.5 in 2019 (section 10.2.1). 19.2\% of ethnic minority households were headed by
women. In urban areas the corresponding figure was $23.2 \%$ and in rural areas it was $18.5 \%$. More than half of ethnic minority households (57\%) lived in only one room (section 10.2.3). The corresponding figure in urban areas was lower (55\%) than in rural areas (57.4\%).

Housing information from the 2008 and 2019 censuses also provided valuable information about the amenities available to ethnic minority households, as well as insights into how these have changed over time. In 2019, 67.7\% of ethnic minority households had access to safe drinking water (section 10.3.1). In 2008, the same statistic was just $42.6 \%$. $77.7 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas had access to safe drinking water in their homes in 2019. However, in rural areas, the corresponding figure was just 40.5\%.

The census found that 60.7\% of ethnic minority households in 2019 used electricity (including grid electricity, electric generators, and both sources). This is a sharp increase from just $15.8 \%$ in 2008 . Overall, $92.4 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas used electricity for lighting, whereas the rate for rural households was $54.6 \%$ (section 10.3.2).

In 2019, 64.1\% of ethnic minority households had access to latrines. This is a significant increase from 2008, when just $18 \%$ had access. In 2019, nearly 8 out of 10 ethnic minority households in urban areas had access to latrines. The corresponding figure was 6 in 10 for rural households (section 10.3.3).

Firewood was still the main fuel for cooking (79.9\%) in 2019. Only $38.2 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas use firewood for cooking. However, in rural areas the same figure was $88 \%$, more than twice as much. About $14.4 \%$ of ethnic minority households reported using LPG (gas) for cooking. In urban areas, $49 \%$ of ethnic minority households used LPG, in rural areas only $7.6 \%$ used LPG (section 10.3.4).

The census results show that internet usage has been increasing for ethnic minority households. $40.9 \%$ of ethnic minority households reported using the internet, of which $37.2 \%$ used the internet at home (section 10.3.5). The percentage of ethnic minority households in urban areas that had internet access (65\%) was higher than the percentage of ethnic minority households in rural areas (36.2\%).

The 2019 census results show that the percentage of ethnic minority households with access to a personal television was $38.8 \%$. Meanwhile, $86.1 \%$ of ethnic minority households had a mobile phone. In urban areas, $82.9 \%$ of ethnic minority households owned a motorbike, compared to $78.3 \%$ in the rural areas.

Overall, the analyses presented in this report show that there continue to be significant demographic and socio-economic differences between the ethnic minority and general populations; and also between the ethnic minority population living in urban areas and the corresponding population living in rural areas. Nevertheless, when compared with 2008, it is clear that the ethnic minority population has also experienced some significant improvements in key demographic and socio-economic dimensions over time.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

The General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 (GPCC) is the fourth census to be carried out in the Kingdom of Cambodia. It is part of the 2020 round of population and housing censuses, as recommended by the United Nations. The first census in Cambodia was conducted in 1962, with follow-up exercises undertaken in 1998 and 2008. Cambodia continues to use paper-based questionnaires and pencil recording to collect data. As a consequence, extensive work was necessary to prepare the required questionnaires, manuals, training guides; and to carry out a pre-test and pilot census.

Census preparations started in early 2016 with the development of an initial census plan, which was then approved by the Royal Government of Cambodia. A National Census Committee was formed in 2017. A census strategy was also formulated in 2017 and this established that the enumeration would commence on March $3^{\text {rd }}$, 2019. The National Institute of Statistics (NIS) produced the enumeration maps using hand-sketched area plans for the entire country. Each Enumeration Area (EA) was separately delineated.

The previous three censuses had already enabled the Royal Government of Cambodia to build up the capacity required to conduct the GPCC 2019. The questionnaire covers population data, as well as certain household characteristics. It is hoped that the results from the GPCC 2019 will provide essential demographic and household data for all forms of evaluation and planning.

### 1.2 Organizational structure

As in the past, the National Committee for the Census was the lead organizing body. The committee was headed by H.E. Samdech Kralahorm Sar Keng, Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of the Interior and it included members from all line ministries responsible for policy matters concerning the census. The Census Technical Committee, was headed by H.E. Kitti Settha Pandita Chhay Than, Senior Minister, Minister of Planning. The committee was in charge of technical issues relating to the census, such as the design of the questionnaires and training guides, as well as the supervisor and enumeration manuals.

The committee also took the lead for the pilot census, training events, the field enumeration, editing and coding, data entry and the preparation of analytical reports. The Committee of Census Publicity and Advocacy was also led by H.E. Kitti Settha Pandita Chhay Than, Senior Minister, Minister of Planning. It provided oversight and advice to
ensure that the population was informed through printed publications, television spots, banners, flyers, t-shirts and labelled bags.

The Ministry of Planning was in day-to-day charge of the census operations, with the NIS serving as the implementing agency.

Provincial planning departments acted as provincial census offices during the census operation, with the director of the provincial planning department taking the role of provincial census officer, the senior officer in the province responsible for census operations. Provincial census officers were each supported by a specialized assistant. Census officers at the district/commune level and village chiefs were under the supervision of the provincial census officer. The municipal/provincial governors acted as chair of the municipal/provincial census committees responsible for oversight of the census operations in their municipality or province. Regional officers from the National Institute of Statistics were assigned to provide technical assistance to the provincial census officers.

### 1.3 Field operations

The GPCC 2019 enumerated some 3.6 million regular households present in the 25 municipalities and provinces of Cambodia. The preparatory household listing process (Form A) was carried out between $28^{\text {th }}$ February and $2^{\text {nd }}$ of March 2019. The actual enumeration (Form B) started on midnight March $3^{\text {rd }}$ and lasted until March $13^{\text {th }}$ 2019. As already mentioned, the census deployed 38,447 enumerators and 9,200 supervisors to collect the data in a total of 14,545 villages. There were a little more than 37,000 regular EAs, with the remainder of the staff assigned to special settlements such as camps, prisons and hospitals. Homeless persons (including those staying on boats) were enumerated during census night.

In keeping with the 13th March 2019 deadline, most households nation-wide were covered during the eleven-day enumeration period. However, recent growth in the Chinese population in Preah Sihanouk meant that it was necessary to extend the enumeration period in this province by three days. A special team from the NIS was also deployed to support the Preah Sihanouk team. The existence of many new households in Phnom Penh also resulted in an extension of the enumeration period in the capital, with interviews carried out until $20^{\text {th }}$ of March 2019.

Enumerators and other census officers traveled long distances to reach remote and forested areas. The field staff used vehicles, motorcycles, bicycles and boats. Mobile phone communication was highly useful during census work and ensured that supervisors and enumerators were able to contact core staff when assistance was needed.

Table 1.1 Timetable of major census fieldwork

| Date | Activities |
| :--- | :--- |
| February 28th to March 2nd, 2019 (3 <br> days) | Household listing in enumeration areas and updating the <br> enumeration area maps. |
| March 3rd, 2019 night-time | Enumeration of the homeless and transient population |
| March 3rd to 13th, 2019 (11 days) | General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 enumeration, <br> with midnight of March 3rd (00:00 AM) as the reference <br> moment. |
| March 13th to 14th, 2019 | Collection of completed census documents from field offices. |
| March 15th to 22nd, 2019 | Receipt of completed census records at the National Institute <br> of Statistics in Phnom Penh. |

## CHAPTER 2

## POPULATION SIZE, GROWTH AND DISTRIBUTION

### 2.1 Total population

The total number of ethnic minority people living in Cambodia in 2008 was 389,424 and this increased to 455,610 in 2019. In a similar trend, the number of ethnic minority people living in rural areas increased from 361,337 in 2008 to 374,635 in 2019. The percentage of ethnic minority people living in urban areas increased from $7.2 \%$ in 2008 to $17.8 \%$ in 2019 due to improved infrastructure, population growth, urban reclassification, and urbanization.

According to the 2019 census, the regions with the highest proportions of ethnic minority people are in the mountains and highlands (46\%) and the central plains (35.8\%). The proportion of ethnic minority people in the Tonle Sap lake region was $15.8 \%$; and the proportion in the coastal and sea region was $2.4 \%$.

Figure 2.1 Total size of the ethnic minority population, Cambodia, 2019


### 2.2 Annual population growth

The population growth rate refers to change in the population living in a country or region over a specific period, regardless of whether the change is positive or negative. This change is measured as a percentage.

The final results of the 2019 general census estimated that the total number of ethnic minority people in Cambodia had increased by only 66,186 , equivalent to $17 \%$ in the 11year period between 2008 and 2019. This is an annual growth rate of $1.4 \%$ (Table 2.1). The annual population growth rate increased, possibly due to the fertility and migration of ethnic minority people from other provinces.

Table 2.1 Annual ethnic minority population growth rate by urban-rural area and region, 2008 and 2019

| Region | Total ethnic minority population |  | Growth rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2019 |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Total | 389,424 | 455,610 | 1.4 |
| Urban | 28,087 | 80,975 | 9.6 |
| Rural | 361,337 | 374,635 | 0.3 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 133,896 | 162,909 | 1.8 |
| Tonle Sap | 54,220 | 72,186 | 2.6 |
| Coastal \& sea | 6,747 | 10,930 | 4.4 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 194,561 | 209,580 | 0.7 |

Note: This figure does not include migrant workers who have gone overseas.

### 2.3 Population distribution

Table 2.2 shows the total ethnic minority population by urban-rural area, region, province and sex. In 2019, the highest numbers of ethnic minority people were found in Ratanakkiri $(101,691)$, Tbong Khmum $(90,041)$, Kratie $(41,622)$, Mondulkiri $(35,337)$ and Kampong Chhnang $(30,137)$. These provinces have long had high numbers of ethnic minority people and also have high fertility rates. Kep had the lowest ethnic minority population (312). When compared to 2008, the provincial distribution of ethnic minority people in 2019 was fairly similar.

Table 2.2 Ethnic minority population by urban-rural area, region, province and sex, 2008 and 2019

| Area/Region/ Province | 2008 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 389,424 | 189,916 | 199,508 | 455,610 | 222,417 | 233,193 |
| Urban | 28,087 | 13,898 | 14,189 | 80,975 | 39,483 | 41,492 |
| Rural | 361,337 | 176,018 | 185,319 | 374,635 | 182,934 | 191,701 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plain | 133,896 | 64,335 | 69,561 | 162,909 | 78,525 | 84,384 |
| Tonle Sap | 54,220 | 26,476 | 27,744 | 72,186 | 34,837 | 37,349 |
| Coastal \& sea | 6,747 | 3,438 | 3,309 | 10,930 | 5,376 | 5,554 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 194,561 | 95,667 | 98,894 | 209,580 | 103,675 | 105,905 |
| Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Banteay Meanchey | 3,102 | 1,532 | 1,570 | 5,601 | 2,786 | 2,815 |
| Battambang | 8,759 | 4,397 | 4,362 | 5,705 | 2,752 | 2,953 |
| Kampong Cham | 22,366 | 10,665 | 11,701 | 23,032 | 11,103 | 11,929 |
| Kampong Chhnang | 20,422 | 10,055 | 10,367 | 30,137 | 14,382 | 15,755 |
| Kampong Speu | 2,519 | 1,195 | 1,324 | 4,539 | 2,233 | 2,306 |
| Kampong Thom | 8,361 | 4,022 | 4,339 | 13,422 | 6,513 | 6,909 |
| Kampot | 1,171 | 571 | 600 | 4,403 | 2,117 | 2,286 |
| Kandal | 12,912 | 6,371 | 6,541 | 15,870 | 7,543 | 8,327 |
| Koh Kong | 1,209 | 616 | 593 | 1,921 | 947 | 974 |
| Kratie | 43,153 | 21,199 | 21,954 | 41,622 | 20,566 | 21,056 |
| Mondulkiri | 32,166 | 15,883 | 16,283 | 35,337 | 17,564 | 17,773 |
| Phnom Penh | 16,124 | 7,865 | 8,259 | 22,905 | 11,270 | 11,635 |
| Preah Vihear | 20,073 | 9,678 | 10,395 | 12,484 | 6,086 | 6,398 |
| Prey Veng | 2,781 | 1,361 | 1,420 | 2,512 | 1,177 | 1,335 |
| Pursat | 10,054 | 4,786 | 5,268 | 11,073 | 5,327 | 5,746 |
| Ratanakkiri | 88,859 | 43,859 | 45,000 | 101,691 | 50,248 | 51,443 |
| Siem Reap | 2,797 | 1,335 | 1,462 | 4,523 | 2,199 | 2,324 |
| Preah Sihanouk | 4,074 | 2,103 | 1,971 | 4,294 | 2,158 | 2,136 |
| Stoeng Treng | 7,791 | 3,853 | 3,938 | 13,907 | 6,978 | 6,929 |
| Svay Rieng | 920 | 428 | 492 | 2,541 | 1,175 | 1,366 |
| Takeo | 2,897 | 1,386 | 1,511 | 6,013 | 2,833 | 3,180 |
| Oddar Meanchey | 351 | 163 | 188 | 1,135 | 543 | 592 |
| Kep | 293 | 148 | 145 | 312 | 154 | 158 |
| Pailin | 374 | 186 | 188 | 590 | 335 | 255 |
| Tbong Khmum | 75,896 | 36,259 | 39,637 | 90,041 | 43,428 | 46,613 |

Note: This figure does not include migrant workers who have gone overseas

As shown in Table 2.3, the majority of all ethnic minority people living in Cambodia in 2019 were Cham, 275,217 (61.4\%). Punong, 36,585 (8.2\%) Tampuan, 36,373 (8.1\%) Jarai, 26,922 (6.0\%) Kreung, 21,453 (4.8\%) Kuoy, 16,762 (3.8\%) Prov, 10,086 (2.3\%). In particular, the smallest ethnic minority groups in Cambodia were the Ka-chrouk, Mon, and Kanh-chok.

Table 2.3 Total size of various ethnic minority populations by sex, 2008 and 2019

| Ethnic minority groups | 2008 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 389,424 | 189,916 | 199,508 | 455,610 | 222,417 | 233,193 |
| Charai | 26,335 | 12,928 | 13,407 | 26,922 | 13,215 | 13,707 |
| Cham | 204,080 | 98,732 | 105,348 | 275,217 | 133,361 | 141,856 |
| Kavet | 6,218 | 2,952 | 3,266 | 7,569 | 3,702 | 3,867 |
| Khloeng | 702 | 353 | 349 | 413 | 203 | 210 |
| Kuoy | 28,612 | 13,793 | 14,819 | 16,762 | 8,068 | 8,694 |
| Kroeng | 19,988 | 9,796 | 10,192 | 21,453 | 10,605 | 10,848 |
| Lorn | 327 | 153 | 174 | 1,033 | 518 | 515 |
| Punorng | 37,507 | 18,612 | 18,895 | 36,585 | 18,143 | 18,442 |
| Prov | 9,025 | 4,440 | 4,585 | 10,086 | 4,946 | 5,140 |
| Tumpuon | 31,013 | 15,364 | 15,649 | 36,373 | 17,913 | 18,460 |
| Steang | 6,541 | 3,298 | 3,243 | 4,908 | 2,360 | 2,548 |
| Ro-ong | 1,831 | 855 | 976 | 573 | 237 | 336 |
| Kroul | 4,202 | 2,065 | 2,137 | 5,630 | 2,774 | 2,856 |
| Rodae | 21 | 10 | 11 | 179 | 107 | 72 |
| Thmoon | 865 | 426 | 439 | 1,164 | 575 | 589 |
| Mael | 1,697 | 827 | 870 | 984 | 485 | 499 |
| Khonh | 743 | 376 | 367 | 109 | 62 | 47 |
| Por | 1,827 | 883 | 944 | 944 | 453 | 491 |
| Suoy | 857 | 414 | 443 | 775 | 363 | 412 |
| Sa-ouch | 445 | 159 | 286 | 209 | 116 | 93 |
| Ka-chrook | 408 | 176 | 232 | 266 | 124 | 142 |
| Morn | 19 | 11 | 8 | 27 | 15 | 12 |
| Kanh-Chok | 10 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 9 | 7 |
| Other | 6,151 | 3,285 | 2,866 | 7,413 | 4,063 | 3,350 |

Note: This figure does not include migrant workers who have gone overseas

### 2.4 Population distribution by religion

Table 2.4 shows that $31.7 \%$ of ethnic minority people reported practicing Buddhism. The most common religion reported by ethnic minority people in 2019 was Muslim (50.1\%). Christianity and other religions accounted for approximately $18.1 \%$. Christianity was the third most commonly practiced religion reported by ethnic minority people. The distribution of ethnic minority people by religion was similar in 2008 and 2019. In the plateau and mountains of the northeastern region, high proportions of ethnic minority people reported practicing other religions (make offerings). These percentages were $48.5 \%$ in 2008 and 33.9\% in 2019.

Table 2.4 Percentage distribution of ethnic minority people by urban-rural area, region and religion, 2008 and 2019

| Capital/Province | 2008 |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Buddhism | Muslim | Christian | Other | Buddhism | Muslim | Christian | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Total | 25.1 | 48.7 | 1.8 | 24.4 | 31.7 | 50.1 | 2.3 | 15.8 |
| Urban | 25.2 | 68.8 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 35.1 | 56.3 | 3.0 | 5.6 |
| Rural | 25.1 | 47.2 | 1.6 | 26.1 | 31 | 48.8 | 2.2 | 18 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central plains | 9.8 | 89.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 18.3 | 80.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Tonle Sap | 23.6 | 75.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 25 | 73.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| Coastal \& sea | 24.6 | 72.8 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 40.9 | 57.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 36.1 | 12.5 | 2.9 | 48.5 | 44.1 | 18.0 | 4.0 | 33.9 |

Note: This figure does not include migrant workers who have gone overseas

## CHAPTER 3

## AGE-SEX STRUCTURE AND MARITAL STATUS

### 3.1 Key concepts

According to the United Nations definition, the age of a person is recorded in the census as the gap between the date of birth and the date of the census in full solar years. The United Nations definition also states that age information can be based on the date of birth (day/month/year of birth) or respondents can be asked directly about their age at the last birthday.

These recommendations have been used to collect age data in surveys of ethnic minority populations. Age information in full years is based on the last birthday received from the respondent. In general, it is easy to ask for this age information, although sometimes it is difficult to collect accurate age data from the illiterate or the elderly.

Special prompts may need to be used to ensure accurate age data is collected for respondents. The Khmer calendar was used by census interviewers to ask for the full age of respondents for those who had trouble reporting their age. Interviewers were also provided with a list of important national and international events, which were used to help respondents to accurately recall their age.

### 3.2 Age structure

Age structure is determined by three factors that affect population growth rates: fertility, mortality, and migration. The population age structure can be analyzed in terms of the percentage of the population present at each age or age group. Population pyramids are a graphical representation of the age structure and provide a visual summary of a populations' particular demographic history.

Table 3.1 Distribution of the ethnic minority population by age, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Age | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 1 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |


| Age | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 2 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| 3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| 4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| 5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| 7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| 8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| 9 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 10 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| 11 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| 12 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
| 13 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 |
| 14 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 15 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| 16 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 17 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| 18 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 19 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 20 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 21 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 22 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 23 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 24 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 25 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 26 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 27 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 28 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 29 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 30 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| 31 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 32 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 33 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 34 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 35 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 36 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 37 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 38 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 39 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 |


| Age | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 40 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 41 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 42 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 43 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 44 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 45 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 46 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 47 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 48 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 49 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 50 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 51 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 52 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 53 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 54 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 55 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| 56 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| 57 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 58 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 59 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 60 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 61 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 62 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 63 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 64 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 65 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 66 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 67 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 68 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 69 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 70 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| 71 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 72 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 73 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 74 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 75+ | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.0 |

Overall, the Cambodian population is aging. In 2019, 29.4\% of the general population was aged under the age of 15 and $8.9 \%$ of the population was aged 60 and above. Table 3.2 shows that the median age was 27 in 2019 . In the 2008 census it was only 22 years. The median age of the population increased by 5 years between 2008 and 2019.

In contrast to this, those under the age of 15 accounted for $34.1 \%$ of the ethnic minority population in 2019; and those aged 60 and over accounted for just $7.7 \%$. In 2019, the median age of the ethnic minority population was 23 years. Overall, the ethnic minority population had a higher percentage of children aged 0-14 years, which indicates that the age structure is still comparatively young. This pattern reflects the higher-than-average number of births per woman of reproductive age in this population sub-group.

It is interesting to look at the distribution by urban-rural residence and age group. Table 3.2 shows that there were higher proportions of working-age populations in urban areas than in rural areas; whereas younger and older age groups were proportionately larger in rural areas. This profile reflects a combination of declining fertility rates in urban and rural areas, as well as population displacement.

Table 3.2 General and ethnic minority populations by urban-rural area, age group, dependency ratio, median age and sex ratio, 2008 and 2019

| Characteristics | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| $0-14$ | 29.4 | 25.6 | 31.8 | 34.1 | 28.4 | 35.4 |
| $15-59$ | 61.7 | 66.2 | 58.9 | 58.2 | 63.2 | 57.1 |
| $60+$ | 8.9 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependency ratio | 62.0 | 51.2 | 69.9 | 71.9 | 58.4 | 75.1 |
| Median age | 27 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 26 | 22 |
| Sex ratio | 94.9 | 95.3 | 94.6 | 95.4 | 95.2 | 95.4 |

Note: This figure does not include migrant workers who have gone overseas

### 3.2.1 Age dependency ratio

A simple method of describing the age structure of a population is represented in a measure called the age dependency ratio. This measure can be thought of as the ratio of the dependent age population to the working age population. It is constructed by combining the number of children (0-14 years old) in a population and the number of elderly people ( 60 years and above), dividing the total by the working age population (15-59 years) and then multiplying by 100 .

The age dependency ratios in Table 3.2 show that there is a comparatively high dependency ratio in the ethnic minority population relative to the general population. The dependency ratio for the ethnic minority population was 71.9 in 2019. This ratio means there were 71.9 people of dependent age for every 100 people of working age in the population. The age dependency ratio for the general population was much lower at 62.

### 3.2.2 Population pyramids

Figures 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 show the age structure of the ethnic minority population when compared to the general population (total, urban, and rural). The pyramids show the percentage of males and females by 5 -year age groups, starting with the youngest group at the bottom and continuing to the oldest age group at the top of the pyramid. The percentage of males is shown on the left hand of the pyramid and the percentage of females is shown on the right hand. The shaded areas represent the percentage of general population in 2008 and the black outline represents the ethnic minority population in 2019.

A comparison of the age pyramids for the ethnic minority and the general populations highlights some important age-structural differences (Figure 3.1). The proportion of 0-4-year-olds is lower than that of 5-9 year-olds for the general population and this reflects a pattern of continued fertility decline and high migration in the decade prior to the census. The same trend is mirrored in the corresponding age groups for the ethnic minority population, although these groups are proportionately larger. This reflects the comparatively higher fertility rate and lower migration rate due to the high percentage of children.

Figure 3.1 General and ethnic minority population age pyramids, 2019


Figure 3.2 presents The 2019 population pyramids for urban populations. The age groups 25-29 to 35-39 are proportionately larger for the general population than the ethnic minority population. In comparison, the ethnic minority population has a higher proportion of children and adolescents under the age of 25 . These patterns might reflect the continued high fertility rate and low migration rates among ethnic minority populations.

Figure 3.2 General and ethnic minority population pyramids for urban areas, 2019


It is also interesting to compare the younger age groups in the urban and rural population pyramids for the general and ethnic minority population. In particular, the proportion of children aged 0-4 years is similar to the proportion aged 5-9 years in urban areas, whereas those aged 0-4 in rural areas is proportionately smaller than the 5-9 group.

Figure 3.3 General and ethnic minority population pyramids for rural areas, 2019


A comparison of Figures 3.2 and 3.3 reveals significant differences between the age structure of the ethnic minority populations living in urban and rural areas. In general, Figure 3.3 shows that rural areas have a much larger proportion of younger people under 15 years old. In urban areas, in particular, many people in the working-age group are economically active (15-59). This trend may be due to the movement of people from rural to urban areas to find work in urban areas.

Figure 3.4 compares urban and rural ethnic minority populations. The pyramid for the urban population widens in the middle and gets smaller at the top, indicating that the proportion of the population in the working-age group is high and that the proportion of older people is small. In contrast, the rural pyramid is wider in the young age group and narrows again at the top of the pyramid. This comparison clearly shows that rural areas have a larger population of young and old people.

Figure 3.4 Ethnic minority population pyramids for urban and rural areas, 2019


### 3.3 Sex composition

The gender ratio or sex ratio is the ratio of the number of males per 100 females in a population. If the sex ratio exceeds 100, the number of males in the population exceeds the number of females. Conversely, if the sex ratio is less than 100, the number of females exceeds the number of males. The sex ratio is often used to measure overall gender equality in society. The ratio of males to females has a direct influence on the search for marriage partners and development programs. And it can also reflect important sex differences in the quality of the life of males and females. The following section analyses the sex ratio of ethnic minority people in Cambodia.

The 1998 and 2008 censuses collected information disaggregated by ethnic minority population and sex for almost all thematic areas. This information on the sex ratio can help to identify gender impacts in development activities and can direct an effective response to gender issues. The final results of the 2019 census reported that the size of the ethnic minority population in Cambodia was 455,610 , of which $48.8 \%$ were men and $51.1 \%$ were women.

In general, the number of male births and the number of female births in a population are not equal. Fertility studies show that the number of male births is often higher than the number of female births. The sex ratio at birth varies between 102 and 110 in most countries (Haupt et al., 2011). Ethnic minority sex ratios estimated at birth were approximately 105.

The sex ratio, or ethnic minority sex ratio, is 95.4 , compared to 94.9 for the general population, according to the latest 2019 Cambodian census. The number of women is greater than the number of men in the general population and ethnic minority populations. In most countries, the sex ratio is between 95 and 105. Ethnic minority sex ratios vary from region to region (Table 3.3). In 2019, none of the areas or regions had an ethnic minority sex ratio higher than 100.

Table 3.3 Sex distribution and sex ratios of the general and ethnic minority populations by urban-rural area and region, 2019

| Area/Region | General population (GP) |  | Ethnic minority population (EMP) |  | Sex ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | GP | EMP |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 7,571,837 | 7,980,374 | 222,417 | 233,193 | 94.9 | 95.4 |
| Urban | 2,993,339 | 3,141,855 | 39,483 | 41,492 | 95.3 | 95.2 |
| Rural | 4,578,498 | 4,838,519 | 182,934 | 191,701 | 94.6 | 95.4 |
| Central plains | 3,676,211 | 3,968,084 | 78,525 | 84,384 | 92.6 | 93.1 |
| Tonle Sap | 2,380,256 | 2,472,708 | 34,837 | 37,349 | 96.3 | 93.3 |
| Coastal \& sea | 533,560 | 538,908 | 5,376 | 5,554 | 99.0 | 96.8 |
| Plateau \& mountains | 981,810 | 1,000,674 | 103,675 | 105,905 | 98.1 | 97.9 |

Note: This figure does not include migrant workers who have gone overseas

The sex ratio differs by age group (Table 3.4). Among the general population and ethnic minority population in 2019, there are significantly more males than females in the population under 15 years old. Table 3.4 shows a larger female population than the male population for all ethnic minority population age groups, except those below age 15. For the general population the same pattern of excess females is observed for all age groups 2024 years and above.

Table 3.4 Sex ratio of the general and ethnic minority populations by age group, 2019

| Age group | Sex ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General population | Ethnic minority population |
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Total | 94.9 | 95.4 |
| 0-4 | 105.9 | 105.0 |
| 5-9 | 104.7 | 103.1 |
| 10-14 | 104.7 | 104.3 |
| 15-19 | 101.6 | 97.2 |
| 20-24 | 95.0 | 92.2 |
| 25-29 | 95.7 | 95.4 |
| 30-34 | 96.0 | 96.6 |
| 35-39 | 97.8 | 97.3 |
| 40-44 | 94.9 | 92.7 |
| 45-49 | 93.1 | 92.2 |
| 50-54 | 86.4 | 82.5 |
| 55-59 | 85.8 | 87.9 |
| 60-64 | 67.9 | 77.4 |
| 65-69 | 67.4 | 75.6 |
| 70-74 | 66.3 | 70.1 |
| $75+$ | 62.6 | 67.0 |

### 3.4 Marital status and the Singulate Mean Age at Marriage

### 3.4.1 Marital status

Marital status is an important factor that can influence fertility, mortality and migration. Table 3.5 shows the classification of the male and female population aged 15 years and over by marital status. Questions about marital status in the 2019 census were divided into groups such as unmarried, currently married, widowed/widower, divorced and living separately. In general, a person is considered to be currently married if, according to local laws or customs, he or she is recognized as married or living with a person of the opposite sex as husband and wife.

For the 2019 census, the marital status that accounted for the highest proportion of both the general and ethnic minority populations was the proportion of the population over 15 years that was currently married ( $66.2 \%$ and $68.3 \%$ respectively). The proportion of the general population aged 15 and over that had never married was $27.5 \%$, compared to $26.3 \%$ of the ethnic minority population.

The proportion of the population that was widowed was $4 \%$ for the general population and $3.4 \%$ for the ethnic minority population. For both the general and ethnic minority populations, the proportions of the female population that reported being divorced, widowed or separated were larger than for the male population. This phenomenon occurs in almost every country in the world and reflects a combination of factors such as lower male life expectancy relative to females and the comparatively higher propensity among men to remarry.

Table 3.5 General and ethnic minority populations aged 15 years and above by marital status, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Marital status | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
|  | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Never married | 27.5 | 31.2 | 24.1 | 26.3 | 30.6 | 22.3 |
| Married | 66.2 | 65.9 | 66.4 | 68.3 | 67.3 | 69.2 |
| Widow/widower | 4.0 | 1.5 | 6.3 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 5.5 |
| Divorced | 2.2 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.9 |
| Separated | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Never married | 31.1 | 34.3 | 28.1 | 32.6 | 36.3 | 29.2 |
| Married | 62.5 | 62.3 | 62.7 | 61.8 | 61.1 | 62.4 |
| Widow/widower | 3.7 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 5.1 |
| Divorced | 2.5 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 3.1 |
| Separated | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Never married | 24.9 | 28.9 | 21.4 | 24.8 | 29.3 | 20.7 |
| Married | 68.8 | 68.6 | 69.0 | 69.8 | 68.7 | 70.8 |
| Widow/widower | 4.2 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 5.6 |
| Divorced | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 2.8 |
| Separated | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Note: Calculated excluding unreported marital status

### 3.4.2 Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM)

Direct questions about the age at first marriage were asked in the 2019 census. However, the number of recalled marriages differed significantly from the indirect estimate, so the average age at first marriage was estimated using an indirect method derived from marital status by age. The "Singulate Mean Age at Marriage" or SMAM (Hajnal in 1953) is an estimate of the average number of years lived prior to first marriage, by those who had married by age 50.

The SMAM is calculated from the proportions of the male and female population at each age (from age 15-50) that remain single. It represents the average length of single life (expressed in years) of those who married before reaching age 50. Assumptions related to this calculation are the change in unmarried proportions from age $x$ to $x+1$ as a measure of birth proportion in the marriage age group at age x .

Using the age distribution of never-married ethnic minority people provided by the 2019 census, the SMAM for ethnic minority people was estimated to be 27 for men and 24 for women. Table 3.6 shows that the SMAM for men was higher than for women in the general and ethnic minority populations. When the SMAM for the general and ethnic minority populations are compared, it is clear that the SMAM for males and females in the ethnic minority populations were significantly lower than the corresponding SMAMs for the general population.

For the ethnic minority population, some important urban and rural differences were also observed. For both men and women, the SMAM in urban areas was higher than in rural areas. In 2019, the SMAM for urban men was almost three years higher than the SMAM for rural men. The difference between urban and rural ethnic minority women was also substantial, with a SMAM of 24.8 in urban areas and 21.5 in rural areas.

Table 3.6 Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM) for the general and ethnic minority populations by sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Region | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | SMAM gap | Male | Female | SMAM gap |
| $(1)$ |  | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ |
| Total | 27.0 | 24.0 | 3.0 | 25.3 | 22.1 | 3.2 |
| Urban | 28.1 | 25.0 | 3.1 | 27.6 | 24.8 | 3.8 |
| Rural | 26.0 | 22.7 | 3.3 | 24.7 | 21.5 | 3.2 |

### 3.5 Youth marriage

Overall youth marriage patterns were similar for ethnic minority males and females in 2019. For men and women, the proportion of married individuals was much higher than the general population for the age groups 15-19 and 20-24 years. And this pattern held for both rural and urban areas (Table 3.7). The proportion of never married men and women between the ages of 45-49 was low. This indicates that most ethnic minority men and women marry at a young age but, as they get older, some individuals remain single.

Table 3.7 Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM) and proportions ever married by sex, age group, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Region | Male |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  | SMAM gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM) | Percentage ever married |  |  | Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM) | Percentage ever married |  |  |  |
|  |  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 45-49 |  | 15-19 | 20-24 | 45-49 |  |
| General population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 27.0 | 1.8 | 26.2 | 93.3 | 24.0 | 8.2 | 48.1 | 84.8 | 3.0 |
| Urban | 28.1 | 1.5 | 22.0 | 88.9 | 25.0 | 6.3 | 39.6 | 80.7 | 3.1 |
| Rural | 26.0 | 2.0 | 29.7 | 96.1 | 22.7 | 9.5 | 55.3 | 87.3 | 3.3 |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 25.3 | 44.2 | 37.9 | 18.0 | 22.1 | 42.9 | 38.8 | 18.4 | 3.2 |
| Urban | 27.6 | 41.0 | 40.0 | 19.0 | 24.8 | 39.4 | 42.1 | 18.5 | 3.8 |
| Rural | 24.7 | 44.9 | 37.4 | 17.7 | 21.5 | 43.6 | 38.0 | 18.3 | 3.2 |

## CHAPTER 4

## LITERACY AND EDUCATION

### 4.1 Key concepts

Six questions were asked in the 2019 census in order to gather information on literacy and full-time education: i) Khmer language literacy, ii) literacy in other languages, iii) school attendance, iv) current grade, v) highest grade completed, and vi) main subjects studied. All questions were also asked in the 2008 census, apart from the questions regarding current grade and the main subjects studied. These two new questions were included in the 2019 census to meet the needs of the national education system.

Literacy is the ability to read and write a language with comprehension. A person who can read and write a language is considered literate, and a person who cannot read and write a language is considered illiterate. A person is also considered illiterate if the person can read and write only names or numbers; or if they can read but not write or vice versa. The literacy rate is an important indicator of educational outcomes and is defined as the percentage literate within the population of a given age group. In the 2008 and 2019 censuses, children under the age of 6 were defined as illiterate, even if they attended school or could read and write certain words in any language.

### 4.2 Literacy rate

The 2019 census results for the Kingdom of Cambodia show that the literacy rate for any language among those aged 7 and over was $77.1 \%$ for the ethnic minority population and $88.5 \%$ for the general population (Figure 4.1). The literacy rate for ethnic minority women was $74 \%$, which is much lower than the rate for women in the general population (86.2\%).

Table 4.1 shows that there was a large gap between the literacy rates of ethnic minority men and women in 2019 ( $80.5 \%$ for men, $74 \%$ for women). The gap between the sexes was particularly high in rural areas ( $77.8 \%$ for men and $70.6 \%$ for women). In general, inequality between men and women in basic education is a major issue for the Royal Government to consider. There is an urgent need for policies that strengthen universal education and promote the eradication of illiteracy and gaps in reading and writing.

Figure 4.1 Percentage literate in the general and ethnic minority populations aged 7 and over by sex, 2019


The literacy rate for any language was higher for the ethnic minority population living in urban areas than those living in rural areas ( $90.7 \%$ and $74.1 \%$ respectively). This reflects differences in the degree of development between urban and rural areas. However, due to policies promoting universal education and the eradication of illiteracy, the urban-rural gap in literacy rates is gradually narrowing for the ethnic minority population as well as the general population. In 2019, the literacy gap between ethnic minority populations living in urban and rural areas was $16.6 \%$. This is markedly different from the gap observed in 2008.

Table 4.1 Percentage literate in the general and ethnic minority populations aged 7 and over by language, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Region | Population aged 7 years and older | Number of literate individuals |  | Literacy rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Any language | Khmer only | Any language | Khmer only |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| General population |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 13,487,591 | 11,940,831 | 10,849,999 | 88.5 | 80.4 |
| Male | 6,510,705 | 5,928,762 | 5,350,494 | 91.1 | 82.2 |
| Female | 6,976,886 | 6,012,069 | 5,499,505 | 86.2 | 78.8 |
| Urban | 5,406,567 | 5,043,456 | 4,322,931 | 93.3 | 80 |
| Male | 2,618,772 | 2,485,906 | 2,097,551 | 94.9 | 80.1 |
| Female | 2,787,795 | 2,557,550 | 2,225,380 | 91.7 | 79.8 |
| Rural | 8,081,024 | 6,897,375 | 6,527,068 | 85.4 | 80.8 |
| Male | 3,891,933 | 3,442,856 | 3,252,943 | 88.5 | 83.6 |
| Female | 4,189,091 | 3,454,519 | 3,274,125 | 82.5 | 78.2 |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 386,054 | 297,773 | 164,190 | 77.1 | 42.5 |
| Male | 186,912 | 150,423 | 85,011 | 80.5 | 45.5 |
| Female | 199,142 | 147,350 | 79,179 | 74 | 39.8 |
| Urban | 70,475 | 63,914 | 36,023 | 90.7 | 51.1 |
| Male | 34,116 | 31,528 | 17,590 | 92.4 | 51.6 |
| Female | 36,359 | 32,386 | 18,433 | 89.1 | 50.7 |
| Rural | 315,579 | 233,859 | 128,167 | 74.1 | 40.6 |
| Male | 152,796 | 118,895 | 67,421 | 77.8 | 44.1 |
| Female | 162,783 | 114,964 | 60,746 | 70.6 | 37.3 |

Note: Literacy rate calculation excluding unreported literacy

Table 4.2 provides information on the distribution of literacy rates by language, sex, and urban-rural area for the general and ethnic minority populations in 2019. Overall, 55.1\% of the ethnic minority population were proficient in Khmer only; $1.7 \%$ were proficient in Khmer and English; and 31.7\% reported speaking Khmer and languages other than English (Table 4.2). $11.5 \%$ of the ethnic minority population aged 7 and over just reported proficiency in a language other than Khmer. In contrast to this, $5.1 \%$ of the general
population reported speaking Khmer and English and 2.5\% reported speaking Khmer and languages other than English.

The proportion of the ethnic minority population that can use English is lower than in the general population. However, the proportion of the ethnic minority population that can read Khmer and languages other than English is much higher than in the general population.

Table 4.2 Distribution of the literate general and ethnic minority populations aged 7 years and over by language and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Population aged 7+ that knows any language | Language (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Khmer | KhmerEnglish | Khmer and languages other than English | Any language other than Khmer |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| General Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11,940,831 | 90.9 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 1.5 |
| Male | 5,928,762 | 90.2 | 5.5 | 2.7 | 1.5 |
| Female | 6,012,069 | 91.5 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| Urban | 5,043,456 | 85.7 | 9.2 | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| Male | 2,485,906 | 84.4 | 10.1 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Female | 2,557,550 | 87 | 8.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| Rural | 6,897,375 | 94.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.9 |
| Male | 3,442,856 | 94.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 0.8 |
| Female | 3,454,519 | 94.8 | 2 | 2.2 | 1 |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 297,773 | 55.1 | 1.7 | 31.7 | 11.5 |
| Male | 150,423 | 56.5 | 1.9 | 32.1 | 9.5 |
| Female | 147,350 | 53.7 | 1.6 | 31.2 | 13.5 |
| Urban | 63,914 | 56.4 | 5.5 | 28.6 | 9.6 |
| Male | 31,528 | 55.8 | 5.9 | 29 | 9.3 |
| Female | 32,386 | 56.9 | 5.1 | 28.1 | 9.9 |
| Rural | 233,859 | 54.8 | 0.7 | 32.5 | 12 |
| Male | 118,895 | 56.7 | 0.8 | 33 | 9.5 |
| Female | 114,964 | 52.8 | 0.6 | 32 | 14.5 |

Note: Literacy rate calculation excludes those with unreported literacy

### 4.3 Adult literacy rate

Adult literacy rates define those aged 15 years of age and older who can read and write simple phrases in any language. Adult literacy rates for the general population and ethnic minority population were different at the national, urban, and rural levels. Overall, the census 2019 found that $87.7 \%$ of the adult general population and $74.6 \%$ of the adult ethnic
minority population were literate (Table 4.3). Ethnic minority women had significantly lower literacy rates than ethnic minority men. There was a significant difference between urban and rural areas in 2019, with the ethnic minority adult literacy rate estimated to be $90.3 \%$ in urban areas and $70.8 \%$ in rural areas.

A review of existing data shows that ethnic minority literacy rates in Cambodia have improved significantly over the past few decades. Ethnic minority age groups have a higher literacy rate and a smaller gender gap. Ethnic minority literacy rates for males and females in the younger age groups are similar, although there is a greater gap between the age groups 25 years and older, which may indicate that in the past ethnic minority females were less likely than males to have access to education. This may be because the place of study was far away and not suited to the needs of ethnic minority women or because of the low level of parental education. However, this inequality has significantly reduced in recent years.

Table 4.3 Adult literacy status of the general and ethnic minority populations aged 15 years and older by age group, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Age group | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 + | 87.7 | 90.9 | 84.8 | 74.6 | 78.9 | 70.7 |
| 15-19 | 95.9 | 95.5 | 96.3 | 87.0 | 87.7 | 86.3 |
| 20-24 | 93.4 | 93.2 | 93.5 | 80.6 | 82.3 | 79.1 |
| 25-59 | 87.5 | 90.3 | 84.8 | 72.3 | 77.4 | 67.5 |
| 60 + | 75.2 | 85.9 | 68.1 | 63.7 | 70.5 | 58.8 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15+$ | 93.3 | 95.3 | 91.4 | 90.3 | 92.4 | 88.4 |
| 15-19 | 97.6 | 97.3 | 97.9 | 95.8 | 95.3 | 96.3 |
| 20-24 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 96.8 | 94.4 | 94.3 | 94.5 |
| 25-59 | 93.6 | 95.3 | 92.0 | 90.1 | 92.4 | 87.9 |
| $60+$ | 82.6 | 90.8 | 77.0 | 80.8 | 86.3 | 77.0 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15+$ | 83.8 | 87.8 | 80.2 | 70.8 | 75.7 | 66.4 |
| 15-19 | 94.8 | 94.4 | 95.3 | 85.3 | 86.2 | 84.3 |
| 20-24 | 90.6 | 90.5 | 90.7 | 77.4 | 79.6 | 75.3 |
| 25-59 | 83.0 | 86.6 | 79.6 | 67.8 | 73.5 | 62.4 |
| $60+$ | 71.0 | 83.1 | 63.1 | 59.5 | 66.7 | 54.2 |

Note: Literacy rate calculation excludes those with unreported literacy

### 4.4 Educational attainment

Educational attainment is an important indicator of the level of education within a population. In the 2019 census, the census collected information on the highest grade attained by those aged 7 and above who were currently studying or had ever attended a school or educational institution. The analysis presented in this report characterizes individuals' educational attainment in terms of the highest level of education that they have completed.

Completed grades were coded as $1=$ none; $2=$ primary not completed (grades 1-5); 3 = primary (grades 6-8); 4 = completed lower secondary school (grade 9 with a lower secondary school diploma); 5 = completed secondary education with a diploma (graduated from high school/has a high school diploma, technical/vocational lower secondary diploma, technical/vocational high school diploma code from14 to 16); 6 = beyond secondary (bachelors/master's/doctoral degree code from 17 to19).

Figure 4.2 Educational attainment of literate of the general and ethnic minority populations aged 7 and over, 2019


At the national level, the 2019 census results (Table 4.4) show that $29.1 \%$ of the general population aged 7 years and over who had attended any school or educational institution reported that they had completed primary school and $21.8 \%$ reported completing lower secondary school. Just 2.9\% completed secondary school with a diploma and only $2.8 \%$ had completed tertiary education. $42.3 \%$ of the general population aged 7 or over reported that they had not completed primary school, while a small percentage (1.1\%) of the literate population did not complete any grade.

In contrast to the general population, a much larger proportion of the ethnic minority population aged 7 years and older reported not completing primary school (57.4\% in the ethnic minority population versus $42.3 \%$ in the general population). Similarly, the percentage of ethnic minority primary school graduates was $4.1 \%$ lower than the general population ( $24.9 \%$ and $29.1 \%$ respectively).

Lower secondary school completion was also much lower for the ethnic minority population than the general population (13\% versus $21.8 \%$ ). The population that reported completing secondary school with a diploma was $1.5 \%$ for the ethnic minority population versus $2.9 \%$ in the general population. And $1.1 \%$ of the relevant ethnic minority population reported completing tertiary education (bachelor's degree, master's degree, and doctoral degree) versus $2.8 \%$ in the general population.

Table 4.4 Educational attainment in the general and ethnic minority populations by age group, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

|  |  | Percentage by educational level attained |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Population aged 7+ that knows any language | Total | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { completed } \end{aligned}$ | Primary | Lower secondary | Secondary/ diploma | Beyond secondary education |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| General population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11,488,934 | 100 | 1.1 | 42.3 | 29.1 | 21.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| 10-14 | 2,272,206 | 100 | 5.5 | 74.3 | 19.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 1,324,839 | 100 | 0.2 | 16.7 | 40.8 | 39.9 | 2.4 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 1,137,909 | 100 | 0.1 | 22 | 31.9 | 33.5 | 9.3 | 3.2 |
| 25-59 | 5,805,767 | 100 | 0 | 37.5 | 30.5 | 24 | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| 60+ | 948,213 | 100 | 0 | 55.2 | 23.8 | 19 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Male | 5,732,187 | 100 | 1.2 | 39.7 | 29.1 | 23.4 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| 10-14 | 1,154,444 | 100 | 5.8 | 75.3 | 18.3 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 664,070 | 100 | 0.2 | 18.5 | 41.2 | 37.9 | 2.1 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 552,894 | 100 | 0.1 | 22.2 | 30.8 | 34.3 | 9.7 | 3 |
| 25-59 | 2,916,669 | 100 | 0 | 32.5 | 30.5 | 27.3 | 3.7 | 6 |
| 60+ | 444,110 | 100 | 0 | 47.9 | 27.7 | 21.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Female | 5,756,747 | 100 | 1.1 | 44.8 | 29.2 | 20.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 10-14 | 1,117,762 | 100 | 5.2 | 73.2 | 20.8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 660,769 | 100 | 0.2 | 14.8 | 40.5 | 41.8 | 2.7 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 585,015 | 100 | 0 | 21.8 | 33 | 32.8 | 8.9 | 3.5 |
| 25-59 | 2,889,098 | 100 | 0 | 42.5 | 30.6 | 20.8 | 2.5 | 3.6 |
| 60+ | 504,103 | 100 | 0 | 61.7 | 20.3 | 16.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Urban | 4,879,712 | 100 | 0.9 | 32.9 | 28 | 27.7 | 4.8 | 5.7 |
| 10-14 | 774,898 | 100 | 5.2 | 72.7 | 21.2 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 524,327 | 100 | 0.2 | 13.3 | 36.3 | 45.6 | 4.6 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 538,721 | 100 | 0 | 16.3 | 27.5 | 36.5 | 14.2 | 5.5 |
| 25-59 | 2,657,622 | 100 | 0 | 26.6 | 28.9 | 30.8 | 4.7 | 9 |
| 60+ | 384,144 | 100 | 0 | 46.3 | 25.5 | 24 | 2 | 2.1 |


|  |  | Percentage by educational level attained |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Population aged 7+ that knows any language | Total | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { completed } \end{aligned}$ | Primary | Lower secondary | Secondary/ diploma | Beyond secondary education |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| Male | 2,414,609 | 100 | 0.9 | 30 | 27.1 | 29.7 | 5.3 | 6.9 |
| 10-14 | 394,016 | 100 | 5.5 | 73.6 | 20.2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 258,856 | 100 | 0.2 | 14.4 | 36.7 | 44.4 | 4.3 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 258,707 | 100 | 0.1 | 16.1 | 26 | 37.7 | 15.1 | 5.1 |
| 25-59 | 1,328,788 | 100 | 0 | 21.7 | 27.4 | 34.2 | 5.6 | 11.1 |
| 60 + | 174,242 | 100 | 0 | 38.9 | 28 | 26.9 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| Female | 2,465,103 | 100 | 0.8 | 35.7 | 28.9 | 25.8 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| 10-14 | 380,882 | 100 | 5 | 71.8 | 22.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 265,471 | 100 | 0.2 | 12.2 | 35.8 | 46.8 | 5 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 280,014 | 100 | 0 | 16.5 | 28.8 | 35.4 | 13.3 | 5.9 |
| 25-59 | 1,328,834 | 100 | 0 | 31.5 | 30.3 | 27.4 | 3.9 | 6.8 |
| 60 + | 209,902 | 100 | 0 | 52.5 | 23.5 | 21.6 | 1.4 | 1 |
| Rural | 6,609,222 | 100 | 1.3 | 49.2 | 29.9 | 17.3 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| 10-14 | 1,497,308 | 100 | 5.7 | 75.1 | 18.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 800,512 | 100 | 0.3 | 18.9 | 43.8 | 36.1 | 0.9 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 599,188 | 100 | 0.1 | 27.1 | 36 | 30.8 | 4.9 | 1.1 |
| 25-59 | 3,148,145 | 100 | 0 | 46.7 | 31.9 | 18.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| 60 + | 564,069 | 100 | 0 | 61.3 | 22.6 | 15.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Male | 3,317,578 | 100 | 1.4 | 46.8 | 30.5 | 18.8 | 1.6 | 1 |
| 10-14 | 760,428 | 100 | 6 | 76.1 |  | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 405,214 | 100 | 0.3 | 21.2 | 44 | 33.8 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 294,187 | 100 | 0.1 | 27.6 | 35 | 31.3 | 4.9 | 1 |
| 25-59 | 1,587,881 | 100 | 0 | 41.6 | 33 | 21.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| 60 + | 269,868 | 100 | 0 | 53.6 | 27.6 | 18 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Female | 3,291,644 | 100 | 1.2 | 51.7 | 29.4 | 15.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| 10-14 | 736,880 | 100 | 5.4 | 73.9 | 20.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 395,298 | 100 | 0.2 | 16.6 | 43.6 | 38.5 | 1.1 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 305,001 | 100 | 0 | 26.6 | 36.9 | 30.4 | 4.9 | 1.2 |
| 25-59 | 1,560,264 | 100 | 0 | 51.8 | 30.8 | 15.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| 60 + | 294,201 | 100 | 0 | 68.3 | 18.1 | 13.4 | 0.2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | thnic minor | populatio |  |  |  |
| Total | 256,869 | 100 | 2.1 | 57.4 | 24.9 | 13 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| 10-14 | 70,860 | 100 | 7.3 | 79.2 | 13.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 37,440 | 100 | 0.2 | 32.7 | 42.1 | 23.9 | 1 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 29,225 | 100 | 0.1 | 38.1 | 32.1 | 24 | 4.8 | 1 |
| 25-59 | 105,176 | 100 | 0 | 55.2 | 25.9 | 14.8 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| 60 + | 14,168 | 100 | 0.1 | 69.5 | 15.9 | 12.1 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| Male | 133,447 | 100 | 2.1 | 56.1 | 24.9 | 13.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| 10-14 | 35,864 | 100 | 7.6 | 80 | 12 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 18,631 | 100 | 0.2 | 34.5 | 41.8 | 22.7 | 0.8 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 14,414 | 100 | 0.1 | 37.2 | 31.7 | 25.2 | 5 | 0.8 |
| 25-59 | 56,866 | 100 | 0 | 51.6 | 26.8 | 16.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 |


|  |  | Percentage by educational level attained |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Population aged 7+ that knows any language | Total | None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Primary } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { completed } \end{aligned}$ | Primary | Lower secondary | Secondary/ diploma | Beyond secondary education |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| 60 + | 7,672 | 100 | 0 | 66.2 | 17.6 | 13.4 | 1 | 1.7 |
| Female | 123,422 | 100 | 2.1 | 58.7 | 24.8 | 12.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| 10-14 | 34,996 | 100 | 7 | 78.4 | 14.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 18,809 | 100 | 0.2 | 31 | 42.4 | 25.2 | 1.2 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 14,811 | 100 | 0.1 | 38.9 | 32.4 | 22.9 | 4.6 | 1.1 |
| 25-59 | 48,310 | 100 | 0 | 59.4 | 24.9 | 12.5 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| 60 + | 6,496 | 100 | 0.1 | 73.4 | 13.9 | 10.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| Urban | 57,826 | 100 | 1.3 | 41.3 | 27.8 | 21.8 | 3.8 | 4 |
| 10-14 | 11,104 | 100 | 6.5 | 73.7 | 19.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 6,952 | 100 | 0.4 | 18.9 | 38.9 | 38.8 | 3.1 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 6,796 | 100 | 0.1 | 23.4 | 30.8 | 31.6 | 11.4 | 2.7 |
| 25-59 | 28,944 | 100 | 0 | 37 | 28.8 | 23.8 | 3.7 | 6.7 |
| 60 + | 4,030 | 100 | 0 | 52.8 | 19.5 | 20 | 2.8 | 4.9 |
| Male | 28,976 | 100 | 1.4 | 39 | 27.3 | 23.2 | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| 10-14 | 5,700 | 100 | 6.8 | 75.4 | 17.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 3,396 | 100 | 0.4 | 20.5 | 39.3 | 37.3 | 2.5 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 3,187 | 100 | 0 | 23.5 | 29.3 | 32.1 | 12.6 | 2.5 |
| 25-59 | 14,752 | 100 | 0 | 31.6 | 28.7 | 26.8 | 4.6 | 8.2 |
| 60 + | 1,941 | 100 | 0 | 46.5 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| Female | 28,850 | 100 | 1.2 | 43.7 | 28.3 | 20.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| 10-14 | 5,404 | 100 | 6.2 | 72 | 21.4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 3,556 | 100 | 0.4 | 17.3 | 38.4 | 40.2 | 3.7 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 3,609 | 100 | 0.1 | 23.3 | 32.2 | 31.2 | 10.3 | 2.8 |
| 25-59 | 14,192 | 100 | 0 | 42.5 | 28.9 | 20.7 | 2.8 | 5 |
| 60 + | 2,089 | 100 | 0 | 58.7 | 17.7 | 18 | 2.2 | 3.4 |
| Rural | 199,043 | 100 | 2.3 | 62.1 | 24 | 10.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 10-14 | 59,756 | 100 | 7.5 | 80.3 | 12 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 30,488 | 100 | 0.2 | 35.9 | 42.8 | 20.6 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 22,429 | 100 | 0.1 | 42.5 | 32.4 | 21.7 | 2.8 | 0.4 |
| 25-59 | 76,232 | 100 | 0 | 62.1 | 24.8 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| 60 + | 10,138 | 100 | 0.1 | 76.1 | 14.5 | 9 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Male | 104,471 | 100 | 2.3 | 60.9 | 24.3 | 11.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| 10-14 | 30,164 | 100 | 7.8 | 80.9 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 15,235 | 100 | 0.2 | 37.6 | 42.4 | 19.4 | 0.4 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 11,227 | 100 | 0.1 | 41.1 | 32.4 | 23.3 | 2.8 | 0.4 |
| 25-59 | 42,114 | 100 | 0 | 58.6 | 26.1 | 13.2 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| 60 + | 5,731 | 100 | 0 | 72.9 | 16.3 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Female | 94,572 | 100 | 2.3 | 63.3 | 23.8 | 9.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 10-14 | 29,592 | 100 | 7.2 | 79.6 | 12.9 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 15,253 | 100 | 0.2 | 34.2 | 43.3 | 21.7 | 0.7 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 11,202 | 100 | 0.1 | 44 | 32.5 | 20.2 | 2.8 | 0.5 |


|  |  | Percentage by educational level attained |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area | Population <br> aged 7+ <br> that knows <br> any <br> language | Total | None | Primary <br> not <br> completed | Primary | Lower <br> secondary | Secondary/ <br> diploma | Beyond <br> secondary <br> education |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ | $(9)$ |
| $25-59$ | 34,118 | 100 | 0 | 66.4 | 23.2 | 9 | 0.8 | 0.5 |
| $60+$ | 4,407 | 100 | 0.2 | 80.3 | 12.1 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Note: Excludes those with unreported grade level and study completion
It is generally expected that the 15-19 age group should complete lower secondary school. However, the census data shows that $32.7 \%$ of the ethnic minority population in the $15-19$ age group had not yet completed primary school. It is possible that this is due to late enrollment, repetition, or discontinuation of studies. In the same 15-19 age group, 42.1\% and $23.9 \%$ had completed primary and lower secondary education, respectively. It should also be noted that approximately $1 \%$ of the ethnic minority population in this age group had completed secondary school with a diploma.

Among ethnic minority people in the 20-24 age group, $38.1 \%$ did not complete primary school, $32.1 \%$ completed primary school, $24 \%$ completed lower secondary school, and $4.8 \%$ completed secondary school with a diploma (completed high school, have an upper secondary school diploma, have a lower secondary school diploma, have a technical/ vocational degree, have an upper secondary school diploma, have a technical/vocational degree). Those who had completed tertiary education (bachelor's degree, master's degree, and doctoral degree) accounted for $1 \%$. The majority of the ethnic minority population aged 25-59 (55.2\%) had not completed primary school.

### 4.5 Enrollment rate

Questions about enrollment at a school or an educational institution were asked of everyone, including those who could read and write and those who were illiterate. The question was also included for children under 6 years of age. The answer to this question was classified as (i) "never attended a school or educational institution", referring to those who had never fully attended a school or educational institution; (ii) "current", which refers to any person who was studying at a school or educational institution during the census; and (iii) "past," which refers to people who had studied at a school or an educational institution in the past.

Census responses to the school enrollment question suggest that enrollment rates among the general population were $90.6 \%$ for children aged $6-11$ years and $91.6 \%$ for the 12-14 age group. In contrast to this, enrollment for ethnic minority children aged 6-11 years was $81.1 \%$ and $85.3 \%$ for those aged $12-14$ years (Table 4.5). These findings highlight the comparatively poorer levels of enrollment in the ethnic minority population relative to the general population. Reducing these differences in enrollment necessitates additional outreach to the parents of ethnic minority children.

Figure 4.3 Enrollment at any school or educational institution for ethnic minority people aged 6 years and over, 2019


Table 4.5 shows that ethnic minority enrollment rates fall sharply after the age of 15 , with higher proportions of the population reporting having been enrolled in the past or never having been enrolled. In 2019, 16.5\% of the general population aged 25 and over reported not having attended any school or educational institution, whereas the corresponding figure for the ethnic minority population in this age group was $41.8 \%$.

The current enrollment rate of girls in schools or educational institutions is higher than that of boys. This pattern holds for the age group 6-11 years and the age group 1214 years and is a consistent feature in the general population as well as the ethnic minority population. This imbalance in enrollment rates is reversed for older age groups. This may be due to the persistence of traditional attitudes that encourage boys, rather than girls, to pursue higher education as they get older.

Table 4.5 also shows that comparatively more children in urban areas than in rural areas were studying in all age groups. Again, this pattern holds for both those from the general population and those from the ethnic minority population.

Table 4.5 Enrollment status of general and ethnic minority populations aged 6 years old and over, by sex, age-group and urban-rural area, 2019

| Age group | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never | Current | Past | Never | Current | Past |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 12.5 | 25.8 | 61.6 | 30.6 | 26.8 | 42.6 |
| 6-11 | 8.0 | 90.6 | 1.4 | 17.8 | 81.1 | 1.1 |
| 12-14 | 2.8 | 91.6 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 85.3 | 6.4 |
| 15-17 | 4.5 | 67.6 | 27.9 | 13.6 | 59.3 | 27.1 |
| 18-24 | 7.5 | 20.7 | 71.8 | 23.8 | 15.6 | 60.5 |
| 25+ | 16.5 | 0.7 | 82.7 | 41.8 | 0.6 | 57.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 9.9 | 27.2 | 62.9 | 26.0 | 28.2 | 45.8 |
| 6-11 | 8.4 | 90.1 | 1.5 | 18.5 | 80.2 | 1.3 |
| 12-14 | 3.2 | 90.4 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 85.0 | 6.2 |
| 15-17 | 5.0 | 66.2 | 28.8 | 13.5 | 60.0 | 26.5 |
| 18-24 | 7.8 | 22.1 | 70.1 | 21.9 | 18.0 | 60.1 |
| 25+ | 12.2 | 0.8 | 86.9 | 34.0 | 0.7 | 65.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 14.9 | 24.6 | 60.5 | 34.9 | 25.4 | 39.7 |
| 6-11 | 7.6 | 91.1 | 1.3 | 17.0 | 82.1 | 1.0 |
| 12-14 | 2.4 | 92.8 | 4.7 | 7.9 | 85.5 | 6.6 |
| 15-17 | 3.9 | 69.1 | 27.0 | 13.8 | 58.5 | 27.7 |
| 18-24 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 73.3 | 25.6 | 13.4 | 60.9 |
| 25+ | 20.4 | 0.6 | 79.0 | 48.8 | 0.4 | 50.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.9 | 24.0 | 68.1 | 16.2 | 24.9 | 58.7 |
| 6-11 | 6.8 | 91.5 | 1.7 | 12.6 | 85.7 | 1.7 |
| 12-14 | 2.2 | 92.1 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 89.1 | 6.7 |
| 15-17 | 3.1 | 69.1 | 27.8 | 5.3 | 68.1 | 26.7 |
| 18-24 | 4.4 | 25.3 | 70.3 | 9.3 | 22.7 | 68.0 |
| 25+ | 9.9 | 1.0 | 89.0 | 21.3 | 1.0 | 77.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 15.6 | 27.1 | 57.3 | 33.8 | 27.2 | 39.1 |
| 6-11 | 8.7 | 90.2 | 1.2 | 18.6 | 80.4 | 1.0 |
| 12-14 | 3.1 | 91.4 | 5.5 | 9.1 | 84.6 | 6.3 |
| 15-17 | 5.3 | 66.7 | 28.0 | 15.2 | 57.6 | 27.2 |
| 18-24 | 10.1 | 17.0 | 72.9 | 27.1 | 14.0 | 58.9 |
| 25+ | 21.2 | 0.5 | 78.3 | 46.9 | 0.4 | 52.6 |

Note: Calculations do not include those that did not report status of admission to any school or institution.

## CHAPTER 5

## LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT

### 5.1 Key concepts

The 2019 census classifies the population into two distinct categories: the economically active (i.e., those who are in the labor force) and the economically inactive (i.e., those who are not in the labor force). The 2019 census also included questions that can be used to classify employed people according to their main occupation, the nature of their economic activity, employment sector, and employment status.

The reference period for collecting data in the census was the 12 months prior to the census day on March $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$, 2019. A person was considered to have worked if he/she had worked for 6 months ( 183 days) or more in the 12 months prior to the census. The workforce included both the employed and the unemployed.

Employed persons included (1) paid employees in public or private institutions; (2) persons who did some work for wages, salary, profit, or for family gains in cash or kind within the reference period; (3) those who did not work for wages or profit during the reference period, although they had a job to which they could return (e.g., seasonal workers such as farmers or fishermen; those who were on sick leave or unpaid leave; and those who could not work due to strikes or a lockout of the institution where they work); and (4) those who run their own business (e.g. shop owners, food sellers, individuals practicing as medical doctors or lawyers).

Economically inactive persons included: (1) domestic workers, (i.e., those who spend a lot of time doing housework); (2) students (i.e., those who primarily spend their time studying at a school/educational institution); (3) dependents (i.e., infants and children who have not yet been enrolled in school; those who are permanently disabled and; those who are unable to work because of sickness or incapacity). This category also included those who could not be classified as economically inactive and who were dependent on others. However, if they were seeking or available for work, they were considered unemployed and not dependent.

Category (4) included those who had retired from formal employment and were mainly not doing other work (i.e., those who were mostly not in formal employment or engaged in some other type of work such as cultivation, business, trade etc.). This also included property tenants and those living on agricultural or non-agricultural royalties, rent or dividends, who were neither employed nor unemployed; as well as any other person of independent means who did not have to work. The final category (5) included those who were not economically active for most of the reference period and who did not come under any of the aforementioned categories.

### 5.2 Economically active population and the labor force

The labor force participation rate (LFPR) is defined as the number of people in the labor force (the employed and the unemployed) of a given age, sex, and area, divided by the corresponding population with the same characteristics, multiplied by 100.

The economically active population is the part of the labor force that is involved in the production of goods and services. It includes those who were employed and also those who were unemployed in the 12 months prior to census day. Table 5.1 shows that $62 \%$ of the general population aged 5 and over was economically active, compared to $60.2 \%$ of the ethnic minority population.

In the general population, $65.2 \%$ of males aged 5 years and over were economically active, compared to $62.3 \%$ of males in the ethnic minority population. More women in the general population were active than those in the ethnic minority population, although the difference was small ( $59 \%$ and $58.2 \%$, respectively). Overall, participation by the ethnic minority population in economic activities was lower than in the general population.

As can be seen from the Table 5.1, similar proportions of women reported being economically inactive in the general and the ethnic minority populations ( $41 \%$ and $41.8 \%$ respectively). For both populations, the proportion of economically inactive women was higher than the proportion of economically inactive men ( $34.8 \%$ in the general population and $37.7 \%$ in the ethnic minority population).

Table 5.1 Activity status of the general and ethnic minority populations aged 5 and over by sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Activity status | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 8,749,587 | 4,453,531 | 4,296,056 | 244,901 | 123,019 | 121,882 |
| Employed | 8,626,777 | 4,396,850 | 4,229,927 | 241,844 | 121,535 | 120,309 |
| Unemployed | 122,810 | 56,681 | 66,129 | 3,057 | 1,484 | 1,573 |
| Economically inactive | 5,352,465 | 2,372,343 | 2,980,122 | 162,012 | 74,519 | 87,493 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 3,425,744 | 1,800,287 | 1,625,457 | 42,700 | 22,175 | 20,525 |
| Employed | 3,362,357 | 1,771,174 | 1,591,183 | 41,959 | 21,815 | 20,144 |
| Unemployed | 63,387 | 29,113 | 34,274 | 741 | 360 | 381 |
| Economically inactive | 2,197,126 | 929,323 | 1,267,803 | 30,691 | 13,431 | 17,260 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 5,323,843 | 2,653,244 | 2,670,599 | 202,201 | 100,844 | 101,357 |
| Employed | 5,264,420 | 2,625,676 | 2,638,744 | 199,885 | 99,720 | 100,165 |
| Unemployed | 59,423 | 27,568 | 31,855 | 2,316 | 1,124 | 1,192 |
| Economically inactive | 3,155,339 | 1,443,020 | 1,712,319 | 131,321 | 61,088 | 70,233 |
| Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 62 | 65.2 | 59 | 60.2 | 62.3 | 58.2 |
| Employed | 61.2 | 64.4 | 58.1 | 59.4 | 61.5 | 57.5 |
| Unemployed | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Economically inactive | 38 | 34.8 | 41 | 39.8 | 37.7 | 41.8 |
| Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 60.9 | 66 | 56.2 | 58.2 | 62.3 | 54.3 |
| Employed | 59.8 | 64.9 | 55 | 57.2 | 61.3 | 53.3 |
| Unemployed | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Economically inactive | 39.1 | 34 | 43.8 | 41.8 | 37.7 | 45.7 |
| Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 62.8 | 64.8 | 60.9 | 60.6 | 62.3 | 59.1 |
| Employed | 62.1 | 64.1 | 60.2 | 59.9 | 61.6 | 58.4 |
| Unemployed | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Economically inactive | 37.2 | 35.2 | 39.1 | 39.4 | 37.7 | 40.9 |

[^0]In 2019, the rate of economically active people, or labor force participation rate (LFPR), in the ethnic minority population aged 15 and over was $60.2 \%$. This is lower than the LFPR in the general population (79.1\%) and might reflect the high levels of participation in economic activities in all sectors in the central plains, Tonle Sap and coastal and sea regions, where the general population tends to be concentrated.

For both the general and ethnic minority populations, the labor force participation rates were particularly high in the $25-59$ age group. This feature is a pivotal force for Cambodia's economic development in both urban and rural areas. As shown in Table 5.2, more than $50 \%$ of people over the age of 60 continued to be economically active in both the general and ethnic minority populations.

Table 5.2 Labor Force Participation Rate (LFPR) of the general and ethnic minority population aged 15 and over (percentage) by sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Age group | Labor Force Participation Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  | Urban |  |  | Rural |  |  |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both Sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| General population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15+ | 79.1 | 84.4 | 74.3 | 74.7 | 81.8 | 68.1 | 82.2 | 86.3 | 78.6 |
| 5-14 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| 15-24 | 58.4 | 58.9 | 57.9 | 55.8 | 55.9 | 55.7 | 60.3 | 61.1 | 59.4 |
| 25-59 | 90.7 | 96.3 | 85.4 | 86.4 | 94.4 | 78.7 | 93.8 | 97.8 | 90.2 |
| 60+ | 60.7 | 72.5 | 52.8 | 48.2 | 62.0 | 38.9 | 67.9 | 78.7 | 60.8 |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15+ | 60.2 | 62.3 | 58.2 | 58.2 | 62.3 | 54.3 | 60.6 | 62.3 | 59.1 |
| 5-14 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| 15-24 | 62.1 | 61.3 | 62.9 | 54.4 | 54.1 | 54.6 | 63.8 | 62.8 | 64.7 |
| 60+ | 92.1 | 96.8 | 87.7 | 85.7 | 92.5 | 79.1 | 93.7 | 97.8 | 89.9 |
| 15+ | 65.9 | 79.8 | 55.6 | 50.0 | 64.6 | 39.7 | 69.7 | 83.3 | 59.5 |

Figure 5.1 Labor force participation rates (LFPR) for the general and ethnic minority populations aged 15 and over by sex, 2019


### 5.3 Employment and unemployment

Employment is an important indicator for assessing socio-economic development. Analyzing changes in employment allows us to assess the impact of socio-economic change and the introduction of employment policies in line with socio-economic conditions. Over the past few decades, the Cambodian economy has changed. This development has contributed to improvements in the education of the workforce, along with structural changes and changes in the division of labor.

According to the 2019 census, most of the workforce was employed and unemployment was low. This section analyses the basic characteristics of the labor force according to age, sex, marital status, employment sector, employment status, and the general condition of the labor force in the country.

The employment rate is defined as the percentage employed relative to the total population in the labor force. In 2019, the employment rate was $98.7 \%$ for the general population and $98.8 \%$ for the ethnic minority population. This difference reflects the comparatively higher proportion of economically inactive people and higher unemployment rates in the general population relative to the ethnic minority population.

Figure 5.2 Employment rates for the general and ethnic minority population aged 15 and over by sex, 2019


The unemployment rate is the ratio of the number of unemployed people per 100 workers. The unemployment rate for ethnic minority people aged 15 and over was $1.2 \%$, compared to $1.3 \%$ for the general population in 2019 (Figure 5.3). In 2019, the unemployment rate for ethnic minority men was $1.1 \%$ and $1.2 \%$ for women. At the national level, the unemployment rate among ethnic minority people was 12 per 1,000 employed. Unemployment rates for ethnic minority people aged 15 and over were lower than for the general population aged 15 and over.

Figure 5.3 The unemployment rate of the general and ethnic minority populations aged 15 and over by sex, 2019


### 5.4 Employment status

Five employment classifications were used in the 2019 census: (i) employers, ii) paid employees, iii) self-employed workers, iv) unpaid family workers, and (v) others. In this section, employment status is analyzed in relation to urban-rural area and sex.

According to the results of the 2019 Cambodian census $67.7 \%$ of employed workers in the general population were classified as unpaid family workers and self-employed workers in the general population. This is lower than the ethnic minority population (85\%), most of whom were employed in the informal economy (Table 5.3). This is especially true for those living in rural areas.

In the formal economy, $31.5 \%$ of the employed general population were paid employees, whereas paid employees accounted for only $14.5 \%$ of employed ethnic minority people. The proportion of women working in the informal sector was higher than that of men. $50.3 \%$ of the employed male population was self-employed in the general population. And in the ethnic minority population, $62.8 \%$ of employed males were self-employed. A high proportion of employed females in the ethnic minority population reported working as an unpaid family worker (56.6\%).

In urban areas, the proportion of paid employees in the working population was higher for the general population than the ethnic minority population ( $53.1 \%$ and $38.3 \%$ respectively). In contrast, the proportion of the urban employed population that was self-
employed was higher in the ethnic minority population than the general population (42\% and $33.7 \%$ respectively).

The proportion of male paid employees in the general population in urban areas was $54.8 \%$ - much higher than the corresponding proportion for the ethnic minority population (39.3\%). However, the proportion of urban male self-employed workers was much lower in the general population than the ethnic minority population ( $36.5 \%$ versus $48.3 \%$ ). The proportions of waged and self-employed women in urban areas were similar to those for men. In the general population, $51.2 \%$ of urban women were working as waged employees and $30.6 \%$ were self-employed. The corresponding figures for ethnic minority women were $37.2 \%$ and $35.2 \%$ respectively.

In rural areas, the proportion of male self-employed workers in the general population was higher than the proportion of unpaid household workers (59.6\% and 20.2\% respectively). This pattern was shared by rural men in the ethnic minority population, where $65.9 \%$ were self-employed and $23.4 \%$ were classified as unpaid family workers. In rural areas, the proportion of women in the general population who worked as unpaid family workers ( $46.2 \%$ ) was much lower that the proportion of ethnic minority women working as an unpaid family worker ( $62.6 \%$ ). For women in both populations, these proportions were higher than those for rural women who were self-employed.

Table 5.3 Distribution of employed people in the general and ethnic minority populations aged 5 and over, by employment status, age group, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

|  |  | Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number employed | Total | Employer | Waged employee | Selfemployed | Unpaid family worker | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| General population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8,626,777 | 100 | 0.6 | 31.5 | 42.7 | 25 | 0.2 |
| Under15 | 59,845 | 100 | 0.7 | 23 | 30.1 | 42.7 | 3.5 |
| 15-59 | 7,754,372 | 100 | 0.6 | 33.9 | 40.3 | 25 | 0.2 |
| 60+ | 812,560 | 100 | 0.6 | 8.9 | 65.9 | 24.3 | 0.2 |
| Male | 4,396,850 | 100 | 0.7 | 33.6 | 50.3 | 15.1 | 0.3 |
| Under 15 | 37,388 | 100 | 0.7 | 19.7 | 35.6 | 38.6 | 5.4 |
| 15-59 | 3,969,675 | 100 | 0.7 | 35.8 | 47.7 | 15.6 | 0.2 |
| 60+ | 389,787 | 100 | 0.8 | 13.3 | 77.7 | 7.9 | 0.3 |
| Female | 4,229,927 | 100 | 0.5 | 29.3 | 34.7 | 35.4 | 0.1 |
| Under15 | 22,457 | 100 | 0.8 | 28.4 | 21 | 49.6 | 0.2 |
| 15-59 | 3,784,697 | 100 | 0.5 | 32 | 32.5 | 34.8 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 422,773 | 100 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 55.1 | 39.5 | 0.1 |
| Urban | 3,362,357 | 100 | 0.8 | 53.1 | 33.7 | 12.2 | 0.2 |
| Under15 | 16,008 | 100 | 1 | 46.9 | 29.2 | 20.7 | 2.1 |
| 15-59 | 3,112,884 | 100 | 0.8 | 55.6 | 31.6 | 11.8 | 0.2 |
| 60+ | 233,465 | 100 | 1 | 19.3 | 62.3 | 17.1 | 0.3 |


|  |  | Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number employed | Total | Employer | Waged employee | Selfemployed | Unpaid family worker | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| Male | 1,771,174 | 100 | 0.9 | 54.8 | 36.5 | 7.6 | 0.3 |
| Under 15 | 9,594 | 100 | 0.9 | 39.7 | 36.8 | 19.3 | 3.3 |
| 15-59 | 1,639,260 | 100 | 0.9 | 57 | 34.2 | 7.7 | 0.2 |
| 60+ | 122,320 | 100 | 1.2 | 26.6 | 66.5 | 5.4 | 0.3 |
| Female | 1,591,183 | 100 | 0.7 | 51.2 | 30.6 | 17.4 | 0.1 |
| Under 15 | 6,414 | 100 | 1.1 | 57.7 | 17.9 | 22.9 | 0.4 |
| 15-59 | 1,473,624 | 100 | 0.7 | 54.1 | 28.6 | 16.4 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 111,145 | 100 | 0.7 | 11.3 | 57.8 | 30.1 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 5,264,420 | 100 | 0.5 | 17.7 | 48.4 | 33.3 | 0.2 |
| Under 15 | 43,837 | 100 | 0.7 | 14.2 | 30.4 | 50.8 | 4 |
| 15-59 | 4,641,488 | 100 | 0.5 | 19.4 | 46.2 | 33.8 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 579,095 | 100 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 67.4 | 27.2 | 0.2 |
| Male | 2,625,676 | 100 | 0.5 | 19.4 | 59.6 | 20.2 | 0.3 |
| Under 15 | 27,794 | 100 | 0.6 | 12.8 | 35.1 | 45.2 | 6.2 |
| 15-59 | 2,330,415 | 100 | 0.5 | 20.9 | 57.2 | 21.2 | 0.2 |
| 60+ | 267,467 | 100 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 82.9 | 9 | 0.3 |
| Female | 2,638,744 | 100 | 0.4 | 16.1 | 37.2 | 46.2 | 0.1 |
| Under 15 | 16,043 | 100 | 0.7 | 16.7 | 22.2 | 60.3 | 0.1 |
| 15-59 | 2,311,073 | 100 | 0.4 | 17.9 | 35 | 46.6 | 0 |
| 60+ | 311,628 | 100 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 54.1 | 42.9 | 0.1 |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 241,844 | 100 | 0.5 | 14.5 | 46.2 | 38.8 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 3,382 | 100 | 0.4 | 8 | 10.6 | 80.9 | 0.2 |
| 15-59 | 216,165 | 100 | 0.4 | 15.5 | 44.6 | 39.4 | 0 |
| 60+ | 22,297 | 100 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 67 | 26.6 | 0.1 |
| Male | 121,535 | 100 | 0.5 | 15.5 | 62.8 | 21.2 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 1,821 | 100 | 0.4 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 80.1 | 0.3 |
| 15-59 | 108,181 | 100 | 0.5 | 16.4 | 61.3 | 21.8 | 0 |
| 60+ | 11,533 | 100 | 0.7 | 7.6 | 85.3 | 6.4 | 0.1 |
| Female | 120,309 | 100 | 0.4 | 13.5 | 29.5 | 56.6 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 1,561 | 100 | 0.3 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 81.7 | 0.1 |
| 15-59 | 107,984 | 100 | 0.4 | 14.6 | 28 | 57 | 0 |
| 60+ | 10,764 | 100 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 47.4 | 48.4 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 41,959 | 100 | 1 | 38.3 | 42 | 18.6 | 0.1 |
| Under15 | 272 | 100 | 1.5 | 33.1 | 26.1 | 39.3 | 0 |
| 15-59 | 38,427 | 100 | 1 | 40.4 | 40 | 18.5 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 3,260 | 100 | 1 | 13.8 | 67.6 | 17.4 | 0.2 |
| Male | 21,815 | 100 | 1.2 | 39.3 | 48.3 | 11.1 | 0.1 |
| Under15 | 167 | 100 | 1.8 | 28.7 | 29.9 | 39.5 | 0 |
| 15-59 | 19,882 | 100 | 1.2 | 41.1 | 46.2 | 11.4 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 1,766 | 100 | 1.4 | 19.4 | 74.2 | 4.7 | 0.3 |
| Female | 20,144 | 100 | 0.8 | 37.2 | 35.2 | 26.7 | 0.1 |
| Under 15 | 105 | 100 | 1 | 40 | 20 | 39 | 0 |
| 15-59 | 18,545 | 100 | 0.8 | 39.6 | 33.3 | 26.2 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 1,494 | 100 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 59.8 | 32.4 | 0 |


|  |  | Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number <br> employed | Total | Employer | Waged <br> employee | Self- <br> employed | Unpaid family <br> worker | Other |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 199,885 | 100 | 0.3 | 9.5 | 47.1 | 43 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 3,110 | 100 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 84.5 | 0.2 |
| $15-59$ | 177,738 | 100 | 0.3 | 10.1 | 45.6 | 43.9 | 0 |
| $60+$ | 19,037 | 100 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 66.9 | 28.2 | 0 |
| Male | 99,720 | 100 | 0.4 | 10.3 | 65.9 | 23.4 | 0 |
| Under 15 | 1,654 | 100 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 9.4 | 84.2 | 0.4 |
| $15-59$ | 88,299 | 100 | 0.4 | 10.9 | 64.6 | 24.1 | 0 |
| $60+$ | 9,767 | 100 | 0.6 | 5.4 | 87.3 | 6.7 | 0 |
| Female | 100,165 | 100 | 0.3 | 8.7 | 28.4 | 62.6 | 0 |
| Under15 | 1,456 | 100 | 0.2 | 5.8 | 9.1 | 84.8 | 0.1 |
| $15-59$ | 89,439 | 100 | 0.3 | 9.4 | 26.9 | 63.4 | 0 |
| 60+ | 9,270 | 100 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 45.4 | 50.9 | 0 |

Note: Excluded those with unreported employment status

### 5.5 Employment sector

In the census, employment is divided into eight sectors: i) government, ii) autonomous, ethnic minority private enterprise, iii) foreign private enterprise, iv) non-profit institution, v) family, vi) embassy, vii) international and viii) other.

Tables 5.4 and 5.5 show the percentage of the employed population aged 5 and above by sex, age, employment sector, and urban-rural area for the general and ethnic minority populations in 2019. The table shows that the majority of the general population were employed in the local private enterprise sector ( $78.7 \%$ ), followed by foreign private enterprise (12.8\%), government employment (4,9\%). Employment in the other five sectors accounted for just 3.6\%.
$90.5 \%$ of the employed ethnic minority population was working in the local private enterprise sector, followed by foreign private enterprise (5\%) and the government sector (2.2\%). Employment in the other five sectors accounted for just 2.3\%.

Analyzing how employment is distributed across sectors shows that a higher proportion of men in the general population were employed in government jobs than women ( $6.7 \%$ versus $3 \%$ ). The same pattern is also observed in the ethnic minority population, with $3.2 \%$ of ethnic minority men employed in a government job compared to just $1.2 \%$ of employed ethnic minority women.

In contrast, higher proportions of females were employed in foreign private enterprises compared to males. In the general population $9 \%$ of males and $16.6 \%$ of females were employed in foreign private enterprises. For the ethnic minority population, the same figures were $3.4 \%$ and $6.6 \%$ for males and females respectively.
Table 5.4 Percentage of employed people in the general population aged 5 years and older, by sex, urban-rural area and employment sector, 2019

| Age group | Number of employed | Total | Employment sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Government | State enterprise | Khmer private enterprise | Foreign enterprises | Non-profit organization | Family section | Embassies, international institutions | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| General population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 8,626,777 | 100 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 78.7 | 12.8 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 5-14 | 59,845 | 100 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 73.7 | 7.5 | 13.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| 15-59 | 7,754,372 | 100 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 77.5 | 14.0 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 812,560 | 100 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 90.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Male | 4,396,850 | 100 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 80.1 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 5-14 | 37,388 | 100 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 67.5 | 5.1 | 22.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| 15-59 | 3,969,675 | 100 | 6.8 | 0.7 | 79.6 | 9.9 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 389,787 | 100 | 7.2 | 0.5 | 87.1 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Female | 4,229,927 | 100 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 77.2 | 16.6 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-14 | 22,457 | 100 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 84.1 | 11.5 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 15-59 | 3,784,697 | 100 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 75.3 | 18.4 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60+ | 422,773 | 100 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 93.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3,362,357 | 100 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 66.4 | 22.7 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5-14 | 16,008 | 100 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 62.4 | 17.7 | 14.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 15-59 | 3,112,884 | 100 | 7.6 | 0.7 | 65.1 | 24.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 233,465 | 100 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 84.2 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Male | 1,771,174 | 100 | 10.1 | 0.9 | 70.0 | 16.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5-14 | 9,594 | 100 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 58.8 | 11.9 | 24.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| 15-59 | 1,639,260 | 100 | 10.0 | 0.9 | 69.4 | 17.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 122,320 | 100 | 13.4 | 0.8 | 79.4 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Female | 1,591,183 | 100 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 62.5 | 30.1 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5-14 | 6,414 | 100 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 67.9 | 26.2 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Table 5.5 Percentage of employed people in the ethnic minority population aged 5 years and older, by sex, urbanrural area and employment sector, 2019

| Age group | Number of employed | Total | Employment sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Government | State enterprise | Ethnic minority private enterprise | Foreign enterprises | Non-profit organization | Family section | Embassies, international institutions | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 241,805 | 100 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 90.5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-14 | 3,382 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 95.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 15-59 | 216,147 | 100 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 90.0 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60+ | 22,276 | 100 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 94.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Male | 121,512 | 100 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 90.9 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 5-14 | 1,821 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 95.2 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 15-59 | 108,171 | 100 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 90.6 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 11,520 | 100 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 93.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Female | 120,293 | 100 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 90.0 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-14 | 1,561 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 96.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 15-59 | 107,976 | 100 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 89.3 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60+ | 10,756 | 100 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 96.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 41,951 | 100 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 74.0 | 17.1 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5-14 | 272 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.5 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 15-59 | 38,419 | 100 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 72.8 | 18.4 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 3,260 | 100 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 88.3 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Male | 21,813 | 100 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 76.6 | 12.7 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 5-14 | 167 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 15-59 | 19,880 | 100 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 75.8 | 13.6 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 1,766 | 100 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 84.8 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Female | 20,138 | 100 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 71.2 | 22.0 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |


| Age group | Number of employed | Total | Employment sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Government | State enterprise | Ethnic minority private enterprise | Foreign enterprises | Non-profit organization | Family section | Embassies, international institutions | Other |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-14 | 105 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.1 | 12.4 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-59 | 18,539 | 100 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 69.5 | 23.6 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 60+ | 1,494 | 100 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 92.4 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Rural | 199,854 | 100 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 93.9 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-14 | 3,110 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 97.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 15-59 | 177,728 | 100 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 93.7 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60+ | 19,016 | 100 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 95.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Male | 99,699 | 100 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 94.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-14 | 1,654 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 96.4 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 15-59 | 88,291 | 100 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 93.9 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60+ | 9,754 | 100 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 94.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Female | 100,155 | 100 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 93.8 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-14 | 1,456 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 98.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 15-59 | 89,437 | 100 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 93.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 60+ | 9,262 | 100 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 96.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

### 5.6 Employment classification by occupation and profession

Characteristics of economic activities and services, as well as occupations obtained from the 2019 Cambodian census are codified in accordance with the International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities ( $4^{\text {th }}$ version), and the International Standard Classification of Occupations. Tables 5.6 and 5.7 show the classification of employed workers according to occupational classification and economic activity, sex, and urban-rural area for the general and ethnic minority populations. It is clear that agriculture remains the dominant economic activity in Cambodia, when compared to manufacturing, industry, and services.

In 2019, the majority of employed people in the ethnic minority population were engaged in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries (75\%) followed by service and sales work ( $8.7 \%$ ), craft and related work (7.1\%), and elementary occupations (4.5\%) (Table 5.6). This indicates that the ethnic minority labor market has limited skills and that there is a need for additional vocational training to improve labor market competitivity. This is an urgent priority for the Royal Government. The patterns in the ethnic minority population contrast with the general population, where $53.4 \%$ were employed in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries, and; $16.6 \%$ worked as crafts men and related workers, and; $12.6 \%$ worked as service and sales workers.

Of the nine main occupations, women in both populations were predominantly concentrated in only three or four, including agriculture, forestry and fishing; crafts; work related to services and sales, and; elementary occupations.

For the ethnic minority population, the majority of urban employed people were engaged in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries (31.5\%), followed by service and sales work (26.1\%) and crafts and related jobs (21.4\%). In rural areas agriculture was still the dominant source of employment for the ethnic minority population (84.1\%), followed by service and sales work (5\%), and crafts and related work (4.1\%).
Table 5.6 Percentage of employed people in the general and ethnic minority populations by occupation, profession, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Professional group |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| Group | Description | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1 | Manager | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 2 | Professional | 3.5 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 3 | Technicians and professionals | 1.4 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 4 | Clerk | 3.3 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 |
| 5 | Service and sales workers | 12.6 | 10.1 | 15.1 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 10.3 |
| 6 | Agriculture, forestry and fishery workers | 53.4 | 52.0 | 54.9 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.1 |
| 7 | Craft and related workers | 16.6 | 15.1 | 18.1 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 7.9 |
| 8 | Machinery, plant and machine operators and installers | 2.3 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| 9 | Elementary occupations | 6.0 | 7.6 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1 | Manager | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| 2 | Professional | 5.3 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 3.4 |
| 3 | Technicians and professionals | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| 4 | Clerk | 7.3 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 3.5 |
| 5 | Service and sales workers | 22.8 | 18.3 | 27.7 | 26.1 | 21.5 | 31.0 |
| 6 | Agriculture, forestry and fishery workers | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.7 | 31.5 | 33.2 | 29.7 |
| 7 | Craft and related workers | 28.1 | 24.7 | 31.8 | 21.4 | 17.5 | 25.5 |
| 8 | Machinery, plant and machine operators and installers | 4.2 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 0.6 |
| 9 | Elementary occupations | 7.5 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 4.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |


| Professional group |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| Group | Description | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Manager | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 2 | Professional | 5.3 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.9 |
| 3 | Technicians and professionals | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 4 | Clerk | 7.3 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 5 | Service and sales workers | 22.8 | 18.3 | 27.7 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 6.2 |
| 6 | Agriculture, forestry and fishery workers | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.7 | 84.1 | 84.0 | 84.3 |
| 7 | Craft and related workers | 28.1 | 24.7 | 31.8 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| 8 | Machinery, plant and machine operators and installers | 4.2 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 9 | Elementary occupations | 7.5 | 9.6 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.7 |

Table 5.7 shows the percentage distribution of the working population by economic activity. For both the general and ethnic minority populations, the economic structure has shifted towards modern industry and modernization, with manufacturing growth, wholesale, retail, auto, and motorcycle repair, civil construction, and other services, and a declining trend in employment in the agricultural sector.

Table 5.7 shows that in 2019, employment in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries accounted for $75.1 \%$ of individuals in the general population who were over age 5 and employed. The corresponding figure for the ethnic minority population was $77.5 \%$. Other occupations are an important source of employment in both the general and ethnic minority populations. For example, manufacturing, wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair, manufacturing and construction, and other occupations.
Table 5.7 Percentage of the general and ethnic minority working populations age 5 and above, by employment activity, sex, and urban-rural area, 2019

| Type of Activity |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| Section | Description | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A | Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 75.1 | 73.3 | 76.9 | 77.5 | 77.3 | 77.7 |
| B | Mining and quarrying | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | Production | 5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 6 | 4.3 | 7.7 |
| D | Electricity, gas, steam, and air conditioning supply | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| E | Water supply, sewerage, waste management, and prevention | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F | Construction | 3.3 | 5.2 | 1.5 | 2 | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| G | Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 7.4 | 5.7 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 9.4 |
| H | Transporting and warehousing | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.6 |
| 1 | Accommodation and food service activities | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1 |
| $J$ | Information and Communication | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| K | Financial and insurance activities | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| L | Real estate | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| M | Scientific and technical professional activities | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.5 |
| N | Administrative activities and support services | 2.2 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.3 |
| 0 | Public administration and social security protection | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| P | Education | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Q | Health activities and social work | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| R | Arts, Entertainment, and Fun | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| S | Other service activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T | Family use activities for an employer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U | Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Urban | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A | Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 39.3 | 36.7 | 42 | 32.5 | 34 | 30.9 |


| Type of Activity |  | Percentage of employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| Section | Description | Both | Male | Female | Both | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| B | Mining and quarrying | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | Production | 9.1 | 7.1 | 11.1 | 18.4 | 12.9 | 24.3 |
| D | Electricity, gas, steam, and air conditioning supply | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 |
| E | Water supply, sewerage, waste management, and prevention | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| F | Construction | 7.5 | 11.4 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 8.4 | 2.2 |
| G | Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 20.2 | 14.9 | 25.5 | 23 | 18.5 | 27.7 |
| H | Transporting and warehousing | 3.2 | 6 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 1.7 |
| 1 | Accommodation and food service activities | 2.4 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| $J$ | Information and Communication | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| K | Financial and insurance activities | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 |
| L | Real estate | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| M | Scientific and technical professional activities | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 2.5 |
| N | Administrative activities and support services | 6.1 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 1 |
| 0 | Public administration and social security protection | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2 |
| P | Education | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Q | Health activities and social work | 1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.7 |
| R | Arts, Entertainment, and Fun | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| S | Other service activities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T | Family use activities for an employer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U | Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rural | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| A | Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 82.1 | 80.5 | 83.6 | 86.9 | 86.7 | 87.2 |
| B | Mining and quarrying | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | Production | 4.2 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
| D | Electricity, gas, steam, and air conditioning supply | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E | Water supply, sewerage, waste management, and prevention | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F | Construction | 2.5 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.4 |

Percentage of employed



## CHAPTER 6

## MIGRATION

### 6.1 Key concepts

Migration is the geographical movement of people across borders specified for permanent or semi-permanent settlement purposes. This topic is important not only to demographers but also to economists, social workers, geographers, anthropologists, political scientists, lawyers, policymakers, planners, and public administrators.

When a movement is within the same country, it is considered domestic migration. When a movement involves crossing an international border, it is called international migration. Along with fertility and mortality, migration is an important component of population change. It helps to determine population size and growth rates, as well as the structure and character of a population.

The terms "immigration" and "emigration" are used to refer to movements between countries (international migration). The corresponding terms "domestic" migration and "foreign" migration are used for in-country migration. In this analysis, migration refers to a person who has moved to the interview site, at least from another village (or from another country) which was the last residence of that person.

### 6.2 Migrant population

According to the 2019 census, $83.8 \%$ of the ethnic minority population had never had a residence other than the interview venue (Table 6.1). This percentage was lower in urban areas than in rural areas ( $71.4 \%$ and $86.5 \%$ respectively), Overall, the percentage of ethnic minority migrants in 2019 was $16.2 \%$. In urban areas, $28.6 \%$ of the ethnic minority population reported being a migrant; in rural areas the corresponding figure was $13.5 \%$. The percentage of migrants within the population has declined. In three decades, the percentage of people living in one place has increased in both urban and rural areas. This trend is the same for both men and women (NIS, 2020) ${ }^{1}$.

[^1]Table 6.1 Percentage of ethnic minority and general migrants and people who usually live at the place of interview by urban-rural area and sex, 2019

| Area | General population |  |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female |
| $(\mathbf{1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 )}$ |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living at the interview places | 78.5 | 76.9 | 80.1 | 83.8 | 82.8 | 84.8 |
| Migrants | 21.5 | 23.1 | 19.9 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 15.2 |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living at the interview places | 64.7 | 63.2 | 66.0 | 71.4 | 70.5 | 72.2 |
| Migrants | 35.3 | 36.8 | 34.0 | 28.6 | 29.5 | 27.8 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Living at the interview places | 87.6 | 85.8 | 89.3 | 86.5 | 85.4 | 87.5 |
| Migrants | 12.4 | 14.2 | 10.7 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 12.5 |

Note: Excludes those who did not report migration status

The different migration measures presented in this report cannot necessarily explain migration trends. To better understand the migration situation, it is important to carry out in-depth analyses that include cross tabulating migration measures with other variables. For this report, it is important to highlight the declining levels of migration within the ethnic minority population.

### 6.3 Reasons for migration

The census also included questions about the reasons for migration. Table 6.2 presents the 2019 census results for the ethnic minority and general population. Marriage and family relocation were the main reason for migration provided by the census respondents. If a family member (especially the head of a household) migrated to another place due to a change in his or her place of work, the reason for the migration was recorded as a change of workplace.

For other members of the family, who relocated with him/her, the reason for the migration was recorded as family relocation. The reason for marriage is similar to this case and the percentage of female emigrants is high for this reason. The percentage of migrations undertaken for other reasons is higher among men, including changing workplace and job seeking.

Table 6.2 Percentage distribution of general and ethnic minority populations by reason for migration and sex, 2019

| Reasons for migration | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Transfer of workplace | 10.8 | 12.4 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 6.2 |
| In search of employment | 19.4 | 19.5 | 19.4 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 16.4 |
| Education | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| Marriage | 19.7 | 24.0 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 19.2 | 11.2 |
| Family moved | 38.9 | 33.5 | 44.9 | 49.9 | 45.3 | 54.7 |
| Repatriation/return after displacement | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| Natural calamities | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Dislocation due to the dam Construction | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Dislocation due to other major or small project | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Insecurity | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Orphaned | 2.1 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| Lost land/Lost home | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Visiting only | 2.3 | 1.6 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Other | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| Not stated | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Note: 2019 census added the categories: "required resettlements due to hydropower dam construction", and "relocation was necessary as the area was needed for project development"

### 6.4 Migration flows

Table 6.3 shows the total domestic migration disaggregated by the type of migration flow: rural to rural, rural to urban, and urban to rural. According to the 2019 census, most migration flows for the ethnic minority population were rural to rural flows, followed by rural to urban flows. In contrast to this, most of the migration flows for the general population were rural to urban and urban to urban flows. This reflects a wellestablished pattern of rapid urbanization in Cambodia.

Table 6.3 Percentage of general and ethnic minority migrants by urban-rural flow and sex, 2019

| Migration flow |  | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Both <br> sexes | Male | Female |  |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 )}$ |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Rural to rural | 29.0 | 30.7 | 27.1 | 62.4 | 63.7 | 61.1 |  |
| Rural to urban | 34.0 | 32.4 | 35.7 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 18.1 |  |
| Urban to rural | 7.0 | 7.6 | 6.3 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 9.2 |  |
| Urban to urban | 30.0 | 29.3 | 30.8 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 11.6 |  |

Note: Excluding migrants from outside Cambodia.

### 6.5 Length of stay

According to the 2019 census, the majority of migrants had lived in the interview area for less than 10 years prior to the census $(51.5 \%$ for the ethnic minority population and $54.7 \%$ for the general population). The proportion of people living in their current residence for 1-4 years before the census was $24.3 \%$ for the ethnic minority population and $28.1 \%$ for the general population (Table 6.4). In urban areas, the percentage of migrants was higher than in rural areas for both the ethnic minority and the general population for migrants who had lived in the interview area for less than 4 years. However, the percentage of the ethnic minority and general populations who had lived in the interview area for between 5-19 years was higher in rural areas than urban areas.

Table 6.4 Domestic migrants in the general and ethnic minority populations by length of stay, urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Number of migrants | Classification of in-country migration by length of stay |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | < 1 year | $\begin{gathered} 1-4 \\ \text { years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-9 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20+ \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| General population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3,331,120 | 100 | 9.8 | 28.1 | 16.9 | 22.3 | 23.0 |
| Urban | 2,164,700 | 100 | 12.0 | 32.2 | 16.1 | 19.5 | 20.4 |
| Rural | 1,166,420 | 100 | 5.7 | 20.5 | 18.3 | 27.5 | 27.9 |
| Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 69,296 | 100 | 7.1 | 24.3 | 20.1 | 30.9 | 17.6 |
| Urban | 19,386 | 100 | 10.0 | 25.5 | 15.5 | 23.7 | 25.3 |
| Rural | 49,910 | 100 | 6.0 | 23.8 | 22.0 | 33.7 | 14.6 |

[^2]In all three censuses, the highest proportion of domestic migrants reported having lived in their current residence for less than 10 years.

### 6.6 Migration by age group

As expected, the majority of the both ethnic minority and general populations, migrated between the ages of 15 and 39 (Table 6.5). According to the 2019 census, $55.5 \%$ of ethnic minority migrants are in this age group. And this pattern holds for both men and women. Overall, $85.7 \%$ of ethnic minority migrants were in the $15-64$ age group. The same trend exists in the general population. This pattern reflects the propensity for people in these age groups to move to find work and to pursue education and marriage.

Table 6.5 Percentage of ethnic minority migrants aged 10 years and over by age group and sex, 2019

| Age group | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both sexes | Male | Female | Both sexes | Male | Female |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 10-14 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 6.8 |
| 15-19 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 9.1 |
| 20-24 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 11.1 |
| 25-29 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 12.7 |
| 30-34 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 11.2 |
| 35-39 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 10.5 |
| 40-44 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 6.5 |
| 45-49 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.5 |
| 50-54 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| 55-59 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| 60-64 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 5.0 |
| 65-69 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| 70-74 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| $75+$ | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.7 |

### 6.7 Migration by economic activity

Table 6.6 shows that a high percentage of ethnic minority migrants reported participating in economic activity (83.6\%). $77.3 \%$ of migrants participated in economic
activities in rural area. However, proportionately more migrants participated in economic activities in urban areas (89.6\%). These urban migrants mostly worked in agriculture, forestry and fisheries ( $69.1 \%$ ), the wholesale and retail trade, automobile and motorcycle repair ( $10.1 \%$ ), the production sector ( $4.6 \%$ ), construction ( 3,8 percent), transportation and warehousing (3.2\%), and administrative and support services activities (3\%).

Table 6.6 Percentage of ethnic minority domestic migrants, aged 15 and over by economic activity, sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Type of activity | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Economically active | 83.6 | 89.6 | 77.3 |
| Economically inactive | 16.4 | 10.4 | 22.7 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Agriculture, forestry, and fisheries | 69.3 | 69.1 | 69.6 |
| Mining and quarrying | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Production | 5.6 | 4.6 | 6.8 |
| Electricity, gas, steam, and air conditioning supply | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Water supply, sewerage, waste management, and prevention activities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Construction | 2.6 | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| Wholesale and retail trade, car and motorcycle repair | 12.6 | 10.1 | 15.7 |
| Transporting and warehousing | 2.1 | 3.2 | 0.8 |
| Accommodation and food service activities | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| Information and Communication | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Real estate | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Scientific and technical professional activities | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Administrative activities and support services | 1.9 | 3.0 | 0.5 |
| Public administration and social security protection | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| Education | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Health activities and social work | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Fun | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Other service activities | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Family use activities for an employer | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Activities of organizations and foreign organizations | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

[^3]
## CHAPTER 7

## FERTILITY

### 7.1 Key concepts

Current fertility data is usually collected by the vital registration system through birth registration. If this type of data is not collected for the ethnic minority population, or is incomplete, (as in Cambodia) the census is the only source of data for calculating fertility. The 2019 census, as well as the 2008 and 1998 censuses, included questions that can be used to estimate the number of children conceived by women (disaggregated by age) in the 12 months prior to the census. This information can be used to calculate the Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) and the Total Fertility Rate (TFR).

The Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) and Total Fertility Rate (TFR) are not affected by the age composition of the population. The ASFR and TFR calculation methods are based on the number of births that women delivered in the 12 months prior to the census. Census data can provide direct measurements of the Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) and the Total Fertility Rate (TFR). However, when rates are derived in this way, they almost always underestimate fertility due to misreporting of recent births and other reporting issues. As a consequence, it is necessary to adjust these estimates.

### 7.2 Fertility estimation

Indirect fertility estimation methods are applied to adjust problems stemming from incomplete or inaccurate data collection. The demographer William Brass developed an indirect estimation method to adjust fertility estimates. Other demographers have improved on this calculation method, including Eduardo Arriaga. The Brass-Arriaga method was used to calculate the estimates for the ethnic minority population presented here (see Table 7.1).

Table 7.1 Age-Specific Fertility Rates and Total Fertility Rates for the general and ethnic minority populations by urban-rural area, 2019

| Age Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR) | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 15-19 | 0.0322 | 0.0230 | 0.0380 | 0.0612 | 0.0413 | 0.0655 |
| 20-24 | 0.1260 | 0.0959 | 0.1511 | 0.1489 | 0.0950 | 0.1638 |
| 25-29 | 0.1366 | 0.1232 | 0.1522 | 0.1613 | 0.1165 | 0.1752 |
| 30-34 | 0.1081 | 0.1063 | 0.1143 | 0.1290 | 0.1020 | 0.1380 |
| 35-39 | 0.0619 | 0.0605 | 0.0655 | 0.0901 | 0.0790 | 0.0942 |
| 40-44 | 0.0280 | 0.0263 | 0.0296 | 0.0456 | 0.0472 | 0.0459 |
| 45-49 | 0.0096 | 0.0086 | 0.0103 | 0.0171 | 0.0120 | 0.0182 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Fertility Rate | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.5 |

Table 7.1 shows that the TFR for the ethnic minority population was 3.3 per woman in 2019. For the general population, the 2019 TFR was 2.5 per woman. The table also shows the differences in total fertility rates between urban and rural areas. For both the general and the ethnic minority populations, the TFRs were lower in urban areas than in rural areas. For the ethnic minority population the TFR in urban areas was 2.5 per woman and the TFR for rural areas was 3.5 per woman respectively. This is a difference of one child per woman.

Figure 7.1 shows the age-specific (adjusted) fertility rates of ethnic minority people in 2019, disaggregated by the total, urban and rural areas. The curve shows that the fertility rate increases with age, peaking in the 25-29 age group and then decreasing. The curve also shows the average fertility of women at younger and older ages. For example, the youth fertility rate is 32 per 1,000 . Despite the low rate, efforts should be made to reduce the negative impact on individuals and the negative social consequences of youth fertility. In addition, the fertility rate for women who are ending their reproductive lives (4549 years) is estimated to be 10 per 1,000.

Figure 7.1 Ethnic minority Age-Specific Fertility Rates by urban-rural areas, 2019


### 7.3 Maternal health care during childbirth

The majority of the ethnic minority women who gave birth in the 12 months prior to the census received midwifery services (78.1\%) for childbirth (Table 7.2). This percentage is similar to the general population ( $78.2 \%$ ). However, the data shows that traditional birth attendants were more commonly used by ethnic minority women than women in the general population.

Table 7.2 Percentage of care during childbirth among women in the general and ethnic minority populations by urban-rural area, 2019

| Care during childbirth | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Doctor | 8.7 | 13.5 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 13.4 | 6.7 |
| Nurse | 10.1 | 12.3 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 12.2 | 8.7 |
| Midwife | 78.2 | 72.7 | 80.8 | 78.1 | 73.0 | 80.4 |
| Traditional midwife | 2.8 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 4.0 |
| Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| None | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

### 7.4 Birth registration

Civil registration documents include birth certificates, marriage certificates, and death certificates, As the local authority, the commune/sangkat council is responsible for the preparation of these documents. All Cambodian citizens have a role to play in ensuring that their vital events are recorded in the civil register. When a newborn baby is born, parents are obliged to report this to the local authority where they have their permanent residence within 30 days of the birth. This process enables the child to be recorded in the civil register and to be issued with a birth certificate.

The 2019 census asked all females aged 15 and older who had given birth in the 12 months prior to the census, to indicate whether the birth had been registered (Table 7.3). According to the census, infant birth registration rates are similar in the general population and the ethnic minority population ( $86.4 \%$ and $85.9 \%$, respectively). Birth registration also tended to be higher in urban areas.

Table 7.3 Percentage of registered births to women in the general and ethnic minority population in the 12 months prior to census day, 2019

| Birth registration | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 )}$ |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Birth registered | 86.4 | 89.8 | 84.9 | 85.9 | 89.8 | 84.1 |
| Birth not registered | 13.6 | 10.2 | 15.1 | 14.1 | 10.2 | 15.9 |

## CHAPTER 8

## DEATH

### 8.1 Key concepts

Mortality refers to deaths that occur within the population. The probability of dying during any given period is influenced by factors such as age, sex, education, and economic status. Mortality rates reflect the standard of living and health services of the population.

The most important determinant of mortality is age. Under-five mortality is particularly important. There are three types of mortality indicators: Infant Mortality Rate (IMR), which is defined as the number of infant deaths (age 0 to 1 years) per 1,000 live births in a given year. Under-five mortality rate is the probability of death from birth to exactly age five, per 1,000 live births.

Many countries, such as Cambodia, lack a reliable death registration data. As a consequence, mortality estimates are dependent on data collected from censuses and demographic surveys. However, the mortality data derived from the census generally do not provide accurate mortality estimates. Several indirect estimation methods are commonly used to obtain more accurate estimates that meet international standards.

Indirect estimation methods were developed by William Brass during the 1970s in order to study the demography of populations in sub-Saharan Africa. Based on this work, several demographers have revised this method to better estimate adult mortality and to generate life tables.

The purpose of this chapter is to measure infant, child and under-five mortality, as well as adult mortality (through a life table) and maternal mortality of ethnic minority people. Indirect methods were used for these calculations. Adult mortality estimates were also generated (as part of the life table calculations), as well as estimates of maternal mortality.

### 8.2 Mortality of infants, adults and children under five

The 2019 census measured infant mortality using two questions: i) the number of children born to a woman and, ii) the number of surviving children. Both questions are
collected with reference to the age of the mother. Indirect calculation methods can provide reliable estimates of infant mortality and under-five mortality.

The original method, developed by the British demographer William Brass has been revised and improved over time (Moultrie et al., 2013). A revised version of Brass's original method was used here. Most indirect mortality estimates are generated using model life tables. There are two main indirect methods that have been proposed to generate life tables: i) the Coale-Demeny Regional Model Life Table approach (West, North, East, and South), and ii) the UN Model Life Tables for Developing Countries ( Latin American, Chilean, South Asian, Far East and General)².

For the ethnic minority population, and Cambodia more generally, the most appropriate model life tables are those calculated using the Coale-Demeny North model ${ }^{3}$. Table 8.1 presents Infant Mortality Rates (IMR), Under-five Mortality Rates (UMR) and life expectancies for the ethnic minority population in 2019. The ethnic minority IMR based on the 2019 census was 20 per 1,000 live births.

In general, mortality rates tend to be higher for males than females, especially in relation to infant mortality. This pattern is indicative of certain biological factors. In contrast, child mortality rates tend to be higher for females, especially in countries where there is a preference for sons. In the case of the ethnic minority population in Cambodia, the UMRs are higher for males.

[^4]Table 8.1 Infant Mortality Rate, Under-five Mortality Rate and life expectancy for general and ethnic minority populations by sex and urban-rural area, 2019

| Area | Infant mortality | Mortality of <br> children under 5 | Life expectancy | Reference time |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $(\mathbf{2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ |
| General population |  |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 17.6 | 28.1 | 75.5 | 2016.8 |
| Urban | 15.9 | 25.5 | 75.6 | 2016.8 |
| Rural | 18.5 | 29.5 | 74.5 | 2016.8 |
| Male | 20.6 | 31.7 | 74.3 | 2016.8 |
| Female | 14.6 | 24.3 | 76.8 | 2016.8 |
|  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |  |
| Both sexes | 20.0 | 31.9 | 73.4 | 2016.8 |
| Urban | 14.8 | 23.6 | 78.5 | 2016.8 |
| Rural | 20.7 | 33.1 | 73.1 | 2016.8 |
| Male | 22.5 | 35.9 | 72.3 | 2016.8 |
| Female | 16.7 | 26.8 | 77.2 | 2016.8 |

As expected, rural infant mortality rates tend to be higher than urban areas. The rural infant mortality rate for the ethnic minority population was 20.7 , whereas the urban rate was 14.8 per 1,000 live births (Table 8.1 ). Many factors explain the difference in mortality rates, including parental education, access to health care, hygiene practices etc. For the ethnic minority population in particular, the urban-rural differences remain significant. Whilst there has been progress expanding access to health care in rural areas, it is clear that there is scope for further improvement, particularly in relation to the ethnic minority population in rural areas.

Table 8.1 also presents life expectancy estimates for the ethnic minority population. Life expectancy is an important indicator of overall mortality and is strongly influenced by infant mortality. The following section discusses these results in more detail.

### 8.3 Adult mortality and life tables

Life tables are one of the most widely used instruments to measure and investigate adult mortality. They are also one of the most powerful tools in demography. In essence, a life table is used to simulate a population's lifetime mortality experience. It does so by taking that population's age-specific death rates and applying them to a hypothetical population of 100,000 people born at the same time. For each year in the life table, death
inevitably thins the hypothetical population's ranks until, in the last row of the table, even the oldest members die.

A life table contains several functions that describe different aspects of mortality by age group. The most important function is life expectancy. This indicates the average number of additional years a person of a given age is expect to live if the age-specific death rates for a given year prevailed for the rest of his or her life.

The life tables presented in this section were generated using the MATCH method from the United Nations MORTPAK ${ }^{4}$ program and the Coale-Demeny North model life table.

Table 8.2 Life table for ethnic minority males in Cambodia, 2019

| Age | m(x, n ) | $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$ | I(x) | d(x,n) | L(x,n) | S(x,n) | T(x) | e(x) | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 0 | 0.0225 | 0.0221 | 100,000 | 2,206 | 98,028 | 0.97614 | 7,252,601 | 72.53 | 0.11 |
| 1 | 0.0012 | 0.0048 | 97,794 | 470 | 390,044 | 0.99543 | 7,154,573 | 73.16 | 1.59 |
| 5 | 0.0006 | 0.0032 | 97,325 | 313 | 485,841 | 0.99711 | 6,764,529 | 69.50 | 2.50 |
| 10 | 0.0005 | 0.0026 | 97,012 | 249 | 484,435 | 0.99617 | 6,278,689 | 64.72 | 2.50 |
| 15 | 0.0011 | 0.0056 | 96,762 | 545 | 482,580 | 0.99297 | 5,794,254 | 59.88 | 2.74 |
| 20 | 0.0016 | 0.0081 | 96,218 | 783 | 479,189 | 0.99170 | 5,311,674 | 55.20 | 2.58 |
| 25 | 0.0017 | 0.0083 | 95,434 | 790 | 475,213 | 0.99129 | 4,832,484 | 50.64 | 2.52 |
| 30 | 0.0019 | 0.0092 | 94,644 | 874 | 471,076 | 0.99019 | 4,357,271 | 46.04 | 2.55 |
| 35 | 0.0021 | 0.0105 | 93,770 | 988 | 466,456 | 0.98812 | 3,886,195 | 41.44 | 2.58 |
| 40 | 0.0027 | 0.0135 | 92,782 | 1,255 | 460,916 | 0.98422 | 3,419,739 | 36.86 | 2.61 |
| 45 | 0.0038 | 0.0187 | 91,527 | 1,709 | 453,645 | 0.97568 | 2,958,823 | 32.33 | 2.67 |
| 50 | 0.0063 | 0.0308 | 89,818 | 2,767 | 442,612 | 0.96392 | 2,505,178 | 27.89 | 2.66 |
| 55 | 0.0086 | 0.0421 | 87,051 | 3,668 | 426,643 | 0.94608 | 2,062,566 | 23.69 | 2.65 |
| 60 | 0.0142 | 0.0685 | 83,383 | 5,714 | 403,638 | 0.91266 | 1,635,924 | 19.62 | 2.68 |
| 65 | 0.0231 | 0.1095 | 77,669 | 8,508 | 368,385 | 0.86243 | 1,232,285 | 15.87 | 2.65 |
| 70 | 0.0373 | 0.1715 | 69,161 | 11,860 | 317,706 | 0.78265 | 863,901 | 12.49 | 2.63 |
| 75 | 0.0628 | 0.2727 | 57,301 | 15,626 | 248,653 | 0.54475 | 546,195 | 9.53 | 2.58 |
| 80 | 0.1401 | ,', | 41,675 | 41,675 | 297,542 | ... | 297,542 | 7.14 | 7.14 |

[^5]Table 8.3 Life table for ethnic minority females in Cambodia, 2019

| Age | m(x, n ) | $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$ | I(x) | d(x,n) | L(x,n) | S(x, n) | T(x) | e(x) | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 0 | 0.0167 | 0.0165 | 100,000 | 1,645 | 98,518 | 0.9822 | 7,606,520 | 76.1 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 0.0008 | 0.0034 | 98,355 | 330 | 392,593 | 0.9972 | 7,508,002 | 76.3 | 1.5 |
| 5 | 0.0003 | 0.0016 | 98,025 | 156 | 489,733 | 0.9984 | 7,115,409 | 72.6 | 2.5 |
| 10 | 0.0003 | 0.0015 | 97,869 | 149 | 488,972 | 0.9980 | 6,625,675 | 67.7 | 2.5 |
| 15 | 0.0005 | 0.0026 | 97,720 | 256 | 488,009 | 0.9968 | 6,136,704 | 62.8 | 2.7 |
| 20 | 0.0008 | 0.0038 | 97,464 | 374 | 486,429 | 0.9957 | 5,648,694 | 58.0 | 2.6 |
| 25 | 0.0009 | 0.0046 | 97,090 | 451 | 484,348 | 0.9951 | 5,162,265 | 53.2 | 2.6 |
| 30 | 0.0010 | 0.0051 | 96,639 | 497 | 481,982 | 0.9944 | 4,677,917 | 48.4 | 2.6 |
| 35 | 0.0013 | 0.0063 | 96,142 | 606 | 479,275 | 0.9921 | 4,195,934 | 43.6 | 2.6 |
| 40 | 0.0020 | 0.0098 | 95,536 | 934 | 475,489 | 0.9885 | 3,716,659 | 38.9 | 2.7 |
| 45 | 0.0027 | 0.0135 | 94,602 | 1,280 | 470,016 | 0.9826 | 3,241,170 | 34.3 | 2.7 |
| 50 | 0.0044 | 0.0218 | 93,322 | 2,035 | 461,844 | 0.9744 | 2,771,155 | 29.7 | 2.7 |
| 55 | 0.0061 | 0.0301 | 91,287 | 2,745 | 450,015 | 0.9613 | 2,309,310 | 25.3 | 2.7 |
| 60 | 0.0102 | 0.0496 | 88,542 | 4,395 | 432,603 | 0.9345 | 1,859,295 | 21.0 | 2.7 |
| 65 | 0.0177 | 0.0848 | 84,147 | 7,138 | 404,287 | 0.8882 | 1,426,692 | 17.0 | 2.7 |
| 70 | 0.0310 | 0.1446 | 77,009 | 11,138 | 359,097 | 0.8119 | 1,022,405 | 13.3 | 2.7 |
| 75 | 0.0545 | 0.2412 | 65,871 | 15,889 | 291,561 | 0.5604 | 663,309 | 10.1 | 2.6 |
| 80 | 0.1345 | ... | 49,982 | 49,982 | 371,748 | ... | 371,748 | 7.4 | 7.4 |


| Remarks: m(x,n) | =Specific age middle of mortality rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A(x, n ) | =Number of people - the average year of survival for those who died between the ages of $x$ and $x+n$ |
|  | nqx | $=$ Probability of death at a specific age of $x$ and $x+n$ (mortality rate at specific age) |
|  | I(x) | =Number of survivors of age $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ |
|  | $n d x$ | $=$ Number of deaths between the ages of $x$ and $x+n_{1}$ |
|  | $n L x$ | $=$ Number of people - years of living between ages $x$ and $x+n_{1}$ |
|  | Sx | $=$ Survival ratio for ages $x$ to $x+5$, surviving 5 years to $x+5$ to $x+10=5 L x+5 / 5 L x$ |
|  | Tx | $=$ Number of survivors - years after age $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ |
|  | E(x) | $=$ Life expectancy at the age of $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ |

First, must key in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n})$ data for 5 generation survivals in groups $0-4=\mathrm{L}(0,5) /$ 500000
Then, enter $S(x, n)$ data is for $S(0,5)=L(5,5) / L(0,5)$
Finally, enter $S(x, n)$ data is $S(75+5)=T(80) / T(75)$

### 8.4 Maternal mortality

Over the last three decades, high maternal mortality rates in developing countries have increasingly been recognized as a public health challenge. Maternal mortality differs
significantly between developing and developed countries. The maternal mortality ratio (MMRatio) is defined as the number of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births in the same period. In developing countries, the MMRatio is more than 100 times that of developed countries.

Maternal mortality during pregnancy is an important indicator of the quality of health services. Maternal death is one of the greatest tragedies in the family that can experience and can have wide ranging consequences for the surviving spouses and surviving family members, as well as for society as a whole. In most instances, maternal mortality is preventable.

Maternal mortality has been discussed at various conferences and is recognized within the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) as the first target of Goal 3 (Good Health and Wellbeing). This target suggests that the global MMRatio should be reduced to less than 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030.

There are many ways to calculate maternal mortality, but the most appropriate and reliable method is based on direct questions included in a special part of the adult mortality section in the census questionnaire. Censuses often ask additional questions about women aged 15-49 who died in the 12 months prior to the census. In particular, they collect information on whether they died during pregnancy or childbirth or within 42 days of giving birth.

Sometimes census questions also include where the woman died (e.g. at a hospital, health center or home) and whether she received assistance before she died (e.g. from a doctor, physician, professional midwife or traditional midwife).

A major problem is that these adult mortality questions do not always provide reliable results. The same is true for the census questions that are specifically focused on maternal mortality. However, several methods based on model life tables has been developed which, in general, provide consistent results.

Maternal mortality is rare. As a consequence, sub-national level estimates were not generated and only national-level estimates are presented here. According to the 2019 census, directly calculated estimates (unadjusted) of the MMRatio were calculated. Using this method, the MMRatio was 140.8 per 100,000 live births (Figure 8.1). This indicator seems to indicate that maternal mortality is undercounted. However, adjustments were made to assess the quality of maternal mortality classification using a standard approach. In this case, it is generally not recommended to adjust the mortality by age group.

To assess the extent to which this approach provides consistent estimates of maternal mortality requires more specific analysis than is provided by these preliminary
findings. Maternal mortality is analyzed in-depth in the 2019 census thematic report on mortality and maternal mortality. However, there is no correction to the calculation of maternal mortality here and the rate presented is lower than the MMRatio generated with the 2008 data ( 460.8 out of 100,000 live births).

Figure 8.1 Maternal Mortality Ratios for the ethnic minority population, 2008, 2014 and 2019


Note: The 2014 MMRatio presented here was estimated using 2014 Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey ${ }^{5}$.

### 8.5 Cause of death

The cause of death for those recorded in the census was not assessed by a trained medical doctor. The census results showed that about $90.9 \%$ of the deaths in the ethnic minority population and $90 \%$ of deaths in the general population were attributable to disease. Accidents accounted for $9.1 \%$ of deaths in the general population and $10 \%$ in the ethnic minority population (Table 8.4).The leading causes of death in the ethnic minority population were fever, diarrhea, dengue fever, malaria, and other diseases.

[^6]Within the general population, dengue fever, tuberculosis, diarrhea, and other diseases were predominant. It is important to note the high rate of deaths due to accidents and drowning/falling that exist within both the general and the ethnic minority populations.

Table 8.4 Cause of death in the general and ethnic minority populations by urbanrural area, 2019

| Cause of death | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Caused by diseases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 90.0 | 90.2 | 89.9 | 90.9 | 87.6 | 91.4 |
| Fever | 22.7 | 24.1 | 21.8 | 26.1 | 20.0 | 27.0 |
| Diarrhea | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 11.0 |
| Tuberculosis | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 10.6 | 5.8 |
| Heart disease | 8.7 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 5.2 | 8.8 | 4.7 |
| Dengue fever | 9.3 | 9.9 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 8.1 |
| Malaria | 6.0 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 5.6 |
| Tetanus | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 5.2 |
| AIDS | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| During pregnancy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| During Childbirth | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Postpartum | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other diseases | 19.5 | 16.8 | 21.1 | 21.7 | 17.6 | 22.3 |
| Caused by accidents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10.0 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 12.4 | 8.6 |
| Landmines / unexploded ordinance | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Traffic accident | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 2.7 |
| Drowning/fall | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 3.0 |
| Other accidents / unknown | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 |

### 8.6 Death registration

When someone dies, a family member or relative of the deceased is obliged to report this event to the commune registrar in the commune where the deceased had their permanent residence. Death registration and death certificates are usually provided free of charge within 15 days of death. Beyond 15 days, family members or relatives need to apply for a death certificate from the commune or sangkat chief where the deceased had their permanent residence.

The application to register a death and issue the death certificate is also free of charge. The original death certificate and a copy of the death certificate should be issued to the person reporting the death, or claimant of the death, upon request. Overall, the percentage of deaths that were registered was lower in the ethnic minority population (52.7\%) than in the general population (70.3\%) (Table 8.5).

Table 8.5 Death registration within the general and ethnic minority populations by area, 2019

| Death registration |  | General population |  |  | Ethnic minority population |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Urban | Rural | Total | Urban | Rural |  |
| $(\mathbf{1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 )}$ |  |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |
| Death registered | 70.3 | 76.4 | 66.7 | 52.7 | 69.4 | 50.3 |  |
| Death not registered | 29.7 | 23.6 | 33.3 | 47.3 | 30.6 | 49.6 |  |
| Unreported | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |

## CHAPTER 9

## DISABILITY

### 9.1 Key concepts

Data on the six types of disability collected in the 2019 census are as follows:
(1) Visual disability (eye): a person who has some degree of visual impairment (despite wearing glasses) and is still unable to see; or a person who is blind or unable to see anything at all (even light) is considered visually incapacitated or visually disabled. A person who can see clearly with one eye or can only see directly in front of their eyes is also considered to have a visual disability. A person who has trouble seeing near or far but can see clearly by wearing glasses is not considered visually disabled.
(2) Hearing disability (deaf): a person with some level of hearing loss, even with hearing aids, is considered hearing incapacitated or hearing disabled. This type of disability includes a person who does not hear at all or who can only hear loud noises or cannot hear when they are in a noisy environment or has trouble recognizing sounds from other sources. In addition, a person who can only hear through one ear is also considered hearing disabled. A person who can hear with hearing aids is not considered to have a hearing disability.
(3) Disability in walking or climbing stairs: a person who has difficulty, to some extent, when moving around in the environment with his feet is considered disabled. This type of disability includes a person who has some difficulty walking near or far or going up or down stairs. It also includes a person who is unable to walk any distance without rest and cannot walk without walking aids such as cane, or crutches. In addition, a person who is unable to stand for more than a minute or two or needs a wheelchair to move from place to place is also considered to have this type of disability.
(4) Disability in memory or concentration: a person who is found to have some difficulty remembering or concentrating on daily activities is considered to have a disability in memory or concentration. This type of disability includes people who have trouble finding a way to focus on what they are doing or remember where they lived a few months ago. In addition, a person may not be able to remember what someone has just said to them or who seems confused or scared, is also considered to have a memory or concentration disability. These problems can be caused by everyday situations, including high workload or drug use or any other major causes.
(5) Disability in self-care: a person who has difficulty taking care of himself/herself independently is considered to have a disability in self-care. This type of disability includes daily tasks such as washing, dressing, or grooming. Washing refers to the whole process of cleaning the body (usually with soap and water). Cleaning includes washing clothes and collecting essential items such as laundry, soap, or water. Dressing refers to all aspects related to putting on clothes. A person who is unable to perform these tasks on his or her own is considered to have this type of disability.
(6) Disability in communication due to physical, mental, or emotional health conditions: a person with a physical, mental or emotional health problem that affects speech, hearing, comprehension, and a range of daily communication activities. This type of disability includes those who may be prone to misunderstanding during a conversation or other modes of communication. Communication refers to the exchange of information or ideas with others. This exchange is achieved by using voice, signs, or writing the information that is to be exchanged.

### 9.2 Level of disability

Table 9.1 shows the disability rates disaggregated by sex and urban-rural area. The table presents results for each of the six disability categories outlined in section 9.1. Individuals with a disability were classified into three categories (some level of disability, severe disability, and "can do nothing").

The disability rate for ethnic minority people aged 5 years and above for any level of disability was $4.7 \%$ (Table 9.1). The percentage of ethnic minority population reporting a severe disability was $0.8 \%$. The percentage of individuals reporting that they could do nothing was the lowest ( $0.3 \%$ ). Overall, a higher percentage of ethnic minority women reported a disability than men ( $5.2 \%$ vs $4.1 \%$ ). The percentage of males and females reporting severe disability was also slightly higher for women ( $0.9 \%$ for women versus $0.7 \%$ for men). The percentage who reported that they were unable to do anything was the same for both sexes.

Looking at urban-rural differences, disability within the ethnic minority population living in rural areas was lower than the percentage disabled in urban areas (5.1\% versus $4.6 \%$ ). However, overall levels of severe disability were similar in both urban and rural areas. In general, sex differences in the percentage disabled were consistent for ethnic minority people living in both rural and urban areas.

Table 9.1 Percentage disabled for the ethnic minority population aged 5 years and older by disability level and sex, 2019

| Area | Population with a disability aged 5 years and older | Total | Not Disabled | Some level of disability | Severely disabled | Can do nothing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| Total | 407,229 | 100 | 95.3 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Male | 197,640 | 100 | 95.9 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| Female | 209,589 | 100 | 94.8 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Urban | 73,562 | 100 | 94.9 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Male | 35,671 | 100 | 95.4 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Female | 37,891 | 100 | 94.4 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 333,667 | 100 | 95.4 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Male | 161,969 | 100 | 96.0 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Female | 171,698 | 100 | 94.9 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 |

Table 9.2 shows the ethnic minority population with a disability aged 5 years and older, as well as disability rates by level of disability, sex and urban-rural area. Of the 19,000 ethnic minority people with disabilities, $76.6 \%$ had some level of disability, $17.3 \%$ were strongly disabled and $6.2 \%$ reported that they were unable to do anything.

Table 9.2 Distribution of the disabled ethnic minority population aged 5 years and older by disability level and sex, 2019

| Area | Population with a <br> disability aged 5 <br> years and older | Total | Some level <br> of disability | Strongly <br> disabled | Can do <br> nothing |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (1) | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ |
| Total | 19,000 | 100 | 76.6 | 17.3 | 6.2 |
| Male | 8,110 | 100 | 77.7 | 16.0 | 6.3 |
| Female | 10,890 | 100 | 75.7 | 18.3 | 6.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 18.2 |
| Urban | 3,765 | 100 | 75.0 | 14.0 | 6.8 |
| Male | 1,648 | 100 | 79.1 | 21.5 | 6.0 |
| Female | 2,117 | 100 | 71.8 |  | 6.7 |
|  |  |  |  | 76.9 | 17.1 |

The percentage of the ethnic minority population that reported disabilities changed with age, ranging from 6\% for the 5-14 age group to $48.8 \%$ for the age group 60 years and above. Disability rates began to rise slightly in the middle age group from $13.3 \%$ for the $15-34$ age group to $31.9 \%$ for the $35-59$ age group. Men tended to have a higher percentage disabled in all age groups. However, for those aged 60 years and above, the pattern was reversed and significantly more ethnic minority women reported having a disability (Figure 9.1).

Figure 9.1 Percentage disabled for the ethnic minority population aged 5 years and older by age group and sex, 2019


The proportion of ethnic minority people with a visual disability was higher than for other types of disabilities (3.4\%), Other types of disabilities ranged from 2.1\% (for disabilities in personal care, such as bathing or dressing to $2.8 \%$ (hearing disability, even using hearing aids).

Table 9.3 Percentage of the ethnic minority population aged 5 years and over by sex, urban-rural area, type and level of disability, 2019

| Type and level of disability | Percentage distribution |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural |
| Visual disability even when wearing glasses | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 96.6 | 96.5 | 96.6 |
| Some level of disability | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| Strong level of disability | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Hearing disability even with hearing aids | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 97.2 | 96.7 | 97.3 |
| Some level of disability | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 |
| Strong level of disability | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Type and level of disability | Percentage distribution |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural |
| Disability related to moving or climbing stairs (walking) | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 97.4 | 97.1 | 97.5 |
| Some level of disability | 2 | 2.2 | 2 |
| Strong level of disability | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Memory or concentration disability | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 97.4 | 97.2 | 97.4 |
| Some level of disability | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| Strong level of disability | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Disability in self-care, such as bathing or dressing | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 97.9 | 97.6 | 98 |
| Some level of disability | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Strong level of disability | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Communication disability | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| No disability | 97.9 | 97.6 | 97.9 |
| Some level of disability | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Strong level of disability | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Can do nothing | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## CHAPTER 10

## HOUSING, HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS AND AMENITIES

### 10.1 Housing

The 2019 census included a housing census. Some information on housing characteristics was also collected in a listing operation three days before the actual census interview. During the house listing period, any building or structure used for residential purposes, (in whole or in part) is listed in Table A by the enumerator interviewing the households living in the house (see the template in Appendix 2).

The following list of residential characteristics was also collected and recorded by the census enumerator: (i) whether the building was wholly or partly residential and, in the latter case, ii) the other uses that the building was given over to and iii) the materials used for walls, roofs, and floors of the building. Table 10.1 summarizes this information for the ethnic minority population.

Table 10.1 Ethnic minority households distributed by type of residential building, 2008 and 2019

| Area | Total number of buildings | Percentage distribution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Wholly residential | Partly residential |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Residential and shop | Residential and workshop | Residential and other uses |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 101,120 | 100 | 96.5 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Urban | 16,802 | 100 | 95.4 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Rural | 84,318 | 100 | 96.8 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 78,051 | 100 | 96.6 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Urban | 5,301 | 100 | 98.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Rural | 72,750 | 100 | 96.5 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

[^7]The number of ethnic minority households increased from 78,051 in 2008 to 101,120 in 2019 (Table 10.2). In 2019, 96.5\% of ethnic minority households lived in buildings that were wholly for residential use. The corresponding proportion in urban areas was $95.4 \%$ and $96.8 \%$ in rural areas. A higher proportion of the ethnic minority households in urban areas lived in buildings that were only partly residential than in rural areas. When compared to 2008, the results for 2019 suggest that there has been little change over time in this characteristic.

Table 10.2 Percentage of buildings and dwellings where ethnic minority households are resident by type of building, 2008 and 2019

| Total, urban and rural areas | Total number of buildings | Percentage of buildings by type of construction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Permanent | Semipermanent | Temporary |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 101,120 | 100 | 92.8 | 5.7 | 1.6 |
| Urban | 16,802 | 100 | 95.5 | 3.9 | 0.7 |
| Rural | 84,318 | 100 | 92.2 | 6.0 | 1.7 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 78,051 | 100 | 15.1 | 30.0 | 54.9 |
| Urban | 5,301 | 100 | 13.4 | 35.4 | 51.3 |
| Rural | 72,750 | 100 | 15.2 | 29.6 | 55.2 |

Note: Excluded the types of unreported building use
The combination of building materials that a residence is constructed from is used to determine the quality of building construction. Based on the wall and roof materials, buildings that are used for residential purposes (both wholly and in part) are classified as permanent, semi-permanent, or temporary. Roofs made of bamboo, thatch, grass, or tarpaulin are assumed to be temporary. Roofs made of the following materials are assumed as permanent: wood, plywood, concrete, brick, stone, zinc, aluminum, aluminum, other metal sheets, fibrous cement and tile. Walls made of the following materials are assumed to be permanent: wood, plywood, concrete, brick, stone, zinc, aluminum, other metal sheets, fibrous cement.

Buildings or structures composed of permanent wall elements and permanent roofs are considered permanent. Buildings or structures that are a combination of permanent wall material and temporary roof, or temporary wall material and permanent roof, are considered semi-permanent buildings. Buildings or structures combined with temporary wall elements and roofs are considered to be temporary buildings.

Table 10.2 shows that in 2019, about $92.8 \%$ of ethnic minority residential buildings were permanent, $5.7 \%$ were semi-permanent and $1.6 \%$ were temporary structures. In rural areas, about $6 \%$ of ethnic minority residential buildings were semi-permanent and about $1.7 \%$ were temporary structures.

### 10.2 Household characteristics

### 10.2.1 Number and size of ethnic minority households

The total number of ethnic minority households counted during the 2008 and 2019 censuses was 78,051 and 100,364 , respectively. Table 10.3 shows that the average ethnic minority household size decreased from 5 in 2008 to 4.5 in 2019. In urban areas, household size fell $0.4 \%$ over the decade, while in rural areas it fell $0.5 \%$. The trend of declining household size is in line with the increase in the number of households in the country and reflects a general shift from a large household structure to a smaller household unit in Cambodia.

Table 10.3 Ethnic minority households and average household size, 2008 and 2019

| Residence | Number of households |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change 2008- } \\ 2019 \end{gathered}$ | Average household size |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2019 |  | 2008 | 2019 |
| Total | 78,051 | 101,120 | 22,313 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Urban | 5,301 | 16,802 | 11,082 | 5.3 | 4.9 |
| Rural | 72,750 | 84,318 | 11,231 | 5.0 | 4.5 |

The 2019 census results show that the typical ethnic minority household had 4.5 members. Table 10.4 shows that $19.2 \%$ of ethnic minority households were headed by women. In urban areas the corresponding figure was $23.2 \%$ and in rural areas it was $18.5 \%$.

Table 10.4 Percentage of ethnic minority households by sex of head of household and urban-rural area, 2019

| Characteristics | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Male | 80.8 | 76.8 | 81.5 |
| Female | 19.2 | 23.2 | 18.5 |

Note: Excluded institutional families, homeless families, families living on boats, transient families

### 10.2.2 Male and female household heads

Table 10.5 shows that most of the female heads of households are between the ages of 15-59, accounting for almost $82.1 \%$ of female headed ethnic minority households in the 2019.

Table 10.5 Percentage distribution of ethnic minority household heads (10 years and older) by sex and age group, 2019

| Age group | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| $10-14$ | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.2 |
| $15-19$ | 10.8 | 11.6 | 7.3 |
| $20-24$ | 13.3 | 14.4 | 8.4 |
| $25-29$ | 14.7 | 15.9 | 9.6 |
| $30-34$ | 9.5 | 9.9 | 7.8 |
| $35-39$ | 9.9 | 10.2 | 8.9 |
| $40-44$ | 9.1 | 8.8 | 10.6 |
| $45-49$ | 8.8 | 8.3 | 10.5 |
| $50-54$ | 6.6 | 5.8 | 10.0 |
| $55-59$ | 5.2 | 4.3 | 9.0 |
| $60-64$ | 3.3 | 2.6 | 6.3 |
| $65+$ | 3.8 | 2.9 | 7.3 |

Note: Excluded institutional families, homeless families, families living on boats, transient families

### 10.2.3 Number of rooms used by households

More than half of ethnic minority households (57\%) lived in a single room (Table 10.6). The corresponding figure in urban areas was lower ( $55 \%$ ) and in rural areas it was $57.4 \%$. While $27.1 \%$ of all ethnic minority households lived in two rooms, $10.8 \%$ of households had three rooms and just $5.1 \%$ of ethnic minority households had four or more rooms.

Table 10.6 Ethnic minority household distribution by housing status, number of rooms occupied by families, and urban-rural area, 2008 and 2019

| Status of residence | Number of rooms by household |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5+ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 57.0 | 27.1 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 1.5 |
| Own residence | 100 | 56.8 | 27.7 | 10.7 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| Rented in | 100 | 69.7 | 17.3 | 8.3 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| Not landlord but free rent | 100 | 56.2 | 22.5 | 12.8 | 5.7 | 2.8 |
| Other | 100 | 68.5 | 16.0 | 9.5 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 55.0 | 28.0 | 10.3 | 4.1 | 2.6 |
| Own residence | 100 | 52.9 | 29.7 | 10.5 | 4.2 | 2.7 |
| Rented in | 100 | 69.9 | 15.6 | 9.2 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| Not landlord but free rent | 100 | 66.7 | 19.9 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 1.7 |
| Other | 100 | 81.1 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 57.4 | 27.0 | 10.9 | 3.4 | 1.3 |
| Own residence | 100 | 57.5 | 27.3 | 10.8 | 3.3 | 1.2 |
| Rented in | 100 | 69.1 | 23.2 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 0.6 |
| Not landlord but free rent | 100 | 55.3 | 22.7 | 13.2 | 5.9 | 2.9 |
| Other | 100 | 66.2 | 16.9 | 11.3 | 3.9 | 1.8 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100 | 59.9 | 25.4 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| Own residence | 100 | 67.1 | 15.4 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 5.5 |
| Rented in | 100 | 84.9 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 2.5 |
| Not landlord but free rent | 100 | 75.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 |
| Other bases | 100 | 62.4 | 22.9 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100 | 69.5 | 21.9 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Own residence | 100 | 77.0 | 16.4 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| Rented in | 100 | 73.9 | 18.7 | 5.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Not landlord but free rent | 100 | 68.7 | 20.3 | 10.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Other | 100 | 69.8 | 21.7 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural | 100 | 69.0 | 22.1 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| Own residence | 100 | 70.3 | 15.7 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 4.0 |
| Rented in | 100 | 74.6 | 18.0 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| Not landlord but free rent | 100 | 69.2 | 20.1 | 9.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| Other | 100 | 69.3 | 21.8 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 |

[^8]
### 10.3 Household appliances

### 10.3.1 Drinking water

As with the 2008 census, information on household appliances was collected in the 2019 census. Information was also collected about the sources of drinking water. The census asked whether households had access to water piped into the dwelling; water piped into the compound or yard; water collected from a public tap, standpipe, tube well, borehole and protected well; as well as rainwater and bottled water.
$67.7 \%$ of ethnic minority households had access to safe drinking water in 2019. In 2008, the same statistic was just 42.6\% (Table 10.7). In urban areas, significantly more ethnic minority households had access to safe drinking water than in rural areas (85.6\% versus $64.2 \%$ respectively). Figure 10.1 shows that $77.7 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas had access to safe drinking water in their homes in 2019. However, in rural areas, the corresponding figure was just $40.5 \%$. A comparison with figures from 2008 (Table 10.7) shows that access to safe drinking water has improved substantially for the ethnic minority population, especially in rural areas.

Figure 10.1 Percentage of ethnic minority households by sources of drinking water and urban-rural area, 2019


Table 10.7 Percentage of ethnic minority households by main source of drinking water, 2008 and 2019

| According to main source of drinking water | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Improved | 67.7 | 85.6 | 64.2 |
| Not improved | 32.3 | 14.4 | 35.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Tap water | 19.0 | 43.1 | 14.3 |
| Piped water in the yard/premises | 2.7 | 4.7 | 2.3 |
| Public piped water | 3.9 | 7.4 | 3.2 |
| Pumped-well water | 26.9 | 15.7 | 29.1 |
| Open-dug well with a cover | 9.8 | 5.9 | 10.6 |
| Open-dug well without a cover | 11.7 | 2.5 | 13.5 |
| Protected groundwater | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Unprotected groundwater | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| Rainwater | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| Water from underground, river, stream, lake, pond, etc. | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.4 |
| Water bought from a water tanker | 3.0 | 3.9 | 2.8 |
| Water bought from a water cart | 12.1 | 3.5 | 13.8 |
| Bottled water / bottled drinking water | 4.8 | 8.7 | 4.1 |
| Other water sources | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Improved | 42.6 | 86.4 | 39.5 |
| Not improved | 57.4 | 13.6 | 60.5 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Tap water | 8.3 | 53.5 | 5.1 |
| Piped water in the yard/premises | 20.6 | 9.4 | 21.4 |
| Public piped water | 6.6 | 5.0 | 6.7 |
| Pumped-well water | 27.8 | 2.7 | 29.5 |
| Open-dug well with a cover | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Open-dug well without a cover | 28.2 | 10.7 | 29.5 |
| Protected groundwater | 6.8 | 18.0 | 6.0 |
| Unprotected groundwater | 1.4 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| Rainwater | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Water from underground, river, stream, lake, pond, etc. | 42.6 | 86.4 | 39.5 |
| Water bought from a water tanker | 57.4 | 13.6 | 60.5 |
| Water bought from a water cart | 8.3 | 53.5 | 5.1 |
| Bottled water / bottled drinking water | 20.6 | 9.4 | 21.4 |
| Other water sources | 6.6 | 5.0 | 6.7 |

### 10.3.2 Key sources of lighting

According to the 2019 census, most ethnic minority families had access to grid electricity for lighting. Table 10.8 shows that about $60.7 \%$ of ethnic minority households used electricity, (including grid electricity, electric generators, and a combination of these sources), in 2019. This is a sharp increase from $15.8 \%$ in 2008.

About 92.4\% of households in urban areas used electricity for lighting, whereas in rural areas this rate was $54.6 \%$. According to the above figures, for more than a decade, the majority of ethnic minority households have used electricity as a source of light. In particular, the use of batteries as the main source of light is still high, especially in rural areas in 2008 and 2019.

Table 10.8 Percentage of ethnic minority households by the primary source of lighting used and urban-rural area, 2008 and 2019

| Residence status | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| City Power | 57.7 | 87.2 | 51.9 |
| Electric generator | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| Grid electricity \& electric generator | 1.9 | 3.3 | 1.7 |
| Kerosene | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| Candle | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Batteries | 34.0 | 6.1 | 39.5 |
| Other | 3.9 | 0.7 | 4.5 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| City Power | 12.4 | 83.5 | 7.4 |
| Electric generator | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| Grid electricity \& electric generator | 1.3 | 2.5 | 1.2 |
| Kerosene | 49.7 | 5.6 | 52.8 |
| Candle | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Batteries | 27.4 | 4.6 | 29.0 |
| Other | 6.2 | 0.1 | 6.6 |

### 10.3.3 Latrines

According to the 2019 census, the use of latrines within the ethnic minority population increased significantly in the preceding decade. Table 10.9 shows that in 2019,
$64.1 \%$ of ethnic minority households had access to latrines. This is a significant increase from 2008, when just 18\% had latrine access.

In 2019, nearly 8 out of 10 ethnic minority households in urban areas had access to latrines. And nearly 6 in 10 households in rural areas. In urban areas, 30.9\% of ethnic minority households used pour-flush or flush toilets connected to the sewer system, whereas in rural areas the same figure was just $11.8 \%$. Similarly, $37.9 \%$ of urban ethnic minority households used pour-flush or flush toilets connected to a septic tank or pit, whereas in rural areas the same statistic was $28 \%$.

Table 10.9 Percentage distribution of ethnic minority households by toilet type and urban-rural area, 2008 and 2019

| Type of toilet | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Household without toilet | 35.9 | 15.1 | 40.0 |
| Pour-flush or flush toilet connected to a sewer system | 14.9 | 30.9 | 11.8 |
| Pour-flush or flush toilet connected to the drain or pit | 29.6 | 37.9 | 28.0 |
| Pour-flush or flush toilet connected to other destinations (water discharge, septic tank, or pit)) | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| Pit toilet with slab | 5.8 | 5.2 | 5.9 |
| Pit toilet without slab | 3.6 | 0.9 | 4.1 |
| Free-drop toilet (on to the ground, in water: pond/lake/river/sea) | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Other types | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Household without toilet | 82.0 | 22.4 | 86.2 |
| Pour-flush or flush toilet connected to the sewer system | 5.5 | 34.2 | 3.5 |
| Pour-flush or flush toilet connected to the drain or pit | 8.0 | 39.4 | 5.8 |
| Pit | 3.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| Other types | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 |

Notes: 1. In 2008 there was no information on pour-flush or flush toilet that drained to other places (not discharged to septic tank nor pit) and free-drop toilets into water (pond/lake/river/sea).
2. In 2008, the information was collected only for pit latrines and not broken down into pit latrine with slab, and pit latrine without a slab.

### 10.3.4 Fuel for cooking

A comparison of data from the 2008 and 2019 censuses shows that the proportion of ethnic minority households using firewood for cooking has decreased in the past decade, while the number of ethnic minority households using LPG has increased steadily. However, firewood was still the main fuel for cooking for ethnic minority households (79.9\%) in 2019. Table 10.10 shows that only $38.2 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas used firewood for cooking. However, in rural areas the same figure was $88 \%$, more than twice as much. About $14.4 \%$ of ethnic minority households used LPG (gas) for cooking. In urban areas, $49 \%$ of ethnic minority households used LPG, in rural areas only $7.6 \%$ used LPG.

Table 10.10 Ethnic minority households by type of fuel used for cooking, 2008 and 2019

| Type of fuel used for cooking | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Firewood | 79.9 | 38.2 | 88.0 |
| Charcoal/coal | 3.6 | 7.9 | 2.7 |
| Kerosene | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| LPG | 14.4 | 49.0 | 7.6 |
| Electricity | 1.6 | 4.0 | 1.2 |
| None | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Others | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Firewood | 93.0 | 34.8 | 97.1 |
| Charcoal/coal | 3.6 | 33.7 | 1.5 |
| Kerosene | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| LPG | 2.7 | 29.6 | 0.8 |
| Electricity | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| None | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Others | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

### 10.3.5 Internet usage

The 2019 census results show that internet usage has been increasing for ethnic minority households. This mirrors technological advances worldwide, in particular in relation to the availability of mobile phones that can access the internet. Most ethnic minority people reported using the internet. Figure 10.2 shows that $40.9 \%$ of ethnic minority households used the internet, of which $37.2 \%$ used the internet at home. 20.4\% used the internet in public places and $16.7 \%$ used the internet at home and in public places. The percentage of ethnic minority households in urban areas that had internet access ( $65 \%$ ) was higher than the percentage of ethnic minority households in rural areas (36.2\%).

Figure 10.2 Percentage of ethnic minority households by internet access and urban-rural area, 2019


### 10.3.6 Amenities for personal use

The 2008 and 2019 censuses asked questions about the type and quantity of household amenities. This information provides an indication of the economic conditions of the ethnic minority population. The 2019 census results show that the percentage of ethnic minority households with access to a personal television was $38.8 \%$. In urban areas, $68.8 \%$ had a television and in rural areas the same statistic was $32.9 \%$ (Table 10.11).

In 2019, 86.1\% of ethnic minority households reported having a mobile phone, while $93.1 \%$ of urban households and $84.7 \%$ of rural households had a mobile phone. In urban areas, $82.9 \%$ of households owned a motorbike, compared to $78.3 \%$ in the rural areas.

Only $13.6 \%$ of ethnic minority households owned a vehicle (small or big truck or car for personal use). $42.6 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas owned a bicycle for personal use, compared to $36.9 \%$ in rural areas. The aforementioned results emphasise that motorcycles are still a popular means of transportation for ethnic minority
people, especially in urban areas. Television, meanwhile, remains popular with ethnic minority people, both in urban and rural areas. Overall, the increase in the percentage of households using electricity across the country, reflects an important change in the living conditions of the ethnic minority population in Cambodia.

Table 10.11 Classification of ethnic minority households by type of personal appliances and urban-rural area, 2008 and 2019

| Types of appliances | Total | Urban | Rural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 2019 |  |  |  |
| Radio/tape recorder | 9.6 | 10.6 | 9.4 |
| TV | 38.8 | 68.8 | 32.9 |
| Fixed phone | 1.1 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| Handphone | 86.1 | 93.1 | 84.7 |
| Personal computer | 3.5 | 10.5 | 2.1 |
| Bicycle | 37.9 | 42.6 | 36.9 |
| Motorbike | 79.0 | 82.9 | 78.3 |
| Refrigerator | 8.3 | 26.1 | 4.9 |
| Washing machine | 4.4 | 17.5 | 1.9 |
| Electric fan | 55.4 | 84.0 | 49.8 |
| Air conditioner | 2.2 | 10.3 | 0.6 |
| Car/small/big truck | 5.0 | 13.6 | 3.3 |
| Rowing/machine boat | 4.4 | 3.2 | 4.6 |
| Small/big tractor | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Walking tractor | 12.6 | 4.1 | 14.3 |
| 2008 |  |  |  |
| Radio/tape recorder | 36.3 | 47.1 | 35.5 |
| TV | 35.3 | 78.4 | 32.3 |
| Fixed phone | 0.7 | 3.8 | 0.4 |
| Handphone | 25.2 | 70.8 | 22.0 |
| Personal computer | 1.3 | 10.4 | 0.6 |
| Bicycle | 50.5 | 41.1 | 51.1 |
| Motorbike | 42.6 | 61.7 | 41.3 |
| Car/small/big truck | 2.3 | 11.9 | 1.6 |
| Rowing/machine boat | 7.5 | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| Small/big tractor | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Walking tractor | 2.4 | 0.5 | 2.6 |

## CHAPTER 11

## CONCLUSION

The total number of ethnic minority people living in Cambodia in 2008 was 389,424 and this has increased to 455,610 in 2019. In a similar trend, the number of ethnic minority peoples living in rural areas increased from 361,337 in 2008 to 374,635 in 2019. The percentage of ethnic minority people living in urban areas increased from $7.2 \%$ in 2008 to $17.8 \%$ in 2019.

The highest proportions of ethnic minority people were in the mountains and plateaus ( $46 \%$ percent), followed by in the central plains (35.8\%), the area around the Tonle Sap (15.8\%), and the coastal and marine areas (2.4\%). The final results of the 2019 general census suggest that the total number of ethnic minority people increased by only 66,186 , equivalent to $17 \%$ in the 11 -year period between 2008 and 2019. This is an annual growth rate of $1.4 \%$.

Most of the ethnic minority people were in Ratanakkiri $(101,691)$, Tbaung Khmum $(90,041)$, Kratie $(41,622)$, Mondulkiri $(35,337)$, and Kampong Chhnang $(30,137)$. These provinces had a long history of ethnic minority population settlement with high fertility rates.

The majority of all ethnic minority people currently living in Cambodia are Cham, 275,217 (61.4\%) , followed by Punong, 36,585 (8.2\%), Tumpuon, 36,373 (8.1\%), Charai, 26,922 (6\%), Kroeng, 21,453 (4.8\%), Kuoy, 16,762 (3.8\%), and Prov, 10,086 (2.3\%). In particular, the smallest ethnic minority groups in Cambodia are the Ka-Chrouk, Morn, and Kanhchok.

Ethnic minority people under the age of 15 make up $34.1 \%$ and those over the age of 60 made up only $7.7 \%$. The median age for the ethnic minority population in 2019 was 23 years old. Overall, ethnic minority people had a higher percentage of children aged 014 years, which indicates that the age structure is still comparatively young. This pattern reflects the higher-than-average number of births per woman of reproductive age in this population sub-group.

The dependency ratio of the ethnic minority population in 2019 was 71.9. This means that for every 100 ethnic minority people in the labor force, there were 71.9 ethnic minority people of dependent age.

With respect to nuptiality, the marital status that accounted for the highest proportion of both the general and ethnic minority populations in 2019 was the proportion
of the population over 15 years that was currently married (66.2\% and 68.3\% respectively). The proportion of the general population aged 15 and over that had never married was $27.5 \%$, compared to $26.3 \%$ of the ethnic minority population. The proportion of the population that was widowed was $4 \%$ for the general population and $3.4 \%$ for the ethnic minority population.

For the ethnic minority population some important urban and rural differences were also observed. For both men and women, the SMAM in urban areas was higher than in rural areas. In 2019, the SMAM among urban men was almost three years higher than the SMAM for rural men. The difference between urban and rural ethnic minority women was also substantial, with a SMAM of 24.8 in urban areas and 21.5 in rural areas.

Overall youth marriage patterns were similar for ethnic minority males and females in 2019. For men and women, the proportion of married individuals was much higher than the general population for the age groups 15-19 and 20-24 years. And this pattern held for both rural and urban areas. The proportion of never married men and women between the ages of 45-49 is low. This indicates that most ethnic minority men and women marry at a young age but, as they get older, some individuals remain single.

In 2019, the literacy rate for any language for those aged 7 and over was $77.1 \%$ for the ethnic minority population and $88.5 \%$ for the general population. The literacy rate among ethnic minority women was $74 \%$, which is lower than the rate for women in the general population (86.2\%). There was also a large gap between the literacy rates of ethnic minority men and women in 2019 ( $80.5 \%$ for men and $74 \%$ for women).

The literacy rate for any language was higher for the ethnic minority population living in urban areas than those living in rural areas ( $90.7 \%$ and $74.1 \%$ respectively). The gap in literacy rates between urban and rural areas has gradually narrowed for the general and the ethnic minority populations. In 2019, the literacy gap between ethnic minority populations living in urban and rural areas was $16.6 \%$. This is markedly different from the gap observed in 2008.
$55.1 \%$ of the ethnic minority population were proficient in Khmer only; $1.7 \%$ were proficient in Khmer and English; and $31.7 \%$ reported speaking Khmer and languages other than English. 11.5\% of the ethnic minority population aged 7 and over only reported proficiency in a language other than Khmer.

Adult literacy rates for the general and ethnic minority populations were different at the national, urban, and rural levels. Overall, the 2019 census found that $84.7 \%$ of the adult general population and $74.6 \%$ of the adult ethnic minority population were literate. Adult ethnic minority women had significantly lower literacy rates than adult ethnic
minority men. There was a significant difference between urban and rural areas in 2019, with the ethnic minority adult literacy rate estimated to be $90.3 \%$ in urban areas and $70.8 \%$ in rural areas.

In contrast to the general population, a much larger proportion of the ethnic minority population aged 7 years and older reported not completing primary school ( $57.4 \%$ in the ethnic minority population versus $42.3 \%$ in the general population). The percentage of ethnic minority primary school graduates was also $4.1 \%$ lower than the general population ( $24.9 \%$ and $29.1 \%$, respectively).

Lower secondary school completion was also much lower for the ethnic minority population than the general population ( $13 \%$ versus $21.8 \%$ ). The population that reported graduating from secondary school with a diploma was $1.5 \%$ for the ethnic minority population, versus $2.9 \%$ in the general population. And just $1.1 \%$ of the ethnic minority population reported completing teriary education, versus $2.8 \%$ in the general population.

It is generally advisable that those in the 15-19 age group complete lower secondary school. However, the census data shows that $32.7 \%$ of ethnic minority people in the 15-19 age group had not yet completed primary school. It is possible that this is due to late enrollment, repetition, or the discontinuation of studies. In the same 15-19 age group, $42.1 \%$ and $23.9 \%$ completed primary and lower secondary education, respectively. It should also be noted that approximately $1 \%$ of the ethnic minority population in this age group had completed secondary school with a diploma.

In the 20-24 age group, $38.1 \%$ did not complete primary school, $32.1 \%$ completed primary school, $24 \%$ completed lower secondary school. The proportions that completed secondary school with a diploma and completed tertiary education were $4.8 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively. The majority of the ethnic minority population aged 25-59 (55.2\%) had not completed primary school.

Enrollment rates within the general population were $90.6 \%$ and $91.6 \%$ for children in the 6-11 and 12-14 age groups, respectively. In contrast to this, enrollment for ethnic minority children accounted for only $81.1 \%$ and $85.3 \%$, respectively. This highlights the comparatively poorer levels of enrollment in the ethnic minority population relative to the general population. Reducing these differences in enrollment necessitates additional outreach to the parents of ethnic minority children.

In 2019, $62 \%$ of the general population aged 5 years and over was economically active, compared to $60.2 \%$ of the ethnic minority population. In the general population, $65.2 \%$ of males aged 5 years and over were economically active, compared to $62.3 \%$ of males in the ethnic minority population. More women in the general population were
active than those in the ethnic minority population, although the difference was small (59\% and 58.2\%, respectively).

In 2019, the rate of economically active people or "labor force participation rate" (LFPR), in the ethnic minority population aged 15 years and over was $60.2 \%$. This is lower than the LFPR in the general population (79.1\%). The employment rate is defined as the percentage of employed people relative to the total population in the labor force. In 2019, this rate was $98.7 \%$ for the general population and $98.8 \%$ for the ethnic minority population. The unemployment rate for ethnic minority people aged 15 and over was $1.2 \%$, compared to $1.3 \%$ for the general population in 2019.

According to the results of the 2019 Cambodian census $67.7 \%$ of employed workers in the general population were classified as unpaid family workers and selfemployed workers in the general population This is lower than the ethnic minority population ( $85 \%$ ), who were mostly employed in the informal economy. In the formal economy, $31.5 \%$ of the employed general population were paid employees, whereas paid employees accounted for only $14.5 \%$ of employed ethnic minority people.

Most of the general population were employed in the local private enterprise sector (78.7\%), followed by foreign private enterprise (12.8\%) and government employment (4.9\%). Employment in the other five sectors accounted for just 3.6\%. In contrast to this, $90.5 \%$ of the employed ethnic minority population was working in the local private enterprise sector, followed by foreign private enterprise (5\%) and the government sector (2.2\%). Employment in the other five sectors accounted for just 2.3\%.

In 2019, the majority of employed people in the ethnic minority population were engaged in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries (75\%) followed by service and sales work ( $8.7 \%$ ), and crafts and related work ( $7.1 \%$ ). This contrasts with the general population, where $53.4 \%$ were employed in agriculture, forestry, and fisheries, and; $16.6 \%$ worked as craft and related workers, and; $12.6 \%$ worked as service and sales workers.

The census found that $83.8 \%$ of the ethnic minority population had never had a residence other than the interview venue. Overall, the percentage of ethnic minority migrants was $16.2 \%$. In urban areas, $28.6 \%$ of the ethnic minority population reported being a migrant.

Most migration flows for the ethnic minority population in 2019 were rural to rural flows, followed by rural to urban flows. In contrast to this, most of the migration flows for the general population were rural to urban and urban to urban flows. These patterns reflect a well-established pattern of rapid urbanization in Cambodia. The majority of migrants had lived in the interview area for less than 10 years prior to the census (51.5\% for the ethnic minority population and $57.4 \%$ for the general population).

As expected, the majority of both the ethnic minority and general populations migrated between the ages of 15 and 39 . According to the 2019 census, $55.5 \%$ ethnic minority migrants were in this age group. And this pattern was consistent for both men and women. Overall, $85.7 \%$ of migrants were in the $15-64$ age group. The same trend exists in the general population. This pattern reflects the propensity for people in these age groups to move to find work and to pursue education and marriage.

The ethnic minority Total Fertility Rate (TFR) was 3.3 per woman in 2019. For the general population, the 2019 TFR was only 2.5 per woman. The infant mortality rate based on the 2019 census results for ethnic minorities was 20 per 1,000 live births. The rural infant mortality rate was 20.7 , whereas the urban rate was 14.8 per 1,000 live births.

The census results showed that about $90.9 \%$ of the ethnic minority population and $90 \%$ of the general population died from disease. Accidents accounted for $9.1 \%$ of deaths in the general population and $10 \%$ in the ethnic minority population. The leading causes of death in the ethnic minority population were fever, diarrhea, dengue fever, malaria, and other diseases. Within the general population, dengue fever, tuberculosis, diarrhea, and other diseases were predominant.

The disability rate for ethnic minority people aged 5 years and above for any level of disability was $4.7 \%$. The percentage of the ethnic minority population that reported a severe disability was $0.8 \%$. The percentage of individuals reporting that they could do nothing was the lowest ( $0.3 \%$ ). Of the 19,000 ethnic minority people with disabilities, $76.6 \%$ had some level of disability, $17.3 \%$ were severely disabled and $6.2 \%$ reported that they were unable to do anything. The percentage of the ethnic minority population that reported disabilities changed with age, ranging from 6\% for the 5-14 age group to $48.8 \%$ for the age group 60 years and above.

The number of ethnic minority households increased from 78,051 in 2008 to 101,120 in 2019. A higher proportion of the ethnic minority households in urban areas lived in buildings that were only partly residential, than in rural areas. In 2019, 92.8\% of ethnic minority households lived in residential buildings that were permanent. $5.7 \%$ lived in residential buildings that were semi-permanent and $1.6 \%$ lived in temporary structures.

The average household size for the ethnic minority population decreased from 5.0 in 2008 to 4.5 in 2019. 19.2\% of ethnic minority households were headed by women. In urban areas the corresponding figure was $23.2 \%$ and in rural areas it was $18.5 \%$.

More than half of ethnic minority households (57\%) lived in only one room. The corresponding figure in urban areas was $55 \%$ and in rural areas it was $57.4 \%$. While $27.1 \%$ lived in two rooms, $10.8 \%$ of all ethnic minority households had three rooms and just $5.1 \%$ of ethnic minority households had four or more rooms.
$67.7 \%$ of ethnic minority households had access to safe drinking water in 2019. In 2008, the same statistic was just 42.6\%. $77.7 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas had access to safe drinking water in their homes in 2019. However, in rural areas, the corresponding figure was just $40.5 \%$.

The census found that 60.7\% of ethnic minority households in 2019 used electricity (including grid electricity, electric generators, and both sources). This is a sharp increase from just $15.8 \%$ in 2008 . Overall, $92.4 \%$ of ethnic minority households in urban areas used electricity for lighting, while the rate in rural households was $54.6 \%$.

In 2019, $64.1 \%$ of ethnic minority households had access to latrines: a significant increase from 2008, when just 18\% had access. In 2019, nearly 8 out of 10 ethnic minority households in urban areas had access to latrines. And nearly 6 in 10 households in rural areas.

Firewood was still the main fuel for cooking (79.9\%) in 2019. Only 38.2\% of ethnic minority households in urban areas used firewood for cooking. However, in rural areas the same figure was $88 \%$, more than twice as much. About $14.4 \%$ of ethnic minority households reported using LPG (gas) for cooking. In urban areas, 49\% of ethnic minority households used LPG, in rural areas only $7.6 \%$ used LPG.

The census results show that internet usage has been increasing for ethnic minority households. $40.9 \%$ of ethnic minority households reported using the internet, of which $37.2 \%$ used the internet at home. $20.4 \%$ used the internet in public places and $16.7 \%$ used the internet at home and in public places. The percentage of ethnic minority households in urban areas that had internet access (65\%) was higher than the percentage of ethnic minority households in rural areas (36.2\%).

The 2019 census results show that the percentage of ethnic minority households with access to a personal television was $38.8 \%$. Meanwhile, $86.1 \%$ of ethnic minority households had a mobile phone, with $93.1 \%$ in urban areas and $84.7 \%$ in rural areas. In urban areas, $82.9 \%$ of ethnic minority households owned a motorbike, compared to $78.3 \%$ in the rural areas. Only $13.6 \%$ of ethnic minority households reported owning a vehicle (small or big truck or a car for personal use).

## GLOSSARY

## Adult literacy rate

Percentage of literate population aged 15 and above, compared to the total population aged 15 and above.

## Age

Total years completed by a person on his/her last birthday.

## Age-dependency ratio

The percentage of population in the younger ( $0-14$ ) and older $(60+)$ age groups relative to the population in the age group $15-59$. This ratio can also be calculated as a youth dependency ratio (with only the young dependent population in the numerator) and an old-age dependency ratio (with only old-age dependent population in the numerator).

## Age-Specific Fertility Rate (ASFR)

The number of births to women of a given age group per 1,000 women in that age group.

## Age-Specific Mortality Rate (ASMR)

Mortality rates can also be calculated for specific age groups to compare mortality rates for different ages or at the same age. Comparisons can be made between countries or regions. Because mortality rates vary widely by gender and ethnicity, mortality by age is given separately for men and women and for different ethnic groups within the population. The age-specific mortality rate is the number of those dying in a specific age group divided by the population in the same age group, multiplied by 1,000 .

## Average household size

This is the average number of persons in normal or regular households (i.e. excluding institutional and homeless households; households on boats, and transient populations).

## Building

Building refers generally to a single structure on the ground. Sometimes it is made up of more than one component units, which are used or likely to be used as dwellings (residences) or establishments such as shops, business houses, offices, factories, workshops, work sheds, schools, place of entertainments, place of worship, stores, etc. It is also possible that buildings, which have constituent units, may be used for a combination of purpose such as a shop-cumresidence, workshop-cum-residence, office-cum-residence, etc.

## Child Mortality Rate (CMR)

The child mortality rate is the number of deaths of those aged 1 to 4 -years old, per 1000 children aged 1-4 years old in a given year.

## Crude Birth Rate (CBR)

Crude Birth Rate (also called Gross Fertility Rate) describes the total number of live births per 1,000 population in a given year.

## Crude Death Rate (CDR)

The number of population deaths divided by the total population in a given year, multiplied by 1,000.

## Disability

The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities defines disability as a result of the interaction between persons with impairments and attitudinal and environmental barriers that hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others. This definition is in line with the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF), suggesting that disability is neither a purely medical nor a purely social condition. These definitions reflect a conceptualization of disability that places it on a spectrum of functioning difficulties.

Hence disability measurement must reflect this spectrum with necessary cut-off points. The GPCC 2019 adopted the Washington Group Short Set of Questions on Disability, which was inspired by the ICF. The Washington Group questions comprises six questions according to six functional domains - seeing, hearing, walking, remembering or concentrating, self-care and communicating - along an ascending scale of difficulty of "none", "some", "a lot" and "cannot do at all".

The Washington Group Short Set of Questions on Disability focuses on measuring the level of functioning difficulty experienced in performing basic actions, rather than the existence of a health condition or impairment. The measure was designed primarily for international comparison. The Washington Group on Disability Statistics recommends that persons with disabilities be defined as those who experience a lot of difficulty or cannot operate at all in at least one of the six functional domains

Disability questions contained in the General Population Census of Cambodia 2019 for persons aged 5 years or older:

1. Do you have difficulty seeing, even if wearing glasses?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
2. Do you have difficulty hearing, even if using a hearing aid?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
3. Do you have difficulty walking or climbing steps?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
4. Do you have difficulty remembering or concentrating?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
5. Do you have difficulty with self-care such as washing all over or dressing?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.
6. Because of a physical, mental or emotional health condition, do you have difficulty communicating (for example, understanding others or others understanding you)?
a. No - no difficulty
b. Yes - some difficulty
c. Yes - a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all.

## Economically active population

Persons reporting their main activity as "employed" or "unemployed" during the reference period of one year preceding the census date.

## Economically inactive population

Population other than economically active population in the year prior to the census.

## Education level

The completed level of education has been classified as follows: $1=$ None: ( 0 and 88 ), 2= Primary Not Completed: (1 to 5), 3= Primary: (6 to 8), 4= Lower Secondary: (9 to 13) 5= Secondary/ diploma: [Secondary School/Baccalaureate, Technical Diploma/Pre-Secondary and Technical Diploma/Post-Secondary (14 to 16)] and 6=Beyond Secondary [Undergraduate and Graduate/Degree Holder (17 to 19)].

## Employed

Comprises persons who were in the following categories for 6 months (183 days) or more during the one-year period prior to the census date:
(i) persons who were in paid employment (e.g. working in public or private organization etc);
(ii) persons who, during the reference period, performed some work for wage, salary, profit or for family gain in cash or kind;
(iii) persons who did not do any work for pay or profit during the reference period although they had a job to which they could return (e.g. off-season workers like farmers or fishermen), those on sick leave or leave without pay, those who could not work due to strike or lockout in the organization they were working;
(iv) persons who were self-employed (e.g. shop owners, food/drink sellers, individuals practicing as doctors or lawyers etc.)

## Employment rate

The employment rate is the number of employed people expressed as a percentage of the labor force (economically active population).

## Emigration

Emigration rate "Overseas migration" is the ratio of the number of migrants who reach one destination per 100 people at that destination in a specific year.

Exponential annual growth rate

$$
r_{E X P}=\ln \left(\frac{X_{n}}{X_{0}}\right) / n
$$

$X_{0}=$ Base-year population
$X_{n}=$ Year-end population
$\mathrm{n}=$ Number of years between $\mathrm{X}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$

## Family

A group of people who live together and eat from the same kitchen. This includes those who live together but have a special job that prevents them from eating with the other members.

## Fertility

Fertility is defined as the childbearing performance of a woman or group of women measured in terms of the actual number of children born.

## Gender

Refers to roles, attitudes and values assigned by culture and society to women and men.

## General Fertility Rate (GFR)

The general fertility rate (also called the fertility rate) is the ratio of the number of live births in a given year per 1000 women of reproductive age (i.e. 15-49 years) in the same time period. The total fertility rate is much more accurate at measuring fertility than the gross fertility rate.

## General literacy rate

Percentage of literate population relative to total population, excluding children aged 0-6.

## Gross Reproduction Rate (GRR)

Gross Reproduction Rate (GRR) is the average number of daughters that would be born to a woman (or group of women) during her lifetime if she passed through her childbearing years
conforming to the age-specific fertility rate of a given year. This rate is like TFR except that it counts only daughters and literally measures "reproduction" i.e. a woman reproducing herself by having a daughter.

## Head of household

For census purposes the head of household is a person who is recognized as such by the household members. He or she is generally the person who bears the chief responsibility for the management of the household and takes decisions on behalf of the household. The head of household need not necessarily be the oldest member. The head of household can be male or female. The name of the person who is recognized by the household as its head was recorded in the census. In the case of an absentee household head, the person who was responsible for managing the affairs of the household was regarded as the household head for the census purposes.

## Infant Mortality Rate (IMR)

Infant mortality is number of deaths of those aged under one year old, per 1,000 live births in a given year.

## Immigration

Immigration rate is the ratio of the number of immigrants who reach one destination per 100 people in a given year.

## Labor force participation rate (LFPR)

Labour Force Participation Rate (LFPR) is defined as the number of persons in the labour force (employed and unemployed) at a given age and sex and/or place of residence, divided by the corresponding total population with the same characteristics, multiplied by 100.

## Literacy

Literacy is the ability to read and write with understanding in any language. A person is defined as literate when he/she can both read and write a simple message in a language or dialect. A person who cannot read and write a simple message in any language is considered illiterate. A person is considered illiterate if they have the ability to read and write only their own name or a few numbers. It includes those who can read but can't write or can write but can't read.

A person who had learned to read and write but, at the time of the census, were unable to read and write due to a physical problem or illness, were considered literate. One example of this is an older person who can read and write but can no longer perform these activities due to poor eyesight. People with disabilities who can read and write through methods such as the Braille system, were also considered literate. By definition, all children under the age of six were considered illiterate.

## Live birth

This refers to the complete expulsion (delivery) or extraction from its mother of a product of conception (baby), irrespective of the duration of pregnancy. The baby after such separation breathes or shows other evidence of life, such as beating of the heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether or not the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached. Each product of such birth is considered as live birth.

## Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMRatio)

This is the number of maternal deaths (i.e. women who died during pregnancy, childbirth or within 42 days after birth) in a particular time period, per 100,000 live births in the same time period.

## Median age

Before calculating the median, we need to divide the population into two groups of equal size ( $50 \%$ vs, $50 \%$ ), the younger group and the older group of medians,

$$
\text { Median }=L+\frac{(N / 2-C)}{F_{m}} \times I
$$

$\mathrm{N}=$ total population
L= Lower limit of median class
Fm= Frequency of median class
$\mathrm{C}=$ Frequency of pre-median classes
I = Class space

## Migration

Migration is the process of changing from one geographical location to another. When a movement is within the same country, it is considered domestic (or internal) migration. Movements involving migration between countries are considered international migration.

## Myer's Index

This index is a measure of heaping on individual ages or terminal digits. The tendency to record or report certain ages in lieu of others is referred to as age heaping, age preference or digit preference. The theoretical range of Myer's index (on a 0 to 180 scale) extends from the minimum of " 0 ", when there is neither preference nor avoidance of any particular digit at all, to a maximum of 180 when all ages are reported in a single terminal digit.

## Value of Myer's Index

- Highly accurate data smaller than 10.0
- Accurate data from
10.0-19.9
- Low quality data from 20.0-39.9
- Data not available higher than

Occupation or industrial, economic sector
Industry (or branch of economic activity) refers to the activity of the establishment or enterprise in which the individual works. Industries are grouped according to following sectors:

Primary Sector: Section A (Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing) of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC)

Secondary Sector: Section B (Mining and Quarrying), C (Manufacturing), D (Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air-Con Supply), E (Water supply, Sewerage, Waste Management and Remediation Activities), F (Construction) of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC)

Tertiary Sector: Sector G (Wholesale and Retail Trade, Repair of Motor Vehicles and Motorcycles), H (Transportation and Storage), I (Accommodation and Food Service Activities), J (Information and Communication), K (Financial and Insurance Activities), L (Real Estate), M (Professional, Scientific and Technical Activities), N (Administrative and Support Service Activities), O (Public Administration and Defense, Social Security), P (Education), Q (Human Health and Social Work Activities), R (Art, Entertainment and Recreation), S (Other Service Activities), T (Use Activities of Household as Employers), U (Activities of Extraterritorial Organizations and Bodies) of the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC).

## Population density

It has been calculated as the total population divided by the total land area of a specific area. Population density is an indicator of the concentration of the population in certain areas. It is usually defined as the number of persons per square kilometer ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ).

## Population pyramid

Population pyramids display graphically the population by group age and sex. The horizontal bar shows the number or ratio of men and women for each age group. The sum of all age groups and genders from the population pyramid is $100 \%$.

## Rate of economically active population of the working age group

Percentage of economically active population relative to the total population aged 15-59 years.

## Rate of economically active population by age group

Percentage of population who are economically active in any age group relative to the total population in a specific age group.

## Sex ratio

The number of men divided by the number of women multiplied by 100 It expresses the number of males for every 100 females.

## Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM)

The mean age at first marriage is used for people whose classification by age and marital status provides unique data on marital status. It compares the specific age ratio of those who never married to those who married and considers the average age at which the change is made. For details of the method developed by John Hajnal.


- $\quad \sum 55 \mathrm{Sx}=$ Total proportion of population never married 5 years old
- S50 = Proportion of population never married age 50


## Total Fertility Rate (TFR)

The total fertility rate is the number of children which a woman of hypothetical cohort would bear during her life time; if she were to bear children throughout her life at the rates specified by the schedule of age specific fertility rates for a particular year; and if she were to survive until the end of her reproductive life. Therefore, the total fertility rate is the number of births a woman would have if she experienced a given set of age specific birth rates throughout her reproductive life. It is the sum of age-specific fertility rates.

## UN Age-Sex Accuracy Index

This index is the sum of (i) the mean deviation of the age ratio for males from 100 (ii) the mean deviation of the age ratios for females from 100 and (iii) three times the mean of the age-to-age differences in reported sex ratios. In this procedure the age ratio is defined as the ratio of the population in a given age group to one-half the sum of population in the preceding and following groups.

## Unemployed

Persons who were without employment, but were seeking employment or available for employment for 6 months (183 days) or more during the one-year period prior to the census.

## Unemployment rate

The unemployment rate is the number of unemployed people (previously employed plus never employed), expressed as a percentage of the labor force (economically active population), per 100 workers.

## Urban

The Reclassification of Urban Areas in Cambodia 2020 was undertaken by the NIS during JuneJuly 2020 using the final dataset from General Population Census of Cambodia 2019. The urban reclassification was a comprehensive process carried out by NIS with the guidance of experts. The study provided recommendations about the classification of urban places based on a consistent set of criteria relating to population size, population density and workers in agriculture. After careful consideration of all relevant aspects - including a field study and consultation with all agencies concerned - the study decided to apply the following criteria to every commune so as to treat it as urban:

## 1. Economic and Demographic Aspects

(a) Population density exceeding 200 per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$
(b) Percentage of employment in none agriculture more than 50 percent
(c) Total population of the commune should exceed 2,000 .

## 2. Statutory Administrative Aspects

The towns identified on the basic of statutory administration or recognized by degrees and laws. The first category of urban units is known as statutory towns. These towns recognised by the relevant government by law and have local bodies like municipalities/krongs, irrespective of their demographic characteristics.

## 3. Field visit and consultation

After careful consideration of all aspects highlighted by the field study and consultation process, the study recommended applying these criteria to every commune so as to treat then as urban. The provincial departments of planning and senior experts from the Ministry of Land Management Urban Planning and Construction, Ministry of Interior, UNICEF, UNFPA and UNHABAT were all involved in this process.

## Whipple's Index

Age data is generally not $100 \%$ accurate and, due to various reasons, it often needs to be evaluated before use. According to some results of the survey after the census, inconsistencies among ethnic minority people are low. Whipple's index was calculated to check the quality of age data.

Whipple's index is a measure of preference for ages ending in 0 and 5 . Its range is from 100 , indicating no preference for 0 and 5 up to 500 indicating that only 0 and 5 were reported.

Value of Whipple's Index

- Highly accurate data if less than 105
- Correct/Accurate data 105-109.9
- Approximate data 110-124.9
- Low quality data 125-174.9
- Useless data if greater than 175


## APPENDIX 1:

Form A, House List Questionnaire


## APPENDIX 2:

Form B, Family Questionnaire



## Royal Government of Cambodia

Census of the Kingdom of Cambodia March 2019
 Questionnaire 12: Guests present during the census night
family
(Written in letters)



Demographic information
Questionnaire 1.1: Permanent members present during census night

| Column 5 Age |
| :--- |
| coo: less than 1 year; 001: 1 year;; 002:2 years |
| 097: 97 years; 099: 99 years; $120: 120$ years |

Total used number of table B used
Form B Family Questionnaire Part 2: Personal Information

| Information for everyone |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { For children } \\ \text { p-14 years old } \end{array}$ | For all people | For all besides the unmarried |  |  | formation foreveryone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|\|l\|l} \text { Order } \\ \text { Numb } \\ \text { er } \end{array}$ | Last name and first name | Relationship | Gender | Age |  |  |  |  |  | Native language | Religion | Birth Place |  | Previous residence |  | Duration of stay | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Reasons } \\ \text { for } \\ \text { relocation } \end{array}$ |
|  | Names of permanent members and guests present on census night | Relationship with the head of the family <br> (Enter the | 1. Male <br> 2. Female | In full year | Does the child live with his or her biological mother? | Marital status |  | Age at firs arriage in year |  | Enter the code in the table below | Enter the code in the | - If born in this village, please enter cod <br> - If born in another village, please write of commune / sangkat, district / khan <br> - If born outside of Cambodia, please wr name of that country | name <br> vince <br> the | If you have been living in this you were born, please enter column 15 (a) If born in another village, plea name of commune / sangkat province <br> If born outside of Cambodia, pl name of that country | ever a skip to <br> e khan <br> he | How many years has this person lived in this village? | Iter |
|  | Tables 1.1 and 1.2 in <br> Section 1) | the table below) |  |  | below) | code in the <br> table below | $\begin{gathered} \text { widower } \\ \text { living } \end{gathered}$ | wers, divor ing separate |  |  | table below | Write the name of the commune / sangkat, district / khan, province or $\qquad$ | Location code | Write the name of the commune / sangkat, district / khan, province or country in column ${ }^{12}$ (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Location } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { code in the } \\ \text { table } \\ \text { below } \end{gathered}\right.$ | code in the table below |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11(a) | 11(b) | 12(a) | 12(b) | 13 | 14 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Code for column 9 <br> Native language <br> 01. Khmer 11. Cham 21. Ja Ong <br> 02. Vietnam 12. Cavet 22. Krool <br> ${ }_{03}$. China 13 . Kloeng 23 .Rodae <br> 04. Laos 14. Kuoy 24. L' moon <br> 05. Thailand 15. Kreung 25. Mel <br> 06. France 16. Lun 26. Khonh <br> 07. English 17. Punong 27. Por <br> 08. Korea 18. Prov 28. Suoy <br> 09. Japan 19. Tum Poun 29. Native language <br> 10. Jarai 20. Steang other .....) |
| :---: |


Form B Family Questionnaire Part 3: Information on fertility of women 15 years and older recorded in column ${ }_{2}$, Part ${ }_{2}$

| Order Num ber | Family name and first name | Order number of women in column 1 part 2 | Fertility Information |  |  |  |  |  | Pregnancy information for the past 12 months <br> For women aged 15-49 years |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of children born(Enter a two-digit number, such as 01, 02. ...... 10,11, etc., without a child, enter 00) |  |  |  |  |  | Pregnancy information for the past 12 months <br> For women aged 15-49 years |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | How many children did you give live birth to? |  | How many children are still alive? |  | How many children died? |  | How many children have you had in the last 12 months? <br> Please list the number of children born <br> If not giving child birth, please enter 0 and ask the next woman |  | Did anyone help during this delivery? <br> (Enter the code following the table below) | Did you register the birth of this newborn with the commune / sangkat authorities? <br> (Enter the code below) |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 | 9 |
|  |  |  | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Code for pillar 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1: Doctor | 4: Traditional Midwifery (TBA) |
| 2: Nurse | 5: Other (specify...) |

Form B Family Questionnaire Part 4: Housing condition and Household Appliances (Section 4 not for institutional households, homeless people, people living on boat, and passers-by)

| On what basis do you occupy this residence? | Main lighting source | Energy sources used for cooking | Types of toilets for family use | Sharing toilets with other households | Source of water supply for drinking (drinking) | Time spent back and forth to get water for family consumption (drink) | Number of familyowned rooms (excluding kitchen, bathroom, and store room) | Is there a separate kitchen? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1. Personal <br> house <br> 2. House for rent <br> 3. Not a landlord but rent without paying rent <br> 4. Other bases <br> (Please <br> specify).. | 1. Grid electricity <br> 2. Generator <br> 3. Grid <br> electricity \& generator <br> 4. Kerosene <br> 5. Electricity <br> 6. None <br> 7. Other <br> (Please <br> specify) $\square$ (Enter the code) | 1. Firewood <br> 2. Wood charcoal, coal <br> 3. Kerosene <br> 4. LPG/Gas <br> 5. Electricity <br> 6. None <br> 7. Other <br> (Please specify) $\square$ | 1. Not using toilet ( skip to <br> column 6) <br> 2. Pour-flush toilet or (press drain) connected to the sewer system <br> 3. Pour-flush toilet or (press drain) connected to the septic tank or pit <br> 4. Pour-flush toilet or (press drain) drain to another location ( not drain to septic tank nor <br> pit) <br> 5. Pit toilet with toilet bowl for defecate <br> 6. Pit latrine without slab <br> 7. Free drop latrine into water ( pond / lake / river / sea ) <br> 8. Other toilets <br> (Please specify) | 1. Yes, share with other family members <br> 2. No, for family members use only $\square$ | 1. Home plumbing <br> 2. Piped water in the yard / residential area <br> 3. Public piped water <br> 4. Pump well / Drilling well <br> 5. Dug well with protective cover <br> 6. Dug well without protective cover <br> 7. Protected groundwater <br> 8. Unprotected groundwater <br> 9. Rainwater <br> 10. Buy water from water tanker <br> 11. Buy cart water <br> 12. Surface water ( river / tributary/ stream / lake / pond / canal/ pond) <br> 13. Bottled water / bottled drinking water <br> 14. Other (please specify) $\square$ | 1. Water in the house <br> 2. Takes less than 30 minutes <br> 3. Takes more than 30 minutes <br> 4. Do not know $\square$ (Enter the code) | 1. One room <br> 2. Two rooms <br> 3. Three rooms <br> 4. Four rooms <br> 5. Five rooms <br> 6. Six rooms <br> 7. Seven rooms <br> 8. Eight rooms up <br> (Enter the code) | 1. Yes <br> 2. No $\square$ (Enter the code) |


Form B Family Questionnaire Part 5: Deceased in the family

\section*{|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |}

Death Information

| Death Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order <br> Num | Family name and first name of the deceased | Gender <br> 1: Male <br> 2: Female <br> Enter the code | Relationship with the head of the household <br> Enter the code in the table below | Age at death |  | Cause of death? | Register death certificate | Only for women aged 15-49 who died |  |  |
| ber |  |  |  | See note below Enter the code in the table below |  |  | Did you register the death with the commune / sangkat <br> 1. Yes <br> 2. No authorities? | Did the woman die during pregnancy / during childbirth or within 42 days after delivery? <br> 1. Yes <br> 2. No | If ye | in column 8 (a) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | the death? <br> Enter the code in the table below |  |  | Where did she die? <br> (Enter the code according to the table below ) | Did any health professionals present take care of her before she died? <br> (Enter the code according to the table below ) |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 (a) | 8 (b) | 8 (c) |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Code for column 8 (c) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1: Doctor | 4: Traditional Midwife (TBA) |
| 2: Nurse | 5: Other (specify ...) |
| 3: Midwife 6: None |  |


| Code for column 8 (b) |
| :--- |
| Place of death |
| 1. Hospital |
| 2. Health Center |
| 3. At home |
| 4. Other (specify ...........) |


| Codes for column 4 | Code for column 5 | Codes for the causes of death for column 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Head of household | Enter death age | Illness | Accident | Don't know |
| 2. Spouse | 000: Less than 1 year | 01: Fever 09: Problems during pregnancy | 13: Landmines | 17\% don't |
| 3. Sons / Daughters | 001: 1 year | 02: Diarrhea 10: Problems during childbirth | 14: Traffic Accident | know |
| 4. Father / Mother | 002: 2 years | 03: TB 11:42 days after delivery | 15: Drowning |  |
| 5. Grandchild | : | 04: Heart Disease 12: Other Diseases | 16: Other accidents |  |
| 6. Other relatives | : | 05: Dengue fever |  |  |
| 7. All non-relatives | : | 06: Malaria |  |  |
| including those who | : | 07: Tetanus |  |  |
| stay with |  | 08: AIDS |  |  |


[^0]:    Note: Percentage of economic activity status calculated excluding those who did not report economic activity status.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ National Institute of Statistics, General Population Census of the Kingdom of Cambodia 2019. National Report on Final Census Results, 2020. National Institute of Statistics, Cambodia.

[^2]:    Note: Excludes migration of ethnic minority peoples from abroad and also those who did not report their lengths of stay

[^3]:    Note: Excludes migrants from outside Cambodia and those who did not report economic activity

[^4]:    22 Model life tables are sets of life tables based on the generalization of empirical relationships derived from a group of observed life tables. The Coale-Demeny Regional Model Life Tables and the United Nations Life Tables for Developing Countries are the two main systems of model life tables. These systems are based on empirical life tables that have been developed on the principle of narrowing the selection of a life table to those considered realistic on the basis of examination of mortality levels and patterns calculated for actual populations. These systems cover a wide variety of mortality experiences, so that one may be more appropriate than another for a particular country. Each system has families of life tables. The families in the Coale-Demeny system are: East, West, North and South and the families in the United Nations system are: Latin American, Chilean, South Asian, Far East and General (UN, 1983).
    ${ }^{3}$ Details of the model selection and life table generation are provided in the thematic report on mortality, Appendix $B$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ United Nations Population Division (2013), MORTPAK for Windows, Version 4.3, United Nations, New York

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ National Institute of Statistics, Directorate General for Health, and ICF International, 2015. Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Phnom Penh, Cambodia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: National Institute of Statistics, Directorate General for Health, and ICF International.

[^7]:    Note: Excludes those where building use was not reported.

[^8]:    Note: Excluded institutional households, homeless households, households living on boats, transient families

